RC: Title: Delete “post-failure”, “data from”.
AC: Thank you very much for the referees' comment.

The title has been modified as “Forecasting landslide mobility using a SPH model and ring shear strength tests: A case study”.

RC: Please provide a plan map include topographic information of the landslides. Put all the important points, such as sampling location, the profile position shown in fig.1d.
AC: We sincerely appreciate the referees' comment. The Figure 1 has been modified as follows.

Fig. 1. Overview of the Dafushan landslide. (a) Landslide location; (b) Geomorphologic and geologic map of the landslide area; (c) Aerial view of the unstable slope; (d) Engineering activities on the slope (modified based on Yu et al. (2017) with permission of Springer).

RC: Please explain how the Longitudinal geologic section be drawn without drilling hole.
AC: Thank you very much for the referees' comment. The drilling holes has been
marked on the Fig.1 and Fig. 2, as follows. After the modified, the figures are more cleared and consistent with the text.

**Fig. 2.** Geology and soil at the Dafushan landslide. (a) Longitudinal geologic section of the unstable slope shown in Fig. 1(c). (b) Photograph of the silty clay landslide soil.
RC: In Figure 7, no obvious relationship between shear stress and shear strain rate is found.

AC: Thank you very much for the referees' comment. Because the difference between the test results is very slight, so the relationship in the Figure 7 is not very obvious. Hence, we calculated from the experimental data and the specific data is shown as Table 4. As the shear rate increases, the residual shear strengths increase slightly but the peak shear strengths show the opposite reaction. However, the angular displacements at peak shear strength increase significantly.

Table 4 Differences in shear strengths and angular displacements for saturated landslide soil at different shearing rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shearing rate (°/min)</th>
<th>Peak shear strength (kPa)</th>
<th>Residual shear strength (kPa)</th>
<th>Difference between peak and residual shear strength (kPa)</th>
<th>Angular displacement at peak shear strength (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>109.10</td>
<td>99.35</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>6.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>107.00</td>
<td>99.52</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>6.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>105.00</td>
<td>100.55</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>16.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>105.80</td>
<td>100.99</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>39.168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RC: What’s the new contribution on the SPH model should be highlighted in this work.

AC: We sincerely appreciate the referees' comment. The case study is a previously identified unstable slope. Once the slope failure, it would induce serious damages. So, it is urgent to predict the slide distance once it slides as the rainy season is coming. Previous studies have been conducted on the verification analysis of landslide, which prove the accuracy of SPH model is relatively high (Huang et al. 2012; Hu et al. 2015). The error of slide distance can reach 4.6% (Huang et al. 2012). While, this study extends the application of SPH model to predictive analysis of unstable landslides. And accurate soil parameters derived from ring shear tests are introduced in the calculation. In addition, considering the referees' comment, two sets of comparative calculation were carried out, which demonstrate the robustness of the SPH method. We have highlighted the contribution in the manuscript.


RC: In this numerical simulation, 3242 particles would establish a poor spatial discretization. An analysis of the discretization error related to the particle distance is strongly encouraged.

AC: We sincerely appreciate the referees' comment. The study about discretization error related to the particle distance is very valuable. But in our case, the landslide is unstable but not failure yet, so there are no real data (like slide distance) to evaluate the error related to particle distance. Hence, the particle distance in our study is based on our computing experience and previous studies. For example, in reference Cuomo et al. (2016), only 639 points in the source area were used; In reference Huang et al. (2013), three are two cases included 2619 particles in total (1653 particles for the slide) and 2188 particles in total (1260 particles for the slide), respectively. And in reference Huang et al. (2013) and Cuomo et al. (2016), the SPH results show high degree of similarity with surveyed ones. In addition, their calculation range is much larger than ours, hence 3242 particles for 170 m in our study should be enough.

In addition, we actually did two different calculations with different parameters, as shown in the following table and figure. The parameters of computer are 3.40 GHz CPU and 16 GB RAM. The results have a high degree of similarity in the slide distance, but the time consuming is about 2.76 times than before. Hence, 3242 particles with diameter of 0.5 m and time step of 0.003 are applied in our study. This part has been added in the manuscript, including the table and figure.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Particle diameter (m)</th>
<th>Particle number</th>
<th>Time step (s)</th>
<th>X-coordinate of the slide front (m)</th>
<th>Time consuming (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3242</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>32.43</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4471</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>33.45</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 11. Predicted results in Dafushan landslide with different calculating parameters

RC: How to decide the time step in the simulation? A convergence analysis is suggested.
AC: We sincerely appreciate the referees' comment. We used 0.003 as the time step for three reasons as follow.
--According to the reference Cummins and Rudman (1999), the time step can be determined by the CFL stability constraint. In this study, $|u|_{\text{max}}$ is estimated as 10 m/s, so $\Delta t \leq 0.0125$.

$$\Delta t \leq 0.25 \frac{h}{|u|_{\text{max}}}$$

Where $h$ is the particle diameter, $|u|_{\text{max}}$ is anticipated maximum particle velocity in the computation.
--The previous published papers about the application of SPH in flow slides are summarized as follows. When the time step is 0.0025~0.03, the calculated results agree with the survey results well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Time step(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPH-based simulation of flow process of a landslide at Hongao landfill in China. Natural Hazards, 2018, 93(3): 1113-1126.</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPH-based numerical simulation of catastrophic debris flows after the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake. Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 2015, 74(4): 1137-1151.</td>
<td>0.0025; 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPH-based numerical simulations of flow slides in municipal solid waste landfills. Waste Management &amp; Research, 2013, 31(3): 256-264.</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-- Based on previous studies and repeated trials, we conducted two different
calculations with different sets of parameters, and found that it is convergent when the time step is 0.003 s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Particle diameter (m)</th>
<th>Particle number</th>
<th>Time step (s)</th>
<th>X-coordinate of the slide front (m)</th>
<th>Time consuming (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3242</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>32.43</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4471</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>33.45</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RC:** Ring shear tests were conducted to evaluate the residual shear strength of slip zones, but in the simulation, the authors used the strength parameters to describe the behavior of the whole landslide body.

**AC:** Thank you very much for the referees' comment. Actually, the slip zone and the landslide body are the same soil layer, viz., the first soil layer. For ease of understanding, the sliding surface were added in the Fig. 2 as follows, which is also modified considering another referees' comment. In addition, in the ring test the reconstituted soil sample was tested with the considering of different normal stresses. Hence, the parameters calculated from the ring shear tests can be used for the whole slide part.

**Fig. 2.** Geology and soil at the Dafushan landslide. (a) Longitudinal geologic section of the unstable slope shown in Fig. 1(c). (b) Photograph of the silty clay landslide soil.

**RC:** According to the numerical results, the maximum velocity of the landslide is 6.66 m/s. However, in the ring shear tests, the maximum shear rate is 20 /min, which is much smaller than the numerical result. So can the strength parameters obtained from the tests be applied in the numerical simulation?

**AC:** Thank you very much for the referees' comment. Your question is very valuable, which is also a difficult issue in the current research. At present almost all the ring shear test apparatus cannot reach the shear rate of actual landslide in the failure process, except very few self-developed test systems. For example, K. Sassa et al. at the Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University developed a ring shear apparatus can reach a maximum speed of 3m/s. In this study, the purpose of the ring shear test is to
obtain the law of soil strength and some reliable calculation parameters. In addition, when the shear rate is high, the soil sample will be squeezed out from the shear box, which will affect the accuracy of the results. Hence, in our study, the tests were carried out within the reliable shear velocity range of the ring shear test system.

**RC:** According to the Figure 13, it seems that the landslide is still moving at 120s after failure, see the blue line.

**AC:** We sincerely appreciate the referees' comment. We increased the computing time and recalculated, and the conclusion was drawn that the landslide stopped moving at 200 s.

**Fig. 12.** Longitudinal profiles showing the results of the SPH forecasting model. The panels represent the outline of the Dafushan landslide from the time the slide is initiated at t = 0 s (panel a) through the slide finally coming to rest at t = 200 s (panel h).

(h) t = 200s

**Fig. 13.** Velocity curve of the front and rear edge of Dafushan landslide as predicted by the SPH model.
Fig. 14. Displacement curve of the front and rear edge of Dafushan landslide as predicted by the SPH model.
List of all relevant changes

1. The title has been modified as “Forecasting landslide mobility using a SPH model and ring shear strength tests: A case study” by deleting “post-failure”, “data from”.

2. In the abstract, the contribution on the SPH model were highlighted as follows. *This study extends the application of SPH model from disaster simulation to predictive analysis of unstable landslide. In addition, two sets of comparative calculation were carried out, which demonstrate the robustness of the SPH method.*

3. When quoting more than two references, these has been arranged in chronological order.

4. The Fig. 1 was modified by adding topographic information of the landslides and all the important points.
Fig. 1. Overview of the Dafushan landslide. (a) Landslide location; (b) Geomorphologic and geologic map of the landslide area; (c) Aerial view of the unstable slope; (d) Engineering activities on the slope (modified based on Yu et al. (2017) with permission of Springer).

5. The drilling holes has been marked on the Fig. 2.

Fig. 2. Geology and soil at the Dafushan landslide. (a) Longitudinal geologic section of the unstable slope shown in Fig. 1(c). (b) Photograph of the silty clay landslide soil.

6. The contribution on the SPH model were highlighted in the part of 4.2 as follows. Previous studies have been conducted on the verification analysis of landslide, which prove the accuracy of SPH model is relatively high (Huang et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2015). The error of slide distance can reach 4.6% (Huang et al., 2012). While, this study extends the application of SPH model in combination with accurate soil parameters derived from ring shear tests to predictive analysis of unstable landslide. In addition, two sets of comparative calculation were carried out, which demonstrate the robustness of the SPH method.

7. Two sets of comparative calculation were conducted. Two sets of comparative calculation were conducted as shown in Table 6 and Figure 11. The parameters of computer are 3.40 GHz CPU and 16 GB RAM. The results have a high degree of similarity in the slide distance, but the time consuming of Case 2 is about 2.76 times than Case 1. Hence, 3242 particles with diameter of 0.5 m and time step of 0.003 were applied in our study.
Table 6 Predicted results in Dafushan landslide with different calculating parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Particle diameter (m)</th>
<th>Particle number</th>
<th>Time step (s)</th>
<th>X-coordinate of the slide front (m)</th>
<th>Time consuming (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3242</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>32.43</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4471</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>33.45</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Predicted results in Dafushan landslide with different calculating parameters

8. The calculation time has been adjusted in the Fig. 12, and the conclusion was drawn that the landslide stopped moving at 200 s.

(h) t = 200s

Fig. 12. Longitudinal profiles showing the results of the SPH forecasting model. The panels represent the outline of the Dafushan landslide from the time the slide is initiated at t = 0 s (panel a) through the slide finally coming to rest at t = 200 s (panel h).

9. Fig. 13 and Fig. 14 were adjusted according to the referees' comment.
Fig. 13. Velocity curve of the front and rear edge of Dafushan landslide as predicted by the SPH model.

Fig. 14. Displacement curve of the front and rear edge of Dafushan landslide as predicted by the SPH model.

10. The references have been listed in alphabetical order in the reference list without numbers.
Forecasting landslide mobility using a SPH model and ring shear strength tests: A case study
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Abstract Flowlike landslides, such as flowslides and debris avalanches, have caused serious infrastructure damage and casualties for centuries. Effective numerical simulation incorporating accurate soil mechanical parameters is essential for predicting post-failure landslide mobility. In this study, smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) incorporating soil ring shear test results was used to forecast the long-runout mobility for a landslide on an unstable slope in China. First, a series of ring shear tests under different axial stresses and shear velocities were conducted to evaluate the residual shear strength of slip zones after extensive shear deformation. Based on the ring shear test results, SPH modeling was conducted to predict the post-failure mobility of a previously identified unstable slope. The results indicate that
the landslide would cut a fire road on the slope after 12 s and cover an expressway at
the foot of that slope after 36 s. In the model, the landslide would finally stop sliding
about 38 m beyond the foot of the slope after 200 s. This study extends the application
of SPH model from disaster simulation to predictive analysis of unstable landslide. In
addition, two sets of comparative calculation were carried out, which demonstrate the
robustness of the SPH method.

Keywords: Landslide hazard; Post-failure mobility; Ring shear tests; Smoothed
particle hydrodynamics (SPH); Residual strength

1. Introduction

Flowlike landslides triggered by intense earthquakes or rainfall, such as debris and
rock avalanches, have caused serious infrastructure damage and casualties for
centuries (Wang et al., 2005; Okada et al., 2007). This kind of landslide is commonly
high-speed and has a long runout distance. For example, a large landslide in southern
Italy in February, 2010, had a runout distance of 1.2 km and necessitated the
evacuation of nearly 2,300 people. This landslide was triggered by heavy and
prolonged rainfall between August 2009 and February 2010 (Gattinoni et al., 2012).
The 2009 Shiaolin landslide in Taiwan, induced by a cumulative rainfall of nearly
1700 mm from Typhoon Morakot, buried Shiaolin Village and resulted in more than
400 people dead and missing (Tsou et al., 2011). Numerical simulations that
incorporate accurate soil mechanical parameters are a powerful tool for simulating
landslide runout distances; these simulations can provide fundamental reference information for landslide disaster mitigation (Žic et al., 2015; Yerro et al., 2016).

The main numerical methods for simulating landslides are the discrete element methods and the continuum methods (Lu et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2017). Using a discrete element method, such as the distinct element method (DEM) or discontinuous deformation analysis (DDA), the nonphysical parameters cannot be determined exactly (Huang et al., 2014). However, continuum methods based on grids, like the finite element method (FEM) and the finite difference method (FDM), have the shortcomings of grid distortion and low accuracy for the numerical analysis of a landslide with a long runout. Recently, a new numerical method has been used to overcome these limitations, namely the smoothed particle hydrodynamics method (SPH) (Bui et al., 2008). This method is in the framework of continuum methods. SPH is a pure Lagrangian, meshless hydrodynamics method and it is capable of simulating flow deformation, free surfaces, and deformation boundaries (Liu and Liu, 2003). Several studies have demonstrated the efficiency of the SPH method for the large deformation analysis post landslide. Huang et al. (2014) provided a general view of SPH applications for solving large deformation and failure problems such as dam breaks, slope failure, and soil liquefaction flow. Pastor et al. (2009) applied a depth-integrated, coupled SPH model successfully to simulate catastrophic flow-like landslides that occurred in southern Italy in 1998. Cascini et al. (2014) proposed a SPH model to represent two actual flow-type events accurately. Cuomo et al. (2016)
used SPH to simulate flow-like landslides (debris flows and debris avalanches) and discussed the influence of bed entrainment on landslide propagation. Hu et al. (2015) conducted two- and three-dimensional SPH numerical simulation of flow-like landslides triggered by the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake in China and proposed that the SPH method is well-suited for modeling free surfaces, moving interfaces, and extensive deformation.

Study into the residual shear strength property of slip zones under large shear deformation is essential to landslide long-runout mechanism explanation (Tika and Hutchinson, 1999; Wen et al., 2007). Because the physical sample displacement using conventional laboratory shear tests, like direct shear tests and triaxial shear tests, is limited to about 10 mm (Casagli et al., 2006; Okada et al., 2007; Van Asch et al., 2007), the shear behavior for large shear displacements cannot be assessed by these methods (Dai et al., 2016). Ring shear tests, which can impart extremely large shear strains, may be the ideal laboratory tool for extensive shear deformation testing (Okada et al., 2007; ASTM Standard D7608-10, 2010). Several studies have applied ring shear tests to study the residual shear strength of soils (Wang et al., 2005; Fukuoka et al., 2007; Li et al., 2013; Hoyos et al., 2014). For example, Fukuoka et al. (2007) applied a newly developed ring shear test to study shear zone development during large displacements. That study pointed out that a ring shear test is the most appropriate test for studying long-travel landslides. Kimura et al. (2014) studied the effect of the shearing rate on the residual strength of landslide soils using ring shear
tests. Zhang et al. (2011) used ring shear tests to study the transform mechanism of the slide-debris flow under large deformation. Li et al. (2017) explored the residual strength of silty sand under different degrees of over consolidations and different shear rates using ring shear tests.

This study presents an effective numerical simulation method, namely SPH, that incorporates accurate soil mechanical parameters derived from ring shear tests. The aim is to predict the downslope flow after slope failure of a previously identified unstable slope and thereby provide basic information for landside disaster mitigation. First, this paper describes the geomorphological and geological setting, hydrogeology and rainfall, and triggering factors of the landslide examined for this case study. These descriptions are based on detailed fieldwork. Next, a series of ring shear tests under several different normal stresses and shear rates were performed to identify the shear strength of the landslide soil. Finally, a SPH-based numerical simulation of the landslide was run to predict the extent of the landslide and track the slide velocity at different times.

2. A case study – the Dafushan landslide

2.1 Geomorphological and geological setting

The Dafushan landslide, located in the Panyu District, Guangzhou City, South China, was selected for this case study (Fig. 1(a)). The slope is primarily composed of Cretaceous silty mudstone, conglomerate, and sandstone overlain by Quaternary silty clay (Yu et al., 2017) (Fig. 1(b)). The landslide is creeping from the northeast to the
southwest covering an area of about 70 m × 40 m (Fig. 1(c)). The height difference between the toe and the crown is approximately 20 m with an average gradient of 25°. The Dongxin expressway and a 50 t, high-voltage power line tower are located at the toe and top of the slope, respectively. In addition, there is a fire response service road that runs along the slope that is affected by the slide (Fig. 1(d)).

**Fig. 1.** Overview of the Dafushan landslide. (a) Landslide location; (b) Geomorphologic and geologic map of the landslide area; (c) Aerial view of the unstable slope; (d) Engineering activities on the slope (modified based on Yu et al., 2017 with permission of Springer).
2.2 Landslide triggering factors

The ground was first found to be unstable in May 2013. This instability was manifested mainly by cracks in the ground surface and cracks in the round-the-mountain road. The road was built for fire response services in May 2011. The relevant departments repaired the damaged road immediately to guarantee the normal operation of the road. However, additional evidence of instability was found in the middle of August 2013 after a period of intense rainfall. The road was damaged again and the trees up the hill began to tilt. Based on preliminary field investigation, the main factors that triggered the landslide were deduced.

(1) Hydrogeology and rainfall

Rainfall is the main supply source of groundwater in the study area. The average annual rainfall is 1635.6 mm. Most of the rain falls between April and September; this rainfall accounts for 81% of the yearly precipitation. In the rainy season, the groundwater level rises significantly and reduces the shear strength of the soil. Combined with the rainfall flushing effect on the slope surface, the stability of the slope is decreased significantly.

(2) Mechanical properties of landslide soil

The shallow part of the landslide is mainly composed of silty clay (Fig. 2) and a strongly weathered mudstone soil with a low shear strength. These materials soften and disintegrate when wet, thus the slope is stable in the dry season but shows signs of instability in the rainy season.
**Fig. 2.** Geology and soil at the Dafushan landslide. (a) Longitudinal geologic section of the unstable slope shown in Fig. 1(c). (b) Photograph of the silty clay landslide soil.

(3) **Human engineering activities**

Human engineering activities impaired the natural stability of the slope. Two examples: a) to build the fire service road, a cut was made in the slope; b) the heavy high-voltage power line tower increases the downward pressure on the slope (Fig. 1(d)).

3. **Ring shear tests**

A GCTS Residual Ring Shear Testing System (model SRS-150) produced by Geotechnical Consulting and Testing Systems (GCTS) in 2012 in the USA was used for the ring shear tests conducted for this study (Fig. 3). The SRS 150 is a fully automated electro-pneumatic and servo-controlled testing system used for determining the residual strength of continuously sheared soil. Shear torques of up to 820 Nm can be applied, consolidation stress can be up to 1000 kPa, and unlimited angular rotation is allowed (Hoyos et al., 2014; Dai et al., 2016). The unit is capable of applying shearing rates of 0.001 to 360 degrees per minute continuously with
zero-backlash for replication of true in-situ strain rates during failure. (Hoyos et al., 2011).

**Fig. 3.** Photograph of the GCTS SR-150 Residual Ring Shear testing device and an image of the GCTS software interface.

A schematic illustration of a sample in the apparatus is shown in Fig. 4. For testing granular materials, the device accepts ring-shaped samples with a 150 mm outer diameter and a 100 mm inner diameter. The sample is sheared by rotating the upper half of the testing unit and keeping the lower half motionless. Two types of shearing modes, either a shear speed control mode or a shear torque control mode, can be chosen.
3.1 Sample preparation and test procedures

The samples studied were samples of the silty clay soil from the Dafushan landslide shown in Fig. 2(b). The soil’s physical properties are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1** Physical properties of a soil from the Dafushan landslide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Dry density</th>
<th>Water content</th>
<th>Liquid limit $\omega_L$</th>
<th>Plastic limit $\omega_P$</th>
<th>Plastic index $I_P$</th>
<th>Liquidity index $I_L$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A series of ring shear tests were performed to determine the physical properties of the landslide soil after it had been extensively sheared. The saturated soil sample was first consolidated under a normal stress and then it was sheared to a residual state under naturally drained conditions using the shear speed control mode of the ring shear test system. For these tests, normal stresses of 50, 100, 200, 300, and 400 kPa were used to consolidate the soil samples and different shear rates (1, 5, 10, 20 °/min) were employed. Test parameters are listed in Table 2.

**Table 2** Consolidation stresses, shearing rates, and saturations for soil specimens subjected to laboratory ring shear tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Normal stress $\sigma$ (kPa)</th>
<th>Shear rate $\alpha$ (°/min)</th>
<th>Saturation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Test results and discussion

(1) Axial stress

Figure 5 shows the relationships between shear stress and angular displacement under a shear rate of 5 °/min and axial stresses of 50, 100, 200, 300, and 400 kPa. At the same shear rate, shear strength increases with increasing axial stress. In the initial shear stages, shear stresses increase rapidly along with shear displacement and reach a peak shear strength. The greater the axial stresses, the larger the shear displacement at peak shear strength. When the axial stress is low (e.g., 50 kPa and 100 kPa), the shear stresses do not change after peak shear strength is reached. When the axial stress is high (e.g., 200 kPa, 300 kPa, or 400 kPa), the shear stresses decrease after peak shear strength but eventually stabilize. This stable strength is the residual shear strength and is the result of strain softening.
Fig. 5. Shear stress–angular displacement curves for the landslide soil at a shear rate of 5°/min and different axial stresses for (a) saturated soil and (b) dry soil.

The residual strength envelope of the soil can be illustrated by plotting the shear stress against axial stress, as shown in Fig. 6.
Based on Coulomb's equation, the peak and residual shear strengths of the landslide soil were obtained and are listed in Table 3. Because the main trigger for the Dafushan landslide was heavy rain, the residual strength of saturated soil is used for the numerical simulation presented in Section 4 of this paper.

**Table 3** Cohesion and internal friction for landslide soils at peak and residual shear strengths calculated from the Coulomb (Mohr-Coulomb) equation.
Soil Peak shear strength Residual shear strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Cohesion $c_r$/kPa</th>
<th>Internal friction angle $\phi_r$/°</th>
<th>Cohesion $c_r$/kPa</th>
<th>Internal friction angle $\phi_r$/°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saturated soil</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>28.05</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>24.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry soil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Shear rate

Figure 7 shows the relationships between shear stress and angular deformation under a normal stress of 200 kPa at shear rates of 1, 5, 10, and 20 °/min. As the shear rate increases, the residual shear strengths increase slightly but the peak shear strengths show the opposite reaction. However, the angular displacements at peak shear strength increase significantly, as shown in Table 4.

**Table 4** Differences in shear strengths and angular displacements for saturated landslide soil at different shearing rates.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shearing rate (°/min)</th>
<th>Peak shear strength (kPa)</th>
<th>Residual shear strength (kPa)</th>
<th>Difference between peak and residual shear strength (kPa)</th>
<th>Angular displacement at peak shear strength (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>109.10</td>
<td>99.35</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>6.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>107.00</td>
<td>99.52</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>6.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>105.00</td>
<td>100.55</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>16.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>105.80</td>
<td>100.99</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>39.168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To analyze the relationship between the residual shear strength of the saturated soil and the shear strain rate, the residual shear stress–shear strain rate curve can be drawn (Fig. 8). The formula for calculating the shear strain rate is:

$$\dot{\gamma} = \frac{R \omega}{H}$$  

(1)

where $\dot{\gamma}$ is the shear strain rate, $R$ is the average radius of the sample, $\omega$ is the angular velocity, $H$ is the height of the sample.

As shown in Fig. 8, the residual shear strength of the saturated soil as determined by these experiments increases linearly with shear strain rate. This result agrees with the results reported by Li et al. (2013) and Dai et al. (2016). This relationship is similar to the behavior of a viscous fluid and can be expressed by Eq. (2):

$$\tau = \eta \dot{\gamma} + f(\sigma)$$  

(2)

where $\tau$ is shear stress, $\eta$ is the coefficient of viscosity. The intercept $f(\sigma)$ represents the shear stress when the shear strain rate equals 0.
Fig. 8. Residual shear stress–shear strain rate curves for the saturated landslide soil.

4. SPH-based numerical simulation for landslides

4.1 Calculation principles and SPH process methods

(1) Basic SPH concepts

Smoothed particle hydrodynamics is a mesh-free and fully Lagrangian method based on fluid dynamics. In Lagrangian models, the coordinates move with the medium being modeled. The continuous medium is discretized into a series of arbitrarily distributed discrete elements (called particles) and field variables (like energy, velocity, density, or any other variable) for each particle can be calculated in the form of SPH (Dao et al., 2013; Huang and Dai, 2014).

The SPH method is built on interpolation theory with two essential approximations. These approximations are smoothing and the particle (Huang et al., 2014). The smoothing approximation, also known as kernel approximation, describes a function in a continuous form as an integral representation. The particle approximation means that the value of a function for a particle can be determined by
the average value of all the particles in the support domain. The smoothing and the particle approximations can be expressed, respectively, by the following two equations:

\[
\langle f(x) \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x') W(x-x', h) dx' \tag{3}
\]

\[
\langle f(x) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j \frac{f_j(x)}{\rho_j} W(x-x', h) \tag{4}
\]

where the angle brackets represent a kernel approximation, \( x \) is the location vector of the particle, \( x' \) denotes neighboring particle in the support area, \( W \) is the smoothing function, \( h \) stands for the smoothing length, \( \Omega \) stands for the volume of the integral that contains \( x \), \( m \) is the mass, and \( \rho \) is the density, \( N \) is the total number of particles.

(2) Governing equations

The Navier–Stokes equations in a computational fluid dynamics framework are used as governing equations in this study. The equations of continuity and motion in the SPH version can be expressed as:

\[
\frac{d\rho_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j \left( u_i^\alpha - u_j^\alpha \right) \frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial x_i^\alpha} \tag{5}
\]

\[
\frac{du_i^\alpha}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j \left( \frac{\sigma_i^{\alpha\beta}}{(\rho_i)^2} + \frac{\sigma_j^{\alpha\beta}}{(\rho_j)^2} \right) \frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial x_j^\beta} + F_i \tag{6}
\]

where \( W_{ij} \) represents the smoothing function of particle \( I \) calculated at particle \( j \), \( t \) is time, \( u \) denotes the velocity vector, \( \sigma \) is the stress tensor, \( F \) represents the vector of external force, and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the coordinate directions.

(3) Model for a landslide simulation
The Bingham model has been proved as one of the most effective models for runout simulation of flowlike landslides (Marr et al., 2002; Moriguchi et al., 2009). In this paper, the Bingham flow model is also adopted as the constitutive model for the Dafushan landslide in this study. The relationship between shear stress and strain rate can be written as:

\[ \tau = \eta \dot{\gamma} + \tau_y. \]  

(7)

Equation (8) can be modified by combining it with the Mohr-Coulomb yield criterion to yield (Moriguchi et al., 2009):

\[ \tau = \eta \dot{\gamma} + \sigma \tan \varphi + c \]  

(8)

where \( \tau \) denotes the shear stress, \( \eta \) and \( \tau_y \) represent the Bingham yield viscosity and stress, respectively, \( \dot{\gamma} \) is the shear strain rate, \( \sigma \) is the pressure, \( \varphi \) is the friction angle, and \( c \) is the cohesion.

For this study, the concept of equivalent viscosity was adopted to better integrate the Bingham model into the SPH framework. The equivalent viscosity can be expressed as:

\[ \eta' = \eta + \tau_y / \dot{\gamma}. \]  

(9)

The maximum value was defined by Uzuoka et al. (1998) as:

\[ \eta' = \eta_0 + \frac{\tau_y}{\dot{\gamma}} \quad \text{when} \quad \eta' < \eta_{\text{max}} \]  

(10)

\[ \eta' = \eta_{\text{max}} \quad \text{when} \quad \eta' > \eta_{\text{max}} \]  

(11)

where \( \eta_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum value of \( \eta' \).

(4) Procedure for the numerical simulation
A flow chart for the SPH numerical simulation is shown as Fig. 9. Details about how the calculations are carried out can be found in Huang et al. (2014). The accuracy of SPH program in landslide modelling was also fully validated in Huang et al. (2014).

![Flow chart for the SPH numerical simulation](image)

**Fig. 9.** Flow chart for the SPH numerical simulation used in this study.

4.2 *Dafushan landslide SPH simulation and results*

Previous studies have been conducted on the verification analysis of landslide, which prove the accuracy of SPH model is relatively high (Huang et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2015). The error of slide distance can reach 4.6% (Huang et al., 2012). While, this study extends the application of SPH model in combination with accurate soil parameters derived from ring shear tests to predictive analysis of unstable landslide. In addition, two sets of comparative calculation were carried out, which demonstrate the robustness of the SPH method.
Based on a terrain model derived from an unmanned aerial vehicle and structure-from-motion (Yu et al., 2017), an SPH simulation of the failure process of the Dafushan landslide was conducted. Figure 10 is a longitudinal section of the model slide with the particles in the slide mass shown in red, the boundary particles shown in blue. The soil particles in the model can be deformed in both the vertical and horizontal directions under gravitational force in the vertical direction.

**Fig. 10.** Longitudinal section of the SPH numerical model of the Dafushan landslide. The particles representing the slide mass are shown in red, the particles representing the fixed boundary are shown in blue.

Table 5 lists the parameters used in the SPH simulation of the landslide. The shear strength parameters listed in Table 5, $c$ and $\phi$, are the values calculated from the ring shear tests. Two sets of comparative calculation were conducted as shown in Table 6 and Figure 11. The parameters of computer are 3.40 GHz CPU and 16 GB RAM. The results have a high degree of similarity in the slide distance, but the time consuming of Case 2 is about 2.76 times than Case 1. Hence, 3242 particles with diameter of 0.5 m and time step of 0.003 were applied in our study.

**Table 5** Parameters used in the SPH simulation of the Dafushan landslide.
Density $\rho$ (kg/m$^3$) & 1770 \\
Residual cohesion $c$ (kPa) & 6.48 \\
Residual internal friction Angle $\phi$ (°) & 24.23 \\
Acceleration of gravity $g$ (m/s$^2$) & 9.80 \\

Table 6 Predicted results in Dafushan landslide with different calculating parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Particle diameter (m)</th>
<th>Particle number</th>
<th>Time step (s)</th>
<th>X-coordinate of the slide front (m)</th>
<th>Time consuming (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3242</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>32.43</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4471</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>33.45</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Predicted results in Dafushan landslide with different calculating parameters

Figures 12(a)-11(g) show the flow process of Dafushan landslide predicted by the SPH simulation. In Fig. 12, the solid black line represents the bed on which the mass slides, the red dashed line represents the SPH-modeled ground surface. At time $t = 0$, this red line is the ground surface before slide failure. For times after $t = 0$, it is the top surface of the flowing mass of soil that constitutes the moving landslide mass as predicted by the SPH simulation results. In the model, the time the failed Dafushan landslide lasts, from initiation to the whole landslide mass coming to rest, is 200 s.
The model predicts that the landslide would cut the fire road at $t = 12 \text{ s}$ and cover the expressway at $t = 36 \text{ s}$. When the landslide stops sliding at $200 \text{ s}$, slide material would cover about a 38 m wide swath of ground beyond the foot of the topographic slope.

(a) $t = 0 \text{ s}$

(b) $t = 12 \text{ s}$

(c) $t = 36 \text{ s}$
Fig. 12. Longitudinal profiles showing the results of the SPH forecasting model. The panels represent the outline of the Dafushan landslide from the time the slide is
initiated at $t = 0$ s (panel a) through the slide finally coming to rest at $t = 200$ s (panel f).

Because this SPH simulation is a Lagrangian method, it can track the velocity and displacement of each particle accurately. The velocity and displacement curves for the front and rear edges of simulated landslide are shown in Figs. 13 and 14. As shown in Fig. 13, the velocity of the front edge increases rapidly after slope failure begins and reaches three velocity peaks as the slide passes the three steps labeled A, B, and C shown in Fig. 10. The speed of the front and the times after initiation that it reaches these three steps are 5.23 m/s at 0.6 s at step A, 6.66 m/s at 9.3 s at step B, and 1.92 m/s at 23.6 s at step C. Unlike the front edge of the landslide, the velocity of the landslide’s rear edge shows only a single peak. The maximum speed is 1.40 m/s; this appears 3.8 s after the slide is initiated.

![Graph showing velocity curve of front and rear edge of Dafushan landslide.](image)

**Fig. 13.** Velocity curve of the front and rear edge of Dafushan landslide as predicted by the SPH model.
According to the Fig. 14, the maximum flow distances of the front and rear edge are up to 95.4 m and 12.3 m, respectively. The front edge of the slide will destroy the fire road about 10–12 s after the slide starts and reach the highway at \( t = 36 \) s. Thereafter, the velocity gradually approaches zero as the flow distance increases. The speed of the front flow can be divided into three stages. The flow is fastest from 0–10 s, slower from 10–45 s, and relatively slow from 45–200 s. However, once signs of failure are observed at the Dafushan landslide site, evacuation of personnel and vehicles within about 38 m of the slope should begin immediately.

![Displacement curve of the front and rear edge of Dafushan landslide](image)

**Fig. 14.** Displacement curve of the front and rear edge of Dafushan landslide as predicted by the SPH model.

### 5. Conclusions

In this study, the SPH method incorporating soil mechanical parameters derived from ring shear tests is used to predict the flow of a potential landslide that could develop on an unstable slope in Guangzhou City, China. This study provides basic information for landslide disaster mitigation. The conclusions are:
(1) Under the same shear rate, soil shear strength increases with increasing axial stress. For the conditions used in this study, under high axial stress (> 200 kPa) the soil exhibits strain softening.

(2) During ring shear tests, as the shear rate increases, the residual shear strengths increase slightly but the peak shear strengths decrease as the angular displacements at peak shear strength increase significantly.

(3) A SPH-based numerical simulation of the potential Dafushan landslide conducted to predict the scope of the landslide and track the slide velocity at different times shows that the landslide would cut the fire road at \( t = 12 \) s and cover the expressway at \( t = 36 \) s. And once signs of failure are observed at the Dafushan landslide site, evacuation of personnel and vehicles within about 38 m of the slope should begin immediately.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC1501304), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 41625011 and 41807293), Foundation of Key Laboratory of Geotechnical and Underground Engineering (KLE-TJGE-B1704), the Fundamental Research Funds for National University, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) (Grant No. CUGL170806), and the National Key Technologies R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2012BAJ11B04).

References


