

# ***Interactive comment on “Analysis of Land surface Temperature change based on MODIS data, Case study: Inner Delta of Niger” by Abdramane Dembélé et al.***

**Abdramane Dembélé et al.**

a.dembele10@yahoo.fr

Received and published: 14 November 2018

The authors thank the reviewer for thoroughly reviewing our manuscript, providing valuable suggestions to improve the manuscript. Therefore a language review has been made to the entire manuscript after all corrections addressed in the following paragraphs

The authors appreciate the comments of the critics and agree that this study focuses on the influence of the characteristic places on the variation of LST during 18 years. Accordingly, a common response has been prepared for this part, and the same is given below.

---

Interactive  
comment

The MODIS image is a good indicator of Land surface temperature (LST) on the interface analysis in order to characterize areas. The latest decades has registered the attraction of much attention through the LST at large-scale (Roy et al., 2014; Vlassova, Pérez-Cabello, Mimbreno, Llovería, & García-Martín, 2014; Wang, Liang, & Meyers, 2008; Zorer et al., 2013) and the advancement of earth and environmental sciences in the desertification monitoring so as in the monitoring of LST evolution (Hillger & Clark, 2002a, 2002b). One of the major driving forces causing many extremes of soil anomalies whose in recent years that many regions have undergone is the terrestrial global warming (C et al., 2013; Committee, 2015; Tol, 2009). Based on meteorological stations data, many studies have quantified the global temperature of the earth (Coumou, Robinson, & Rahmstorf, 2012; Frangou, Ladle, Malhado, & Whittaker, 2010; Rahmstorf & Coumou, 2011). Then several phenomena and climate extreme have been recorded during 2011–2015 and the probability increased by a factor of ten or more, in the case of some extreme high temperatures (World Meteorological Organization, 2016a)(World Meteorological Organization, 2016b). Only temperature anomalies have concerned most analysis(Report, 2018; Weber et al., 2014). The Inner Delta of Niger (IDN), in central of Mali, through desert regions represents one of the hot areas of the African plate (Friese, 2010; Mao, K et al., 2017). Inner Delta of Niger, is part of a large geological structure (Continental Terminal, Precambrian, Quaternary) that have played several roles since the Pan-African Orogeny and may present a risk of current activities (Inger, Dione, Jarosewich-Holder, & Olivry, 2006), (El Abbass et al., 1993). Certain portions of the region are superimposed on an area of positive gravity anomalies (Svensen et al., 2003). The parallelism between the gravity and structural directions is particularly marked between Tombouctou, Faguibine and the Nara Trench (Chudeau, 2018; Zwarts, Van Beukering, Kone, & Wymenga, 2005). In April 2001, has been receiving reports about increased thermal activity in Tombouctou area (Svensen et al., 2003). Hot fumaroles and magmatic rocks whose the upper part of a hydrothermal system has been observed and considered as volcanic activity (Villatte, 1973). This study focuses specifically on multitemporal analysis of LST by a set of processes while also

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



---

[Interactive comment](#)

emphasizing the variation of LST values under the influence of different sectors.

The authors appreciate the reviewer comments. As suggested by the reviewer, the data used are MODIS (MOD11A1) and have been well described in the revised manuscript as follows:

Generally, the MODIS instrument is operating on both the Terra and Aqua spacecraft. It has a viewing swath width of 2 330km and views the entire surface of the earth every one to two days. Its detectors measure 36 spectral bands and it acquires data at three spatial resolution: 250m, 500m, and 1000m (LPDAAC (Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center), 2018). Specifically, the MOD11A1\_L3 version 6 product provides daily, per-pixel land surface temperature (LST) in a 1200 x 1200 kilometer grid in the Sinusoidal projection. The exact grid size at 1km spatial resolution is 0.928km by 0.928km. Using the generalized divided window algorithm under clear sky conditions defined in MOD35 (at a confidence $>= 95\%$  on land  $\leq 2000\text{ m}$  or $>= 66\%$  on land $> 2000\text{ m}$ , and with confidence $>= 66\%$  on the lakes), extracting the LST pixel values from each pellet are used to generate the daily product MOD11A1 LST C6. Through clear sky, this construction maps all valid LST values on the grids of the sinusoidal projection while using the average of the LST values of the superimposed pixels in each grid with superimposed areas by weight (Zhengming Wan, 2007, 2013). In clear skies, several observations are made to some pixels when the latitude is 30 degrees. Thus the generalized split-window algorithm retrieves the MOD11A1\_L3 through the expression (Z. Wan & Dozier, 1996; Zhengming, 1999):

Equ1 Ts represents the LST T31 and T32 are band 31 and 32 of MODIS brightness temperature  $\varepsilon_{31}$  and  $\varepsilon_{32}$  are band 31 and 32 of MODIS surface emissivity that vary within a land cover type (crop lands may have different soils and crops in variable coverage); A MODIS pixel may cover several 1km grids with different land cover types (Z. Wan & Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., & Li, 2004). C, A1, A2, A3, B1, B2 ,and B3 are the coefficients of regression and depend on viewing zenith angle (in range of 0-65°) The linear regression allows to establish the tables representing the multidimensional

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



look-up. The algorithm contains coefficients that also depend on the surface temperature ranges of air and water vapor of the MOD11A1\_L3 column. These coefficients in addition to the zenith angle to improve the recovery accuracy of the LST are also incorporated (Z. Wan & Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., & Li, 2004; Z Wan, Wang, & Li, 2004). Equ 2 LSTc Land Surface Temperature in Celsius degree DN Digital number represents the SDS (scientific data sets) data in uint16 which multiplied by the scale factor 0.02 gives a value in the range of 150-1310.7K (Zhengming Wan, 2013). The archived images have been selected from databases of the website "earth explorer" in the way that more than 95% of the study area is a good quality of visualization (Survey, 2005; USGS United States Geological Survey, 2016; Zhengming Wan, 2007). The raw data MOD11A1V6 with 0.928 kilometers of the spatial resolution has like unit the "uint16" which represents the multiplier of the scale factor (0.02). The value of this ratio entity ("DN x 0.02") is then expressed in Kelvin degree while situating it in the range of 150-1310.7K (Zhengming Wan, 2013). Characteristics of the effective calibration parameters of Scientific Data Sets (SDS) are expressed in the below Table A (Zhengming Wan, 2007). The used images MODIS MOD11A1V6 are selected over a period of eighteen years (2000 to 2017).

The authors appreciate the reviewer comments. -As suggested by the reviewer, the methodological process is clearly described in the manuscript as below. The used images MODIS MOD11A1V6 are selected over a period of eighteen years (2000 to 2017). The used method presents 3 separate parts whose preprocessing includes the data clipping with the study area outline followed by data screening into Kelvin degree, then a conversion in Celsius degree using the equation 2. The "equal Interval" method has allowed processing each image into 5 classes of temperature, due to the non-evolution of the LST distribution beyond 5 slices. In other words, the threshold of the variation of the classes on which is well detailed the distribution of the LST is limited to 5 classes (figure 5). As shown in Figure 6, the 3D modeling of the LST is strongly influenced by the geological occupancy. Thus, different transition sectors of the temperature slices were identified while determining the transfer rates. Based on



---

Interactive  
comment

the decision tree technic (Bogaert, Ceulemans, & Salvador-Van Eysenrode, 2004), different sequence types were observed for each of the transitions in order to calculate the rate of evolution of the sequence types. - As suggested by the examiner. The "Characterization of the LST modeling in function of geology" section explains the influence of geology in the variation of LST through modeling. And have been well described in the revised manuscript as follows The characteristic of the LST shape is further builted as based on the continuous and smooth modelling. Figure 6 illustrates the 3D format of the Mean of 18 years. The morphology of the modelling is well described over the area. The diversified mean LTS values "from 19.21°C to 48.94°C" show from the geology and landscape configuration an appreciable demonstration. The morphological typical shapes such as concave, ridge, and flat part are illustrated in Figure 6 by taking the mean as an example. The three regions has revealed that the LST values of hottest areas are represented by the mountain ridges (the highest), while the lowest temperatures are illustrated by concave cavities, and followed by the mean temperatures which are illustrated by flat shapes (Dembélé & Ye, 2017; Mahe, Orange, Mariko, & Bricquet, 2011; Maiga. H, Marie. J, Morand. P, N'Djim. H, 2007). Accordingly the modelling, the first region performs as a concave shape as a water area surrounded by wet area included between "19.21°C to 31.10°C" in the recent alluvions (Dembélé & Ye, 2017). Also mainly as a semi-dry area, the second region demonstrates as a flat part between "31.10°C to 43.00°C" into the arrangement of precambrian and continental terminal formations at southwest and at the East of recent alluvions. The third region reveals a ridge morphology as it is a mixed Dunes, sandy, clays, and laterite at the northwest of the study area include between "43.00°C to 48.94°C". Therefore, the diversification of the geology also influences the values of the LST as the modeling shows.

The authors appreciate the reviewer comments. Accordingly as suggested, the color bars as well the "high" and "low" of is marked in the figure 3 and the figure 6.

The authors appreciate the reviewer comments. Accordingly as suggested the re-

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



viewer, the relevance of work has been better discussed in the conclusions section as follow.

One of the studies on the environment or climate is the variation of the LST. The state of the environment having undergone various changes of temperature at its surface level provides different LST values. These LST values generated from MODIS data require enhanced analysis to predict the progress of global warming on different sectors over time. Based on MOD11A1 data, LST values are examined over an 18-year period (2000 to 2017). The spread of the LST dynamics highlights different temperature slices whose threshold did not exceed 5 slices. That is to say beyond 5 slices, no longer evolves the distribution of LST in the study area. This process of processing made it possible to limit certain errors related to the multitemporal analysis of the images by the lightening in slices of temperatures. Over an eighteen-year interval, the strong stagnation of certain temperature slices shows a slight increase compared to other slices. The dynamics in each of the years are not able to dominate global interannual dynamics. Technically, the core of this study is to analyze the frequency of variation of the LST as well as the factors influencing the LTS values. After image processing, a variation of the LST was found as much as at the level of the temperature slices, as well as at the level of the different years (interannual). The LST has an increasing value from the southern part to the northern part. The variation of the LST during the 18 years shows an annual increase of the temperature of  $0.24^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Throughout the means of the temperature slices, the smallest spaces are occupied by the maximum and the minimum of the temperature slices " $43.00^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $48.94^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $19.21^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $25.15^{\circ}\text{C}$ " with 2.02% and 4.02 % respective, while the largest area representing 64.59% is occupied by the mean of the slices " $37.05^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $43.00^{\circ}\text{C}$ ." And the LST values are influenced by some of the hottest surfaces, among which are the faguibine system. in addition, at the environmental scale the surfaces of the first two maximum slices " $37.05^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $43.00^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $43.00^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $48.94^{\circ}\text{C}$ " indicate respective regressions of -0.64% and -1.24% per annum and directed towards the North, while the average area of the slice " $31.10^{\circ}\text{C}$  at  $37.05^{\circ}\text{C}$ " undergoes a strong progression of 1.74% per year and



Interactive  
comment

the surfaces two minimum slices "19, 21 ° C at 25.15 ° C and 25.15 ° C at 31.10 ° C " have slight increase rates of 0.13% and 0.20% respectively. In addition, the study area is constituted of a geological set that plays an important role in LST modeling. In fact, different forms have characterized the LST modeling for the existing geology. They are identified as follows: the concave shape, the ridge and the flat shape. The concave shape represents the lowest temperature slice "19.21 ° C to 31.10 ° C" observed on the watercourse (Niger river) and its surroundings. The flat shape represents the mean slice "31.10 ° C to 43.00 ° C" in the arrangement of the Precambrian and continental terminal formations scattered on the southwest and southeast coasts of the study area. And finally the maximum temperature range "43.00 ° C to 48.94 ° C" is represented by the ridge shape and is located in the mixture of dunes, sands, clays and laterite. The modeling reports an overview of the LST variation characterized by geology. In other words, geology has a part to affect the LST values. The variation of the LST observed on all the images corresponds to the rate of temperatures transited from one year to the next. Globally, the period from 2000-2001 to 2016-2017 was characterized by three main types of temperature dynamics: a stability (50.60% of the space), a dynamics of narrowing of low temperature (46.37% of the space), and an opening of High temperatures (2.63%) expressing in varying degrees. Many of the means slices (third and fourth slices) of the different years have their major portion remaining intact in subsequent years. Between the maximum slices, the lowest transition rates are observed, followed by the minimum slices (first and second slices). In the set, the quantization of the interannual transition of temperature slices shows a dominance of space by means temperature slices with a small variation of the LST. And the maximum temperature slices showing the low transition rates define a large interannual increase of the LST. The transited temperature ranges occupied the surface in a particular way. This way of occupation by the slices is determined by the spatial transformation processes (STP) in order to write the evolution status of the LST. Based on the technic of the decision tree, a sequence of two major changes (creation and attrition) have invaded all the different interannual temperature ranges. Of those, the maximum interannual temperature

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

---

Interactive  
comment

ranges have suffered more decrease in the number of spots than creation, while on the four remaining temperature bands, have been observed the maximum of the creation of new spots. Hence in the set, 53.33% of spots creation against with 46.66% of spot attrition constitute the STP. Thus the disappearance of the spots (attrition) on the means of the maximum temperature slices from 7.78% to 12.22% causing a decrease of its surface up to 4.02%.

The authors appreciate the reviewer comments. As suggested by the reviewer, the set of the references of the manuscript has been revised and correctly cited in the text (, lines 29-30).

---

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2018-208>, 2018.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



---

Interactive  
comment

$$T_S = C + \left( A_1 + A_2 \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} + A_3 \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \frac{T_{s1}+T_{s2}}{2} + \left( B_1 + B_2 \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} + B_3 \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \frac{T_{s1}-T_{s2}}{2} \quad 1$$
$$\varepsilon = 0.5 (\varepsilon_{s1} + \varepsilon_{s2}) \text{ and } \Delta\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_{s1} - \varepsilon_{s2})$$

$$LST_C = (DN * 0.02) - 273.15 \quad 2$$

**Fig. 1.**

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



Table A. SDSs in the MOD11A1 product

SDS Name	Long Name	Number Type	Unit	Valid Slice	Fill Value	Scale factor	Add offset
LST_Day_1km	Daily daytime 1km grid Land-surface Temperature	Unit16	Kelvin	07500-65535	0	0.02	0
QC_Day	Quality control for daytime LST and Emissivity	Unit18	none	0-255	0	NA	NA
Day_view_time	(local solar) time of daytime land-surface temperature observation	Unit18	hrs	0-240	0	0.1	0
Day_view_angle	View Zenith angle of daytime Land-surface Temperature	Unit18	degree	0-130	255	1.0	-65.0
LST_Night_1km	Daily nighttime 1km grid Land-surface temperature	Unit16	Kelvin	7500-65563	0	0.02	0.0
QC_Night	Quality control for nighttime LST and emissivity	Unit8	none	0-255	0	NA	NA
Night_view_time	(local solar) time of nighttime land-surface temperature observation	Unit8	hrs	0-240	0	0.1	0
Night_view_angle	View Zenith angle of nighttime Land-surface Temperature	Unit8	degree	0-130	255	1.0	-65.0
Emis_31	Band 31 emissivity	Unit8	none	1-255	0	0.002	0.49
Emiss_32	Band 32 emissivity	Unit8	none	1-255	0	0.002	0
Clays_day_cov	Day clear-sky coverage	Unit16	none	0-65535	0	0.0005	0
Clav_night_cov	Night clear-sky coverage	Unit16	none	0-65535	0	0.0005	0

Fig. 2.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive  
comment





Figure 3. Land Surface Temperature (LST) change from 2000 to 2017

Fig. 3.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



[Interactive comment](#)

**Figure 6.** Modelling of the mean LST

**Fig. 4.**

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)