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Abstract. The use of Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems (RPASs) in geosciences is often aimed at the acquisition of an image sequence to produce digital models and orthophotographs of the topographic surface. The technology can be applied for rockfall hazard and risk assessment. To study rockfalls, an approach consists in the application of numerical models for the computation of rockfall trajectories. Data required for such simulations include digital terrain models, location of the instability source areas, and the mechanical properties of the terrain. In this article, we present an analysis of the earthquake-triggered rockfall that occurred along the SP18 in Villanova di Accumoli (Lazio, central Italy) during the seismic sequence that started on 24 August 2016. A survey with a multicopter was carried out to obtain a surface model of the terrain, the identification and characterization of the source areas and of other instable blocks in areas not accessible in the field. The investigated area extends for 6,500 m\(^2\) and was covered by 161 photographs that were used to obtain an orthophoto with a ground resolution of 2.5 cm, and a digital surface model with a ground resolution of 20 cm \(\times\) 20 cm which was processed and fused with GNSS RTK data. To obtain a map of potential rockfall trajectories, we run the numerical model STONE, using as origin of the boulders both source areas mapped in the field and pixels with a slope angle above a selected threshold. Results showed that only the part of the road SP18 already affected by the rockfall was exposed to further rockfall impacts. In particular, it was observed that 29.2\% (i.e. 12,123) of the 41,500 simulated trajectories may potentially reach or cross this tract of the road. Based on these data, limited protection measures were suggested. The combined use of RPAS data, fused with ground GPS points, an accurate geomorphological survey, and terrain static and dynamic parameters from the literature, allows fast, low-cost and replicable rockfall numerical modelling useful for emergency response and adoption of proper protection measures.

1 Introduction

Rockfall is a widespread natural hazard that poses continuous risk to the population in mountain areas worldwide (Whalley W, 1984; Guzzetti et al., 2002). Due to the prevalent type of movement (free falling, bouncing, rolling, sliding), rockfalls are among the fastest and deadliest landslide type (Evans S, 1997; Guzzetti et al., 2002). Rockfalls can be triggered by earthquakes, intense rainfall, frost weathering, wind and roots growth, and even traffic (Guzzetti et al., 2004). The elements at risk most exposed to rockfall hazard are transport corridors (Guzzetti et al., 2002; Budetta, 2004; Guzzetti et al., 2004), which often cross hazardous areas. In particular, rockfalls cause relevant damages to structures and infrastructures along secondary and minor transport networks, where adequate protection measures are not economically sustainable (Corominas et al., 2005; Ferlisi et al., 2012), and pose severe risk to people.

During triggering events such as seismic sequences, areas exposed to rockfall hazard can be hit in several places (Guzzetti et al., 2004), causing multiple damages and interruptions of the infrastructures, particularly roads and rails. In such events, it is important to characterize the most critical situations along roads and rails to guarantee, in short time spans, a safe use of the transportation infrastructures. Operating workflows to better characterize rockfall source areas and trajectories could lead to identify areas where rockfalls can interact with roads, allowing for tailored protection measures, and developing more rapid infrastructure protection activities.
Defining the potential interaction of rockfalls with elements at risk, known their geographic location, is a challenging task. Empirical, process-based and GIS-based software including e.g., STONE (Guzzetti et al., 2002), HY-STONE (Agliardi and Crosta, 2003), CONEFALL (Jaboyedoff and Labiouse, 2011), Rockyfor3D (Luuk Dorren, 2015), have been implemented and used to model the spatial pattern of rockfalls trajectories. All the modelling approaches are based on at least a given distribution of rockfall source areas, and a digital elevation model (DEM). Detection and mapping of unstable rock-masses can be accomplished by field activities, interpretation of high and very high-resolution images and DEMs, or using morphometric criteria (Michoud et al., 2012). Depending on the scale of the modelling, elevation data can be coarse to very fine. For example, for regional scale modelling, a ground sampling distance (GSD) of 25 m (Guzzetti, 2003) or 10 m is adequate (Guzzetti et al., 2003), whereas very high resolution elevation data (GSD of 1 m or less) are suitable for slope scale models.

High and very high resolution elevation data can be successfully acquired using cameras mounted on Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems (RPAS). The acquired high(ultra)-resolution images with different orientations of the camera (nadir, oblique) can be used to obtain elevation data through the application of Structure from Motion algorithms (SfM) (Westoby et al., 2012; Nex and Remondino, 2014). SfM is a photogrammetric-range imaging technique capable of finding homologue points in a sequence of images acquired with a high overlap, and to transform the homologue points in a georeferenced cloud of elevation points (Turner et al., 2012). The accuracy of the positioning of SfM products can be improved using Ground Control Points (GCPs) whose position is measured using e.g., GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) (Nex and Remondino, 2014). The main products of RPAS photogrammetry that can support the study of rockfalls are Digital Surface Models (DSMs), and true orthophotographs (Giordan et al., 2018).

High resolution elevation data can also be collected by aerial and terrestrial laser scanners, which acquire a set of measures of distance between the laser scanner and the ground surface (Jaboyedoff et al., 2012; Razak et al., 2013). Terrestrial laser scanner (TLS) is often adopted to study rocky slopes and other types of landslides (Baldo et al., 2009). Use of TLS often requires the integration of multiple surveys taken by different points of measure to ensure the coverage of complex morphologies. Arguably, the application of such a technique is limited by an overall benefit in terms of quality and time compared to other techniques. In particular, the local morphological setting could require multiple points of acquisition which would imply a longer acquisition and processing time. Aerial laser scanner (ALS) has shown good performances in densely vegetated areas (Razak et al., 2013) where photogrammetric techniques are more limited in obtaining ground elevation data. On the other hand, ALS is not effective for the characterization of sub-vertical slopes, and rock cliffs, where the acquisition of oblique photo-sequences is the best solution for a more detailed representation of rockfall source areas (Giordan et al., 2015a). Use of RPAS for SfM is a solution that requires limited costs, short mission planning and brief setup time compared to ALS, particularly when the study area is small (up to few square kilometres). Such advantages can be important particularly where a multi temporal approach has to be adopted to detect the evolution of the studied area (Fiorucci et al., 2018) or during emergencies, where support to decision makers for the identification and planning of first responses must be provided shortly (Giordan et al., 2015b). The capability of RPAS to provide in a short time, very high resolution georeferenced images, can be effective to support fast emergency responses in areas affected by natural disasters (Chou et al., 2010; Ezequiel et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2014; Boccardo et al., 2015; 1 http://damocles.irpi.cnr.it/docs/final-reports/Perugia−Detailed−Report−Feb2001−Mar2003.pdf
Liu et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017). The major limitation of RPAS is the extension of the area that can be surveyed in a single flight (Fiorucci et al., 2018).

According to Giordan et al. (2015a), two approaches can be adopted for the use of RPAS depending on the slopes steepness and the target resolution. For steep slopes, it is recommended the use of multi-rotors RPAS which allow to acquire oblique photographic-sequences. On the contrary, on gentle slopes nadir acquisition done by fixed wings RPAS can be considered the best solution to obtain a high-resolution coverage of large areas. In the study of areas affected by rockfall, a hybrid approach can be considered for the acquisition of a DSM that can be used for the definition of rock blocks trajectories and the identification of source areas. RPAS guarantees the possibility of acquiring high resolution DEMs also in inaccessible areas (Obanawa, H. Hayakawa, Y. and Gomez, 2014). Several examples of the use of RPAS for the acquisition of oblique (Salvini et al., 2016, 2018; Giordan et al., 2015b; Török et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2017) and nadiral image sequences (Saroglou et al., 2018) for the identification of rock slopes instabilities have been published in recent years.

Despite such an increasing attention on applications of RPAS images and photogrammetry to landslides risk assessment, only a limited number of articles focuses on applications during emergencies (Chou et al., 2010; Boccardo et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017) and on the assessment of the extent of damages caused by natural disasters (Gomez and Purdie, 2016). Furthermore, none of them, to the best of our knowledge, focuses on testing a procedure that guarantees semi-quantitative information in a relatively short time to provide an evaluation of the residual rockfall risk during emergencies, when time and budget constraints are restrictive.

In 2016, central Italy was affected by a very long and severe seismic sequence that began on August 24th with a MW 6.0 earthquake, followed by a second main shock on October 26th (MW 5.9), and third on October 30th (MW 6.5). The seismic sequence, characterized by more than 50,000 aftershocks in four months, triggered numerous rockfalls that caused damage to structures and infrastructures. In this paper, we describe the use of RPAS to study an earthquake-triggered rockfall in the vicinity of the Villanova di Accumoli, Rieti, central Italy. The provincial road SP18 near Villanova di Accumoli was closed after a 1 m$^3$ boulder fell from a rock cliff and crossed the road (Fig. 1). During the seismic emergency, the Italian National Department of Civil Protection was supported by the Research Institute for Geo-Hydrological protection of the Italian National Research Council (CNR-IRPI) in the definition of the conditions to safely reopen the road. To answer the request, a numerical model was applied to evaluate possible rockfall trajectories, and to define locations where the road was exposed to further rockfall hazard.

## 2 Study area

The study area is located close to the village of Villanova di Accumoli, in the Accumoli municipality, central Italy (Fig. 1A). In the area, the main vulnerable element subject to rockfalls was a portion of the SP18 road connecting Villanova di Accumoli to the San Giovanni village (Fig. 1A).
The area consisted of a rocky hillslope from which rockfalls detached, reaching the SP18 road (Fig. 1A). Visual interpretation of stereoscopic black and white aerial photographs taken in 1954 at 1:33,000 scale revealed that the cliff from where the rockfall originated is part of a partially dismantled scarp of a deep-seated, disrupted rockslide (Fig. 1B). Additional visual interpretation of stereoscopic colour aerial photographs taken in 1977 at 1:13,000 scale revealed that the main landslide rocky escarpment is characterized by two areas that underwent progressive retreat, forming three cone-shaped, convex, sparsely vegetated talus deposits (Fig. 1B). The analysis of the two sets of aerial photographs revealed that in the central part of the escarpment the talus deposit was mined and partly removed between 1954 and 1977 (Fig. 1B). Outside of the talus deposits, the landslide scarp shows an average slope of around 40°, it is mainly bare and bedrock crops out. At the base of the slope, the top of the landslide deposit is covered partially by talus that supports a dense deciduous wood.

In the study area, a regional thrust brings the carbonatic units of the Umbria-Marche stratigraphic sequence over the Laga Formation (Cacciuni et al., 1995). The boulders that hit the SP18, and the majority of the boulders found on the talus slope above the SP18 road, belong to the Maiolica Fm., a layered and highly fractured mudstone, upper Jurassic to lower Cretaceous in age.

In the following, we describe the two rockfall source areas, shown as “site 1” (S1) and “site 2” (S2) in Fig. 1A,C, recognized during the field survey.

Site 1 (S1 in Fig. 1C,D) is a 30 m high cliff in fractured limestone 110 m NE from the road. The talus downhill of the cliff has an average slope of about 31°. It was partly exploited in the 1950s, and in the quarry area, the average slope is 40°. Moving from the source area to the road, the slope shows a decreasing inclination. For a distance of 50 m uphill of the road, a system of scarps, counter scarps and rough and ruined embankments parallel to the SP18 was developed to protect the road during the quarry activities that removed a large part of the original talus. The quarry protection system is now completely wooded. Field observations revealed that the boulders detached from S1, moved primarily along ballistic trajectories (“free fall”) as suggested by the impact points found on the ground and the scars left on the tree trunks. At impact points on bedrock and talus, the fallen blocks broke up in pieces, the larger of which 0.25 – 0.30 m$^3$ in volume, were found on the nearly flat area uphill of the road. Analysis of the scars left by the falling blocks on the trees revealed that the rockfall trajectories did not exceed an elevation above the local terrain of about 1.5 m. Based on the boulders found in the field, the area enclosing the trajectories of the rockfalls detached from S1 is estimated in about 4,000 m$^2$. The pre-failure morphology of the S1 source area was estimated heuristically, by visual interpretation of the orthophotos, which then allowed to estimate the volume of the detached mass (about 7 m$^3$) from the DEM, where the height of the unstable wedge was measured. Based on the evidence of the fracturing of the remaining unstable rock mass (i.e., orthophotos and field observations), it is possible to hypothesize that the initial detached rock mass consisted of few large boulders that then further fragmented. The rocky material detaching from S1 did not reach the SP18, but stopped on the talus deposit and on the quarry protection system. Visual analysis of the photographs taken by the RPAS (Fig. 1D) allowed identifying several unstable rock blocks present in the vicinity of S1. Such rock blocks were partially separated from the bedrock by open fractures, which could be further enlarged due to intense rainfall and by frost and thaw cycles, not infrequent in the area from late autumn to early spring. The overall volume of the unstable blocks was estimated in the range 30 - 50 m$^3$ by combining a GIS measure of the area recognized on the orthophoto (10 m$^2$).
and field observation together with 3D measures obtained from the DEM, where the height of the unstable rock blocks was estimated ranging between 3 and 5 m.

Site 2 (S2 in Fig. 1C) is located along a slope covered by a talus deposit, with a mean slope angle of about 32°. From S2, a 1 m$^3$ boulder detached and reached the SP18 road (Fig. 1C, E). The analysis of the boulder impact points on the road (Fig. 1E) and its final position allowed us to reconstruct the boulder trajectory, from 70-90 m uphill of the road, where we were able to identify the most likely source area (Fig. 1A, C). Along the hillslope of S2, six boulders of similar size were found on the talus deposit. It is possible that the seismic shocks worsened the stability conditions of the boulders, increasing the rockfall hazard along the road.

Analysis of the distribution of the rockfall impact points and of the trees scars suggested that the height of the trajectory of the 1 m$^3$ boulder never exceeded one meter above the ground. The boulder did not break along its path, and stopped 15 m downslope of the SP18.

3 Methods

3.1 Elevation data acquisition

Numerical modelling of rockfalls requires the availability of an accurate digital model of the topographic surface. For the purpose, we performed a dedicated RPAS image sequence acquisition using a SenseFly® Albris® multicopter equipped with a 34 Megapixel RGB camera and an on-board GNSS system for the accurate geolocation of the acquired images. A total 161 nadir photographs with a frontal overlap of 75% and a side overlap of 60% were taken at an altitude of 90 m above the ground (Fig. 1F). The altitude above the ground was set up using the dedicated mission planner using the SRTM DEM (Farr et al., 2007) for reference. Keeping constant the elevation of the flight with respect to the ground guaranteed a homogeneous GSD (ground sample distance) across the study area. The resulting photographs cover an area of about 65,000 m$^2$ with a GSD of about 1.5 cm × 1.5 cm. Using this ultra-resolution photographic sequence, we prepared an orthophotograph of the entire study area with a nominal ground resolution of about 2.5 cm × 2.5 cm, and a DSM with a nominal ground resolution of about 20 cm × 20 cm.

3.2 Structure From Motion applied to RPAS ultra-resolution images

We processed the collected images using Agisoft Photoscan® software and produced the true orthophotograph (OP) and the DSM. A total of 10 GCPs were used to improve the accuracy of the geographic positioning of the DSM and the OP. GCPs consisted in 40 cm × 40 cm targets. The positioning accuracy of the resulting DSM and true orthophotograph was evaluated using 70 additional CPs. The geographical coordinates of both GCPs and CPs were obtained using a GNSS RTK VRS (Global Navigation Satellite System, Real Time Kinematic, Virtual Reference Station) positioning technique. The estimated planimetric-Altimetric accuracy of the absolute positioning of the GCPs and CPs was about 10 cm.

\(^2\)http://www.agisoft.com
To produce a DEM, the fingerprint of vegetation and other elements that could hide the ground were filtered out. For the purpose, we first used a geometric and a radiometric filter available in Photoscan®. Next, we performed a manual cleaning of the terrain elevation data. After the cleaning, Photoscan® was used to interpolate the remaining ground points. In some sectors, the interpolation was based on a very limited number of data points due to the coverage of trees, which resulted in an over-smoothed DEM (Fig. 2A).

3.3 GNSS RTK VRS elevation data integration

In the areas where the elevation information was unavailable (due to the presence of trees), we obtained additional elevation information with a GNSS survey, applying an RTK VRS correction. For the purpose, we used a Leica® Zeno 20 device in conjunction with an Android smartphone with GPRS connection for the real-time correction of the positioning (RTK VRS). We acquired a total of 73 points (Fig. 2A), corresponding to an average density of one point every 100 m² (i.e., a GSD of approximately 10 m) with a nominal positional accuracy of less than one meter.

To obtain a continuous DEM covering the entire study area, we merged the two elevation data sets obtained from the aerial and the ground-based surveys. For the purpose, a mask of the wooded area was drawn in the GIS, and a 5-m external buffer was applied to enclose in the area a 5-meter wide band containing elevation data from the DEM generated by SfM. Elevation data contained in the buffer were then included in the interpolation of the 73 GNSS RTK VRS data points using the linear Delaunay interpolation method available in the v.surf.nnbathy GRASS GIS tool (GRASS Development Team, 2017). Using the elevation data available in the buffer guaranteed that no “step-like” features occurred at the junction between the original DEM and the DEM obtained by the GNSS-RTK VRS survey in the wooded area (Fig. 2B). The GSD of the merged DEM was set to 1 m, the highest resolution handled by the rockfall modelling software STONE (Guzzetti et al., 2002).

We stress that the DEM accuracy is not uniform over the entire study area. The information is denser and more accurate in unwooded areas, where the elevation was obtained by the SfM, as opposed to areas where data were collected by the GNSS survey. Despite the information under the tree canopies is less dense than in the rest of the area, the GNSS points were acquired to best represent the ground morphology.

3.4 Numerical modeling of rockfalls

Numerical modelling of rockfall was performed using STONE, a software for the 3-D, kinematical simulation of rockfalls (Guzzetti et al., 2002). STONE simulates the movement of a boulder along a slope within a three-dimensional approach, considering the moving boulder dimensionless and with all the mass concentrated in the centre of mass, and computing the trajectory at discrete time steps. Within each time step, the boulder can be in one of three “states” i.e., free fall, rolling, or bouncing. The trajectory of a boulder is computed automatically from the DEM, and it depends on the starting point, the topography, and the coefficients used to simulate the loss of velocity at the impact points or during the rolling state. The coefficients can be obtained from existing thematic data, or estimated analysing geological, geomorphological and land cover

maps. For each simulation, and for each simulated trajectory, the program allows a random variation of the coefficients and of initial direction of motion, resulting in an output with probabilistic content. The minimal input required to perform a simulation with STONE consists of four raster maps, containing: (i) elevation information (i.e., the DEM); (ii) the location of the rockfall detachment areas (source grid cells); (iii) values of the parameters describing the tangential and the normal energy restitution at each impact point; (iv) values of the parameter used to describe the dynamical friction coefficient where the rockfall is rolling (Guzzetti et al., 2002).

The output of the software consists of three raster maps (Guzzetti et al., 2002) in which, for each grid cell, the following information is given: (i) the number of modelled rockfall trajectories, (ii) the maximum velocity of the simulated rockfalls, and (iii) the maximum height reached by the simulated trajectory. The three quantities can be used to evaluate rockfall hazard (Guzzetti et al., 2003, 2004). In particular, we considered the count of the simulated rockfall trajectories as a proxy for the probability that a given grid cell is affected by a rockfall. The larger the number of trajectories, the larger the expected likelihood of rockfall occurrence in a given cell. The grids containing the maximum velocity and height of the possible rockfall were not used in this work. Analysis of the map of the trajectories allows to distinguish segments of the road that are predicted to be safe from the ones with a non-negligible probability to be hit by a rockfall. Rockfall source areas were selected based on field observations and orthophoto interpretation. Additional source areas were singled out by selecting cells with slope steeper than 60° according to the measurement of the slope (derived from the DEM) of the rocky escarpments potentially unstable observed in the field.

Assigning the values of energy restitution and dynamic friction parameters required by the STONE model is a somewhat arbitrary, heuristic operation. In this work, parameters were assigned modifying the values selected in previous works (Guzzetti et al., 2003, 2004) according to the field observations.

We have prepared 100 different DEMs modifying the elevation values of the 73 GNSS RTK points by adding delta values to the original elevation data. Delta values were obtained randomly sampling from a Gaussian distribution that reproduces the error values declared by the instrumentation ($\mu=0$, $\sigma=0.25$). Each set of modified elevation points was interpolated following the approach described before. The set of 100 DEMs was used to evaluate the spatial distribution of rockfall trajectories considering the topographic uncertainty.

4 Results and discussion

From the 1,938 cells identified as source areas (light blue polygons in Figure 3A), STONE was run 100 times, one for each modified DEM (see section 3.4), simulating a total of 193,800 rockfall trajectories per simulation (i.e., 100 launches per source cell). The raster map in Figure 3A shows, for each cell, the mode of the number of trajectories obtained in the 100 simulations, whereas the plot in Figure 3B shows the values obtained along the SP18 track. Figure 3C shows for the pixel along the road the number of simulations in which the trajectory count is greater than 0.

Considering the map in Figure 3A, the trajectories affect an area of 56,032 cells ($m^2$), with the count values ranging between 1 and 652. Furthermore, a total of 32,800 pixels assume value greater than 1.
Inspection of Figures 3B-C reveals that the tract of the road downhill of S2 is the most hazardous, and that the location of the impact point matches the area where the STONE output assumes the highest values of both the number of trajectories (Figure 3B) and the hit frequency (Figure 3C). The portion of the SP18 closest to the source site S1 was not hit by the rockfalls during the seismic sequence. This is confirmed by the STONE simulations which reveal a total of 957 pixels affected by possible trajectories with a mode value of 1 within the road or downhill of it. The 957 pixels represent the 0.49% of the total number of the simulated trajectories and the 0.6% of the trajectories simulated from uphill this tract of the road (152,300). Such figures can be considered negligible. The plot in Figure 3C also confirms that in most of the simulations, the trajectories did not reach this tract of the SP18. On the contrary, the portion of the SP18 downhill S2, and which was hit by the rockfall during the seismic sequence, is correctly predicted by the model as subject to rockfall hazard. In detail, the model output identifies a total of 12,123 trajectories crossing the road, accounting for 6.2% of the total number of simulated trajectories and 29.2% of the those simulated from uphill this portion of the road track. Furthermore, local values reaching 204 trajectories were identified downhill S2.

Finally, at the pixel scale, we computed the coefficient of variation (CV=σ/µ) of the number of trajectories computed in the 100 simulations. CV is a measure of the variability of a sample normalized by the average value of the distribution. The distribution of the CV values shows a standard deviation of 0.17 and a mean of 0.20, which indicates that the model can be considered stable despite the errors introduced by the GNSS RTK topographic measurements.

The workflow described in this paper for the assessment of the road exposure to rockfall hazard was carried out during a seismic emergency phase to support the Italian National Department of Civil Protection in the decision whether or not to safely reopen the SP18 road to traffic. The diffuse presence of trees in the study area would have required an ALS survey to collect ground elevation data under the trees canopy. However, since the seismic emergency framework imposed a strict time constraint, a LiDAR acquisition was ruled out since it would have required a long planning, preparation and post-processing time compared to other techniques. Among the existing photogrammetric acquisition approaches, use of RPAS photogrammetry has a number of advantages in terms of feasibility, planning and management easiness, good quality data acquisition, which make it one of the most widespread and used also in the private professional sector. From the methodological point of view, the application described in this study can be considered as a procedure, applicable during emergencies, for the acquisition of all the required elements that can support the implementation of numerical models for rockfall hazard and risk assessment at a relatively low cost. For areas up to few tens of square kilometres, the use of RPAS is the cheapest and fastest method for the acquisition of orthophotos and DSMs but, on the other hand, vegetation hampers the quality of the resulting DEMs, fundamental to obtain reliable numerical rockfall simulations. To overcome this limitation, integration of aerial imagery with GNSS RTK points measured in the field is mandatory for accurate mapping applications, especially when the accuracy required as input by the numerical model is not very high. The availability of ultra-high resolution images can be very useful for the delineation of rockfall source areas, one of the inputs required by numerical modelling.

The results of STONE are influenced by the values of the tangential and normal restitution and dynamical friction coefficient, which are assigned based on the characterization of the land cover, in most cases accomplished by geomorphological mapping.
In this case, the detailed geomorphological mapping was carried out based on a rigid set of rules and criteria that make it repeatable and less subjective (Santangelo et al., 2015a, b; Fiorucci et al., 2018). To prove the influence of the detail of the geomorphological mapping on the results, we run STONE assigning the parameters generalizing the initial geomorphological map, considering the entire scarp of the rockslide as a talus, instead of distinguishing the three smaller talus deposits in the same slope. Results showed that, assigning the parameters based on a generalized version of the geomorphological map results in trajectories that do not reproduce the location of the boulders observed in the field. This is consistent with the relatively larger value of the friction coefficient ascribed to “talus” than the “landslide scarp” one (Guzzetti et al., 2004). Such an evidence shows that the quality of the geomorphological mapping (Guzzetti et al., 2012) has a relevant effect on model results. The rockfall simulation prepared by STONE confirmed that the portion of the SP18 road affected by the rockfall is rather limited (Fig. 3C). During the emergency phase, this information was provided to the National Department of Civil Protection to support the decision about the road reopening conditions. It was advised that the road could be safely reopened to the traffic, provided that (i) protection measures were installed above the SP18 road where the model indicated the exposure of the road to rockfall trajectories, and (ii) that the rockfall barriers installed should take into account the size of the boulders recognized in the field.

Modelling results show that outside the most hazardous part of the SP18 (Fig. 3), only few locations are potentially affected by rockfalls. Here, the pixels that could potentially be reached by rockfalls along the road show count values of 1. It is worth noting that, over 100 trajectories simulations for each source pixel, a count value of 1 suggests a probability of occurrence that is equal to $1 \times 10^{-2}$. It actually corresponds to probability values much smaller since most frequently a single pixel can be crossed by trajectories starting from different (even not so close) locations. In the case of the tract of the road threatened by the site S1, the 957 trajectories that could reach the road represented the 0.6% of the total number of simulated trajectories (152,300). This estimate was obtained by counting the number of pixels used as source area located uphill of the road in S1 and the total number of trajectories that reached the road in the mode map (Figure 3A). Such a method represents a semi-quantitative estimate. Like other rockfall modeling software, STONE outputs a frequency map, whereas the evaluation of the probability of rockfall represents still an open problem due to the presence of the pixels where modelled trajectories overlap with others modelled from other source areas. Hence, a rigorous probabilistic estimation would require that each trajectory should be modelled keeping track of the source area. Possible future development of STONE or similar rockfall models should be able to define a probability value, which would allow better comparing the rockfall hazard conditions in different areas.

STONE does not take into account the vegetation effect although, in this case, the presence of a thick wooded area at the foot of the slope acts as a natural rockfall attenuator between the source areas and the road. As already pointed out by Guzzetti et al. (2004), despite the rockfall modelling is aimed at describing possible rockfall scenarios given the current state of the places, it appears poorly precautionary to consider the barrier effect of the trees in the final assessment. Future wildfires could leave unprotected the road, causing an increase of the overall rockfall hazard.

A non-negligible point of discussion consists in the caveats that should be taken into account when using model outputs that contain sources of uncertainty at some step of the procedure, to support decision-making. In this case, for instance, it was acquired a DSM at 20 cm GSD, which is inhomogeneous across the study area due to the presence of the trees canopy. The entire DSM was resampled at 1 m GSD, which is the minimum working resolution of the model STONE, and a reasonable
resolution able to capture micro-morphological features, and portray the general morphology reconstructed under the trees canopy by the GNSS-RTK survey. Despite the average GNSS point density is one point per 100 m\(^2\), the acquisition was planned to best represent the ground morphology, which is characterized by a series of two scarps and counter-escarpments probably built during the quarry exploitation. In our case, it was not possible to obtain a better acquisition because of the following practical limitations: (i) the GNSS signal under the trees canopy was unstable, hence almost every measure had to be repeated several times to get the minimum required accuracy of one meter; and (ii) also the GPRS signal was poor, causing several interruptions in the RTK connection. For these reasons, when acquiring such data in the field, it is advised to collect as many data points as possible. Moreover, in places where the environmental conditions are unfavourable, acquisition should be performed to catch the most representative morphological features.

The overall cost of the materials (i.e., the RPAS, software licenses, GNSS receiver) used in our test case totalled about 23,000 euros, which is a considerably less than a LiDAR acquisition at a comparable resolution. The entire procedure was carried out in 4 working days (estimated in about 15 working days of one person), including the initial survey, the RPAS and the GNSS acquisitions, the photogrammetric processing and the DSM filtering, the integration of the RPAS DSM and the GNSS data points. The application of the presented procedure based on a RPAS acquisition has an overall cost that is lower compared to the same procedure involving an ALS survey.

5 Conclusions

The study described in the article, was conducted during the seismic sequence that hit Central Italy in the period between 24 August 2016 and January 2017 to support the National Department of Civil Protection to identify the conditions to safely reopen the road exposed to rockfalls. The study consisted in the application of a rockfall numerical model (STONE) prepared using a Digital Terrain Model generated by the integration of a DEM obtained by photogrammetry applied to a Remotely Piloted Aerial System (RPAS) acquisition and a GNSS-RTK (Global Navigation Satellite System in Real Time Kinematic mode) survey in densely vegetated areas. The numerical model allowed performing a semi-quantitative evaluation of the residual rockfall risk posed to the road SP18. It was observed that the tract of the road that had been hit by a rockfall during the seismic emergency was predicted as unsafe by the model, since the 29.2% of the total simulated trajectories from uphill the tract of the SP18 reached the road. The remaining portion of the studied tract of the SP18 was reached by the 0.6% of the modelled trajectories from uphill the tract of the SP18, and hence its exposure to rockfall was considered negligible. It is worth mentioning that results of this study were used to set up protection measures (i.e., elastic barriers) along the track of the road more exposed to rock fall impacts.

The described procedure is general and can be successfully applied during emergency phases and in mountainous regions like the study area. The complete photogrammetry-based procedure described in the paper was carried out in four working days by a multidisciplinary team, which correspond to a total of 15 working days of one person at an overall cost of 23,000 euros. Considered all the working phases, it is faster and cheaper than LiDAR surveys, and has less logistic constrains. On the
other hand, it is limited by high vegetation cover. The GNSS-RTK survey can partially solve this limitation, but it is important to know that the final DEM has different quality under the trees canopies and on bare areas.

STONE fails to reproduce the position of the boulders actually fallen during the seismic sequence if parameters are assigned based on generalized geomorphological maps of the covers. Therefore, it is advised that the quality of the input geomorphological mapping be as high as possible, and compatible with the resolution of the input DEM.
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**Figure 1.** Location of the study area. (A) Topographic map: Carta Tecnica Regionale of the Lazio Region, at 1:10,000 scale. Colour orthophoto map: AGEA (2012). Symbols named as S1 and S2 indicate the source areas of the fallen boulders. Red star shows location where a boulder hit and crossed the SP18 road. Red triangles indicate the boulders found at the farthest locations form the source areas along the talus slope during the field survey. (B) Map of surface deposits obtained through the visual interpretation of the available aerial photographs. (C) 3D perspective of the study area. Image base and elevation data were obtained ad hoc through a RPAS flight carried out on 10 October 2016. (D) S1 rockfall source area. Oblique photograph taken by the RPAS. (E) Detail of the orthophotograph obtained by the RPAS showing impact points of the boulder detached from the S2 source area. (F) Flight plan of the multicopter for the acquisition of aerial photographs.
Figure 2. Shaded relief images of the elevation of the study area. (A) Image prepared using the DEM obtained with the photogrammetric procedure, after vegetation filtering. (B) Image prepared integrating the GNSS-RTK data points (yellow squares in A) with the DEM. DEM shown in (B) was resampled at a resolution of 1 m × 1 m, for modelling purposes.
Figure 3. (A) The map shows the mode of count of trajectories of 100 STONE simulations (see text for explanation). Black circles indicate the location of large boulders observed during the field survey at their farthest distance from the source areas (light blue polygons). For each pixel along the road track: (B) shows the values of map (A); (C) shows the number of STONE simulations where trajectories count is greater than 0. The star indicates the position of the impact point along the SP18, blue symbols the end points of the road track.
Table 1. Values of the dynamic rolling friction angle and of the normal and tangential energy restitution coefficients assigned to different terrain types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain type</th>
<th>Rolling friction</th>
<th>Normal restitution</th>
<th>Tangential restitution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landslide scarp</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talus</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landslide deposit</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>