

Interactive comment on “A Taylor’s power law in the Wenchuan earthquake sequence with fluctuation scaling” by Peijian Shi et al.

Peijian Shi et al.

mei_seis@163.com

Received and published: 13 February 2019

We thank the reviewers for their comments. Answers are given below in red. Changes in the revised version of the paper are also in red.

Anonymous Referee #2

A Taylor’s power law in the Wenchuan earthquake sequence with fluctuation scaling by Peijian Shi et al. The authors explore Taylor’s power law (TPL) of the released energy of Wenchuan earthquakes sequence on varying timescales. In my opinion the paper is interesting and well written. Here there are a couple of major comments: - The authors show that the exponent of the TPL is a value independent of timescale equal or almost equal to 2. Why does it happen? The authors mention some general explanations,

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



some of them related to Ecology, but none of them is focused on the released energy of earthquakes along time. In other works, my question is, for what physical reason would the exponent of the TPL be equal to 2? Considering the previous investigations, we think the physical reason of the slope $b=2$ in TPL during our work is that, after the main shock, there is a stable spatial-temporal dependent energy release caused by regional stress adjustment and redistribution during the fault revolution after the main shock. The related words are also added to the revised paper in red.

- Note that using the logarithm to model the intercept as a function of the temporal block size A , the overall formula of the TPL remains, $V = kA\beta\mu b$ This is an interesting expression that would deserve more attention in the paper. In particular, the exponent of A (it is around 0.2) is an interesting parameter that should be highlighted and discussed in the paper. We have added something respectively to Section results and discussion in red.

Minor comments - Lines 20-22: “On the other hand. . .approximately definite and deterministic”. This paragraph is not clear, what does it mean? - I realize that the authors are using along the paper logarithms with base 10, it should be mentioned. Moreover, notation “lg” for logarithms is not standard and it would be better changed to “log” along the paper. We have changed “lg” into “log10” in revised paper.

- Usually, when the mean is denoted with the Greek letter μ the variance is denoted as σ^2 . We have changed “V” into “ σ^2 ” in revised paper.

- Lines 296-298: “The variations of the estimated exponent b . . . are shown in Figure 6”. Something is wrong here because Figure 6 shows the variation of the estimated intercept. Yes and the reviewer is right. We have changed the sentence “The variations of the estimated exponent b of the Wenchuan sequence. . . are shown in Figure 6” into “The variations of the estimated intercept of the Wenchuan sequence. . . are shown in Figure 6”.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/nhess-2018-315/nhess-2018-315-AC2-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2018-315>, 2018.

NHESSD

[Interactive
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

