

1 Supplementary Material

2 **Anthropogenic climate change and glacier lake outburst flood**
3 **risk: local and global drivers and responsibilities for the case**
4 **of Lake Palcacocha, Peru**

5 Christian Huggel¹, Mark Carey², Adam Emmer³, Holger Frey⁴, Noah Walker-Crawford⁵, Ivo
6 Wallimann-Helmer⁶

7 ¹Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich,
8 Switzerland

9 ²Robert D. Clark Honors College and Environmental Studies Program, University of Oregon, USA

10 ³Department of Physical Geography and Geocology, Faculty of Science, Charles University,
11 Prague, Czech Republic

12 ⁴Department of Human Dimensions of Global Change, Global Change Research Centre, Academy of
13 Sciences of the Czech Republic, Brno, Czech Republic

14 ⁵Department of Social Anthropology, Manchester University, UK

15 ⁶Environmental Humanities; Department of Geosciences, University of Fribourg, Switzerland

16 *Correspondence to:* Christian Huggel (christian.huggel@geo.uzh.ch)

17

18 In this document further details on the methods applied are provided.

19

20 **GLOF hazard modeling and analysis**

21 A glacial lake outburst typically consists of a chain of interacting mass movement processes. Coupled
22 numerical models, simulating the cascade of involved mass movement processes, allow for a
23 quantitative and transparent hazard analysis and mapping of such complex processes (Schneider et al.,
24 2014; Worni et al. 2014). For the analysis of GLOF hazards from lake Palcacocha we here rely on the
25 work presented by Frey et al. (2018) who simulated different GLOF scenarios with numerical mass
26 movement models for three different glacier lakes in the Quillcay catchment, including lake Palcacocha.
27 Their approach follows earlier modeling studies performed at lake Palcacocha by Somos-Valenzuela et
28 al. (2016), and is in line with the recommendations for glacier hazard assessments from the Standing
29 Group on Glacier and Permafrost Hazards in Mountains (GAPHAZ) of the International Association of
30 Cryospheric Sciences and the International Permafrost Association (IACS/IPA) (GAPHAZ, 2017). In
31 the following, the methodological basis of this hazard analysis map is summarized, further details and
32 results can be found in Frey et al. (2018).

33

34 For the case of lake Palcacocha, a major ice or combined rock-ice avalanche is considered as the only
35 potential trigger mechanism of a GLOF. Klimeš et al. (2016) showed, that other mass movement
36 processes such as landslides from the inner flanks of the steep Little Ice Age moraines surrounding lake
37 Palcacocha are very unlikely to reach a magnitude which would provoke a major overtopping wave.
38 Considering the structural hazard prevention works, including the reinforced dams and the fixed outlet
39 channel, other critical processes, such as heavy precipitation, seepage and piping in the dam, can as

40 well be excluded as potential GLOF triggers. However, the steep glacierized faces of Mount Palcaraju
41 and Pucaranra have the potential to produce ice avalanches of up to $3 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ in the worst case, which
42 would trigger major impact waves in lake Palcacocha and lead to the overtopping of large volumes of
43 water (cf. Somos-Valenzuela et al., 2016).

44
45 Modelled GLOF scenarios for lake Palcacocha are therefore based on a susceptibility analysis for ice
46 and rock-ice avalanches originating from the glaciated headwalls in the surrounding of the lake. Based
47 on an analysis of topography, crevasse patterns and estimated ice thicknesses, following an approach
48 proposed by Schaub et al. (2015), three avalanche scenarios of high, medium and low probability were
49 defined. For each of the three scenarios, the entire chain of mass movement processes was then
50 simulated with corresponding numerical models: Rock-ice avalanches were modeled using the
51 RAMMS model (Christen et al., 2010); impact wave generation and propagation, run up at the dam and
52 overtopping hydrographs were estimated with the hydrodynamic models IBER (IBER, 2010) and
53 FLOW3D (Flow Science, 2012) and cross checked with empirical estimation approaches developed by
54 Heller et al. (2009). Resulting hydrographs of the overtopping waves served as an input for the
55 modelling of the eventual GLOF, which was again conducted using the RAMMS model. These results
56 were directly compared to the results from Somos-Valenzuela et al. (2016), obtained with the FLO-2D
57 model. Based on process intensities (given by resulting GLOF inundation heights) and probabilities of
58 occurrence (given by the probabilities of the three scenarios), GLOF modeling results were eventually
59 translated into hazard levels, according to international and national standards (CENEPRED, 2015;
60 GAPHAZ, 2017; Hürlimann et al. 2006; Raetzo et al., 2002).

61
62 A critical point in the process chain described above is the possibility of retrogressive erosion at the
63 dam, which could initiate the formation of a breach in the moraine dam. In this case, much larger
64 volumes of water could be released, leading to an extreme GLOF volume, as it was the case in the 1941
65 outburst of lake Palcacocha. To assess the susceptibility of the Palcacocha moraine for breach
66 formation, Somos-Valenzuela et al. (2016) applied the BASEMENT model (Vetsch et al., 2018; Worni
67 et al., 2012) for the simulation of erosional processes involved in the formation of a breach. Somos-
68 Valenzuela et al. (2016) conclude that breach formation at the moraine dam of lake Palcacocha is very
69 unlikely. However, in order to include this unlikely processes as a worst-case scenario, a GLOF
70 resulting from a dam failure at lake Palcacocha was modeled. Resulting affected areas were translated
71 into low hazard level. This corresponds to the Swiss standard of assigning worst-case extreme events
72 with very low probabilities to the lowest (residual) hazard level, irrespective of intensity levels (FOEN,
73 2016; Schneider et al. 2014), and at the same time fulfills the Peruvian standard of four hazard classes
74 (CENEPRED, 2015).

75
76 The hazard map by Frey et al. (2018) represents the GLOF hazard posed by three different lakes in the
77 Quillcay catchment, which also includes lakes Tullparaju and Cuchillacocha in additiona to Palcacocha.
78 In turn, the hazard analysis and map shown in Figure 5 represents the GLOF hazard emanating from
79 lake Palcacocha only.

80

81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123

Qualitative research methods on socio-economic risk drivers

The analysis of socio-cultural and institutional factors incorporates qualitative data gathered during 20 months of ethnographic fieldwork in the Cordillera Blanca between 2017 and 2018. Ethnographic research involves building long-term relationships to gain an in-depth understanding of particular people's ways of life. The co-author Noah Walker-Crawford lived and participated in daily life with farming communities outside of Huaraz and spent extended periods of time following the practices of institutional actors and residents in the city. Research with rural farmers who also live in urban areas designated as dangerous was a particular focus of this study. Information was gathered primarily through informal discussions with informants on their attitudes towards social and environmental change. This data emerged through extended participant observation during which the researcher established relations of trust and gained broader contextual insight into daily life in a changing Andean environment. This involved living with a local family in an Andean village, participating in agricultural work and following government projects to reduce risk from Lake Palcacocha. Gathering information on institutional processes also involved the participation in planning and implementation meetings with different governmental and non-governmental institutions. Semi-structured interviews with key informants supplemented data gathered through informal discussions.

Further qualitative evidence comes from the analysis of written materials, both published and unpublished. Archival research was conducted in government and non-governmental archives, where unpublished reports, correspondence, policy briefs, maps, engineering analyses, permits, brochures, pamphlets, and other related materials were collected and analyzed. Newspapers, magazines, and other locally published materials were also consulted in Callejón de Huaylas (Huaraz region) and Lima libraries, newspaper offices, government offices, and company offices. Published sources appearing on the Internet were also consulted, particularly news sources published in Peru in Huaraz and Lima, among other locations. Finally, published scholarship was also consulted and reviewed. In all of these cases, the material was limited in scope and quantity because there is relatively little published about the human dimensions of Lake Palcacocha and few archival, library, or other unpublished sources that discuss the lake or related issues.

References

CENEPRED (2015). Manual para la Evaluación de Riesgos originados por Fenómenos Naturales. 2nd ed. Lima: Centro Nacional de Estimación, Prevención y Reducción del Riesgo de Desastres (CENEPRED).

Christen, M., Kowalski, J., and Bartelt, P. (2010). RAMMS: Numerical simulation of dense snow avalanches in three-dimensional terrain. *Cold Regions Science and Technology* 63, 1–14. doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2010.04.005.

124 Flow Science (2012): FLOW3D Documentation: Release 10.1.0, Flow Science, Inc., Santa Fe, New
125 Mexico, 811 pp.
126

127 FOEN (2016). Protection against Mass Movement Hazards. Guideline for the integrated hazard
128 management of landslides, rockfall and hillslope debris flows. Federal Office for the Environment,
129 Bern. The environment in practice no. 1608: 97 p.
130

131 Frey, H., Huggel, C., Chisolm, R.E., Baer, P., McArdell, B., Cochachin, A., and Portocarrero, C. (2018).
132 Multi-Source Glacial Lake Outburst Flood Hazard Assessment and Mapping for Huaraz, Cordillera
133 Blanca, Peru. *Frontiers in Earth Science* 6. doi:10.3189/2015JoG15J017
134

135 GAPHAZ (2017). Assessment of Glacier and Permafrost Hazards in Mountain Regions. Eds: S. K.
136 Allen, H. Frey, and C. Huggel, Joint Standing Group on Glacier and Permafrost Hazards in High
137 Mountains (GAPHAZ) of the International Association of Cryospheric Sciences (IACS) and the
138 International Permafrost Association (IPA). Zurich, Lima. Available at:
139 http://gaphaz.org/files/Assessment_Glacier_Permafrost_Hazards_Mountain_Regions.pdf.
140

141 Heller, V., Hager, W. H., and Minor, H.-E. (2009). Landslide generated impulse waves in reservoirs.
142 Zurich: Mitteilungen Versuchsanstalt für Wasserbau, Hydrologie und Glaziologie (VAW), ETH
143 Zürich.
144

145 Hürlimann, M., Copons, R., and Altimir, J. (2006). Detailed debris flow hazard assessment in Andorra:
146 A multidisciplinary approach. *Geomorphology* 78, 359–372. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.02.003.
147

148 IBER (2010). Two-dimensional modeling of free surface shallow water flow, Hydraulic reference
149 manual, IBER v1.0. (www.iberaula.es). (Accessed December 2018)
150

151 Klimes, J., Novotný, J., Novotná, I., Urries, B. J., Vilímek, V., Emmer, A., et al. (2016). Landslides in
152 moraines as triggers of glacial lake outburst floods: example from Palcacocha Lake (Cordillera Blanca,
153 Peru). *Landslides* 13, 1461–1477. doi:10.1007/s10346-016-0724-4.
154

155 Raetzo, H., Lateltin, O., Bollinger, D., and Tripet, J. (2002). Hazard assessment in Switzerland - Codes
156 of Practice for mass movements. *Bull Eng Geol Environ* 61, 263–268. doi:10.1007/s10064-002-0163-
157 4.
158

159 Schaub, Y., Huggel, C., and Cochachin, A. (2015). Ice-avalanche scenario elaboration and uncertainty
160 propagation in numerical simulation of rock-/ice-avalanche-induced impact waves at Mount Hualcán
161 and Lake 513, Peru. *Landslides*. doi:10.1007/s10346-015-0658-2.
162

163 Schneider, D., Huggel, C., Cochachin, A., Guillén, S., and García, J. (2014). Mapping hazards from
164 glacier lake outburst floods based on modelling of process cascades at Lake 513, Carhuaz, Peru. *Adv.*
165 *Geosci.* 35, 145–155. doi:10.5194/adgeo-35-145-2014.
166

167 Somos-Valenzuela, M. A., Chisolm, R. E., Rivas, D. S., Portocarrero, C., and McKinney, D. C. (2016).
168 Modeling a glacial lake outburst flood process chain: the case of Lake Palcacocha and Huaraz, Peru.
169 *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 20, 2519–2543. doi:10.5194/hess-20-2519-2016.
170

171 Vetsch, D., Siviglia, A., Caponi, F., Ehrbar, D., Gerke, E., Kammerer, S., et al. (2018). System Manuals
172 of BASEMENT. Zurich: Laboratory of Hydraulics, Glaciology and Hydrology (VAW). ETH Zurich.
173 Available at: <http://www.basement.ethz.ch>. (Accessed December 2018)
174

175 Worni, R., Huggel, C., Clague, J. J., Schaub, Y., and Stoffel, M. (2014). Coupling glacial lake impact,
176 dam breach, and flood processes: A modeling perspective. *Geomorphology* 224, 161–176.
177 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.06.031.
178

179 Worni, R., Stoffel, M., Huggel, C., Volz, C., Casteller, A., and Luckman, B. (2012). Analysis and
180 dynamic modeling of a moraine failure and glacier lake outburst flood at Ventisquero Negro,
181 Patagonian Andes (Argentina). *Journal of Hydrology* 444-445, 134–145.
182 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.04.013.
183
184
185
186