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Editor Comments:

this paper has been revised by two reviewers who suggested minor revisions. You provided suitable replies. The paper is interesting, however, at my eyes, it falls on the boundary line of the NHESS goals. As it, the work is less related to hazards, while it is more focused on geomorphology and landforms. I suggest developing more along this line.

[A0.1] Thank you for your suggestions regarding the scope for natural hazards. We expanded our work to incorporate this issue: more descriptions in Introduction (P2 L1-7) and conclusions (P17 L8-19). Please see also A2.4.

In addition, there are several points that need to be addressed in the text:
1) pictures quality need to be improved (scale and legend are missed in few pictures),
   [A0.2] For pictures in Figure 2, we added a scale and north mark to the pictures, or explanations in the caption (see also A2.6). We added a legend and scale in Figure 11 and 12, respectively.

2) a picture about RPAS used in the field is missed,
   [A0.3] A new figure showing the RPAS used was added as Figure 3.

3) information about the accuracy of the topographic data (vertical accuracy of derived digital surface, errors in volume estimation) used in the analysis seems missed.
   [A0.4] We provided additional and corrected descriptions on the accuracy of the data (P7 L24-25, P9 L5-10). Although the errors in the volume estimation are hard to quantify, we give these values as an order-of-magnitude estimate (P13 L19-21).

Referee #1

General Comments
The paper is organized and easy to follow. It starts with a brief description of sector collapses and how hummocks have been used to understand the emplacement kinematics and dynamics of avalanches. Within the introduction is a discussion on the lack of high resolution images to be used for studying hummocks and how RPAS, SfM-MVS photogrammetry can be used to fill this gap. A detailed methodology for image acquisition, description of hummocks and source area reconstruction for volume estimation follows. Results giving a detailed description of the morphology and spatial distribution of hummocks and volume estimate then follow. While the description of hummocks and volume were used as main evidence for the extremely long runout of this avalanche. A more in-depth discussion on the effect of structural constraints (caldera wall and precollapse faults/lineaments) on the emplacement and flow during avalanche would be interesting. This paper has a potential to make an important contribution on the effects of confinements on the debris avalanche emplacement and flow processes, which are less described in existing works.

[A1.1] Thank you for your constructive comments. As noted later, the discussion part will further be expanded to discuss the effect of structural constraints on the debris avalanche flow. (see also A1.4., 1.5., and 1.6)
⇒ We expanded our discussion on this issue. Please see our responses A1.4–1.6.
Title imply a focus on hummock morphology but the manuscript has a lot of important information and discussion on the avalanche itself, such as volume and possible extent of deposition.

[A1.2] We will revise the title as follows: “Characteristics of debris avalanche deposits inferred from source volume estimate and hummock morphology around Mt. Erciyes, central Turkey”
⇒ We modified the title.

Specific Comments
It is not clear why there is no hummock less than 11 km from the source?

[A1.3] As we mentioned in 5.2. of the present manuscript, the debris avalanche could have had low viscosity with high water content at its initial stage. We assume that such the condition of the debris avalanche could have prohibited the formation of the hummocks in the near-source area. This point will be further discussed in our revised manuscript.
⇒ We expanded this discussion at 5.2 (P15 L20-34).

“On one hand, such the high fracturing of the Erciyes DAD is feasible because the debris avalanche could have had low viscosity with high water content with a sufficient amount of ice of glaciers on the original mountain in the glacial period, likely under the cool and wet climate in the late Pleistocene (Sarıkaya et al., 2009; Bayer Altin et al., 2015). It should also be noted that the debris avalanche flow was affected by the pre-existing topography of the former caldera (Fig. 1). The debris avalanche is supposed to have initially flown to the east, and after blocked by the former caldera wall located in the east, the debris avalanche changed its direction to the north (Fig. 1). If the debris avalanche is well fractured, the flow could have shallowly spread over the flat area within the caldera without forming primary hummocks.

On the other hand, even if some small primary hummocks were formed at the initial stage, they could have been hidden by post-collapse modifications and surficial sediment deposition in this upstream area of the DAD (Yoshida and Sugai, 2007), as indicated by the presence of convex-up cross profiles in elevation of 2800–2300 m (Fig. 9, or around the letter B in Fig. 1). Although no significant eruptive activity producing thick tephra or pyroclastic flows has been recognized in the volcanic stratigraphy (Şen et al., 2003), post-collapse eruptive activities including pyroclastic flows might have occurred at a more local scale to cover the upper portion of the DAD. Loose materials including volcanic colluvial or alluvial deposits on the surrounding slopes could also have been reworked to contribute to cover the DAD. However, the thickness of such surficial sediment remains to be examined. “

Is it possible that the hummocky area is an area of accumulation, where sliding materials are confined and movement downstream slowed down as the avalanche materials go through a neck by the narrow valleys downstream?

[A1.4] As mentioned in Paguican et al. (2014), topographic constraints can control the formation of a certain type of hummocks by the stretch and compression of the avalanche flows. Although such a complex case with both barriers (caldera wall) and exits (valley) like this study has not well been reported in the other studies, we will add more discussion on this issue.
We expanded this discussion at 5.2 (P15 L35-P16 L10).

In the downstream reach of the present hummocks, the stream gradient is high, and the valley width is narrow forming a knickzone (Figs. 8, 9). This topographic feature could have existed before the sector collapse because this location corresponds to the intersection of the north-oriented valley, which seems to follow the mapped fault line as discussed later, and the former caldera wall of the pre-Erciyes volcanic activity (Fig. 1). The debris avalanche went over the wall at this pre-existed narrow outlet valley in the north. At the entrance of this narrow outlet valley, however, the flow might be slower as it entered the valley over the caldera. The secondary hummocks that are presently observed could have been placed around this terminal portion of the caldera floor due to the confinement of the sliding materials. The flow could then have acquired higher speed when flowing through the steepened reach of the knickzone. The bimodal distribution of the displacement angle of hummocks would support this hypothesis (Fig. 7b). As suggested by the displacement angles, some hummocks with displaced orientations indicate a partial compressional regime of the debris avalanche: The sliding materials are confined, and hummocks are emplaced with their major axis perpendicular to the flow direction (Paguican et al., 2014; Yoshida, 2014). Whereas, the other hummocks without large displacements indicate an extensional regime of the debris avalanche due to the rapid northward flow through the valley: the stretch of the materials forced some of the hummocks aligned to the flow direction (Paguican et al., 2014; Yoshida, 2014). Such a change in the flow regime of debris avalanche can also be supported by progressive changes in flow materials (Clavero et al., 2002). In this case, since the debris avalanche flowed in the caldera, the increase in water content of the avalanche bottom is feasible if there was a caldera lake or river prior to the collapse. The lowering of friction at the bottom flow could have enhanced the rapid passage through the valley, while the avalanche surface remained dry enough to emplace the hummocks. “

Is there any evidence that the confining caldera has decreased the flow of the material as it flows east to north? Is there run-ups or accumulation zones observed that could be evidence of confinement as the flow direction shifted from east to north?

[A1.5] Unfortunately, we could not find any evidence (outcrops) in the field, such as abutting deposits on the caldera wall east of the source. However, the possible remnant of the dammed lake by the debris avalanche, located in the south of the bend of the DAD (D in Fig. 1), would suggest the confinement of the flow at this portion. Although the present lake is artificially modified and still hard to find sedimentary evidences, we will add descriptions on this issue in the Study area section.

We added the descriptions at 2 (P3 L25-29).

Based on the collapse scar, the debris avalanche was supposed to be flowing to the east (A in Fig. 1, Fig. 2a). The flow then turned to the north due to confinement by pre-existing caldera walls. Unfortunately, we could not find any outcrops in the field including abutting deposits on the footslopes of caldera wall. However, the present lake, located in the south of the bend of the DAD and now serves as a reservoir, is possibly a remnant of a dammed lake (D in Fig. 1). At least, it is clear that the debris avalanche flow did not largely turn to the south, but followed northward along the pre-existing caldera wall.”
How did the flow dynamics change throughout the DAD? How does the confinement by the caldera wall affect this change in flow dynamics? Can it be reflected by the size and distribution of hummocks?

[A1.6] Following your suggestions above (A1.3-1.5), the effect of structural constraints on the emplacement and flow during the avalanche will be further discussed in the revised manuscript. The distance-size relationship of hummocks was our original intention to examine in this study site, but as shown in Fig. 9, the location of hummocks is limited and it is hard to discuss the dynamics of the debris avalanche flow from this distribution. Nevertheless, we will be able to discuss the flow dynamics by not only the presence of the hummocks, but also their absence in the 0-11 km from the source.

⇒ We expanded our discussion at 5.2 as suggested (A1.3–1.5).

Technical Corrections
Please see supplement pdf file

[A1.7] Thank you for your thorough corrections. We will check all the comments and revise our manuscript accordingly.

⇒ Thank you again for your corrections in such details. We modified our manuscript as suggested. Some points remain unchanged as below.

- We did not add the registered trademark ® at the software names because we do not feel it necessary to emphasize it in an academic paper (also NHESS does not seem to have a rule to explicitly show a trademark).
- We did not delete the abbreviations of RPAS, SfM, and DEM because they are now used in the following sentences in Abstract.
- The term “high-definition” is used in spite of “high-resolution” throughout the text. The term “resolution” remains where applicable.

Referee #2

This paper is well written and easily to understand. The paper shows a number of methods to analyze topography of volcanoes and debris avalanches. It will be a good textbook to analyze similar topographies.

[A2.1] Thank you for your constructive comments.

If the authors have a chance, I hope that they show more description and discussions about smaller topography of hummocks. I think the RPAS method has advantages for analyzing smaller topography than about 5 m, it looks the authors do not use this advantage.

[A2.2] We agree with the reviewer in that the RPAS is advantageous for the detailed analysis of small topography. In our case, however, we did not perform geometric analyses at a highest resolution for the studied hummocks, because previous studies have not provided such detailed information on hummock shapes – it has been general to investigate hummocks using aerial photographs, but not at the resolution higher than 5 m. Therefore, we cannot compare the detailed topographic characteristics of
hummocks with the other cases. This is the reason why we carried out the morphological analysis of hummocks at a medium resolution. Nevertheless, in the study site, this was the best method to obtain the topographic data of and around the hummocks. No aerial photos and topographic data that are suitable for the identification of such 10- to 100-m scale hummocks are available. We will add this point in the Introduction section.

» We added this point in Introduction (P2 L40-43, P3 L3-5).

"Without the availability of ordinary aerial photographs, RPAS-derived land surface data (topography and imagery) are therefore useful enough even if not fully taking the advantage of its highest resolution. Furthermore, the higher resolution data acquired by RPAS should serve as a potential archive for future studies, because the rapid increase in the use of RPAS will enable further data collection of such high-definition data in many areas.

... We use RPAS for the acquisition and analysis of detailed, high-definition morphological data for the hummocks formed on the DAD, where ordinary stereo-paired aerial photographs nor ALS-derived topographic data are unavailable. The high-definition topographic data are crucial for the identification and analysis of 10- to 100-m scale hummocks. ...

I could not understand why the authors studied the hummocks by using displacement angle of measure axis and I could not get that mean and importance of this analysis.

[A2.3] The displacement angle of hummocks is an important measure to know the flow dynamics of debris avalanche (e.g., Yoshida, 2014). We will add the description of the significance of that index in the Method section (3.1).

» We added this point in 3.1 (P7 L6-8).

"Relationships between hummock alignments and the flow direction of debris avalanche have often been studied, where the orientation of hummocks is the key morphological measure to investigate the dynamics of debris avalanche (Glicken, 1996; Paguican et al., 2012; Yoshida, 2014). ..."

Some comments;
Page 1, line 34: I agree this analysis is important to know such destructive hazards. But, I could not get the mean that how this insights help mitigation of potential disasters of this study area.

[A2.4] We will show the importance of this work on the disaster mitigation in this area. We will expand the description at the last paragraph of Conclusions, and add some more descriptions in Introduction.

» We described this issue in Introduction and Conclusions (P2 L1-7, P17 L8-19).

"Such the recurrence of sector collapse is often expected not only in one direction but a different or multiple directions (Lagmay et al., 2000; Yoshida and Sugai, 2007; Paguican et al., 2012, 2014). However, since the recurrence interval is not constant and the stationary period can last for more than 10^3 to 10^4 years (Moriya, 1988), the areas around an unstable volcano can be highly urbanized without the consideration of the risk of the sector collapse. In particular, the downstream area of debris avalanche deposits is often flat enough to be urbanized or cultivated. In such
urbanized areas, detailed information of the past debris avalanche including its timing, size, and kinematics is crucial for the future hazard assessment. “

“The study area is one of the potentially hazardous areas in the East Anatolian Fault zone with densely distributed volcanoes and faults (Koçyiğit and Erol, 2001; Korkmaz, 2009; Okumura et al., 2017). Such the activities of active faults (earthquakes) and volcanoes themselves are hazardous, and they can trigger the sector collapse and succeeding debris avalanche in the area. The detailed map of the DAD should be incorporated into a regional geomorphological map to be further examined together with other geomorphological features including fluvial valleys, terraces, lakes and active faults (Erol, 1999). In particular, the insights inferred from this study proposes the necessity of the assessment of potential debris avalanche paths. As noted, the studied debris avalanche had been significantly affected by topographic constraints, and the flow path was relatively limited following the pre-existing topographic slot across the caldera. This means that the hazardous areas affected by debris avalanche are rather limited, regardless of the direction of the initial collapse of the mountain body. Further investigations such as numerical modeling of the debris avalanche flow based on the present topography are expected to be carried out. At least, several scenarios of the potential sector collapse of the present mountain body should be proposed in the future studies.”

Page 4, Figure 1: I think the figure should be understandable by itself. Show the mean of letters “A”, “B”, “C”, “D” in its caption. The area of A to C should be more clearly, I cannot see the boundary between the are A and B.

[A2.5] We will revise the figure following the suggestions.

⇒ We revised the figure accordingly.

Page 5, Figure 2: Perhaps subfigure c, d and e are not needed. Instead, the authors can show a scale or description of size of hummocks in subfigure b. If you try to discuss micro topography of the hummocks or inner structures of hummocks, you should show subfigures c, d, e. But you did not discuss about them. In addition, I did not see the locations of these subfigures. Show them in Figure 1.

[A2.6] As the reviewer pointed out, we do not actually discuss neither microtopography nor inner structures of hummocks. We will remove the subfigure e. However, we think the ground-based or close-range pictures are useful to show the actual situation of the hummocks. Therefore, we would like to retain the subfigures c and d. We will also add the location of these pictures in Fig. 1 (and in Fig. 5 for c and d).

⇒ We revised the figure and caption as follows.
• Subfigure e was removed.
• Placements were arranged: g ⇒ c, c ⇒ d, d ⇒ e.
• Locations of these pictures were shown in Figs. 1 and 6.
• Scales (or description of size) and north marks were added in pictures or caption (see A0.2).

Page 6, line 33: what is unit of (>1.0).
[A2.7] It is pixels. We will add this.
⇒ We added the unit as “(≥1.0 pixels)”. (P6 L15)

Page 7, line 14: please explain “geometrical index”.

[A2.8] We will rephrase here.
⇒ Here rephrased as (P7 L2):
“... and the surficial height of hummocks have often been utilized as a representative index showing their morphological characteristics”

Page 11, figure 6: explain “displacement angle” in this caption.

[A2.9] We will add the explanation in the figure caption.
⇒ Here rephrased as (P11 L3-5, now Figure 7):
“Displacement angle, i.e., the relative orientation of the major axis of hummock polygons to the main flow direction of debris avalanche, given in 0°–90°. Smaller displacement angle (straight to the flow direction) indicates extensional regime of the debris avalanche, while larger displacement angle (displaced against the flow) corresponds to the compressional regime.”

Page 12, figure 7: I cannot see the location of the main course in Figure 1.

[A2.10] We will show the main course in Fig. 1.
⇒ The estimated main course is now shown in Fig. 1.

Page 14, figure 9: The tendency of the hummock sizes of Erciyes is quite different from others. I think the authors should show this reason well.

[A2.11] Also regarding the response to the Reviewer #1 (A1.6), we will further discuss the relation between debris avalanche flow dynamics and hummock formations.
⇒ The discussion regarding topographic constraints on debris avalanche flow and hummock formation was expanded, as noted in A1.3–1.6.
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Abstract. Debris avalanche caused by volcano sector collapse often forms characteristic depositional landforms such as hummocks. Sedimentological and geomorphological analyses of debris avalanche deposits (DAD) are crucial to clarify the size, mechanisms, and emplacement of debris avalanches. We describe the morphology of hummocks on the northeastern flank of Mt. Erciyes in Kayseri, central Turkey, likely formed in the late Pleistocene. Using a remotely piloted aircraft system (RPAS) and the structure-from-motion multi-view stereo photogrammetry (SfM), we obtained high-definition digital elevation model (DEM) and orthorectified image of the hummocks, whose geometric features are investigated. We estimated the source volume of the DAD by reconstructing the original shape of the mountain body using a satellite-based DEM. For this, we examined the topographic cross sections based on the slopes around the scar regarded as remnant topography preserved since the sector collapse. Spatial distribution of hummocks is anomalously concentrated at a certain distance from the source, unlike those that follow the distance-size relationship. The high-definition land surface data by RPAS and SfM revealed that many of the hummocks are aligned toward the flow direction of the debris avalanche, suggesting that the extensional regime of the debris avalanche was dominant. However, some displaced hummocks were also found, indicating that the compressional regime of the flow contributed to the formation of hummocks. These indicate that the flow and emplacement of the avalanche were constrained by the topography. The existing caldera wall forced the initial eastward flow to move northward, and the northside of the wall barred the flow to become once compressional regime, but the narrow and steepened outlet valley forced the flow to become extensional again. Also, the estimated volume of $12-15 \times 10^8$ m$^3$ gives a mean thickness of 60–75 m, which is much deeper than the reported cases of other DADs. This suggests that the debris avalanche must have flown down far downstream and beyond the current DAD extent. Assessments of the DAD incorporating the topographic constraints can provide further insights into the risk and mitigation of potential disasters in the study area.

1 Introduction

Catastrophic sector collapses are often observed in volcanoes when they become structurally and gravitationally unstable and are triggered by earthquakes, magma intrusion, or phreatic eruptions (Siebert, 1984, 1992; Ui et al., 2000). This phenomenon is hazardous because of a large amount of mass movement involved that appears as a debris avalanche moving at high speed.
Recent developments in the remotely-piloted aerial system (RPAS), as well as that in the structure-from-motion multi-view (SfM-MVS) photogrammetry, have enabled on-site, cost-efficient acquisition of high-definition topographic and imagery data (e.g., Westoby et al., 2012; Fonstad et al., 2014; Ohbana et al., 2014; Hayakawa et al., 2016). Such detailed earth surface data are useful for detailed topographic analysis, including topographic feature extraction and landscape classifications, for areas of approximately 0.1–10 km² that cannot be achieved by low-resolution satellite imagery (e.g., Koarai et al., 2008). Moreover, although ordinary aerial photographs taken by manned aircraft have often been utilized to investigate topographic features of DADs in such a small- to medium-sized area (Siebert, 1984; Glicken, 1996; Yoshida and Sugai, 2007, 2012), high-quality stereo-paired aerial photographs are often unavailable in many areas over the world. Without the availability of ordinary aerial photographs, RPAS-derived land surface data (topography and imagery) are therefore useful enough even if not fully taking the advantage of its highest resolution. Furthermore, the higher resolution data acquired by RPAS should serve as a potential archive for future studies, because the rapid increase in the use of RPAS will enable further data collection of such high-definition data in many areas.
Using the combined RPAS- and satellite-derived topographic data, we analyze the morphology of hummocks and DAD on the northeast flank of Mt. Erciyes, previously described by Şen et al. (2003). We use RPAS for the acquisition and analysis of detailed, high-definition morphological data for the hummocks formed on the DAD, whereas ordinary stereo-paired aerial photographs nor ALS-derived topographic data are unavailable. The high-definition topographic data are crucial for the identification and analysis of 10- to 100-m scale hummocks. We also utilize topographic data at 10 m resolution from satellite SAR imagery for the analysis of the surrounding areas. The volume of the DAD crucial for describing the sector collapse, which is hard to know from the extent and depth of the deposits, is obtained by reconstructing the original topography of the source area.

2 Study area

Mt. Erciyes, located in the south of Kayseri City in central Turkey (Fig. 1), is the highest stratovolcano in this region with an elevation of 3917 m at its summit. The current mountain body of the volcano has been formed after the pre-Erciyes volcanic activities terminated about 3 Ma with an extensive ignimbrite eruption (Innocenti et al., 1975; Şen et al., 2003). The stratigraphy of Mt. Erciyes comprise basaltic, andesite, and dacitic lava flows in ca. 2.5–0.2 Ma, followed by pumice and pyroclastic flow deposits (Şen et al., 2003).

The youngest deposit is the DAD, emplaced after 83 ka (Şen et al., 2003). Moraines that formed in the last glacial maximum (21.3 ka) are also present in the valley along the avalanche flow (Üçker Valley, A in Fig. 1) (Sarıkaya et al., 2009). This gives an approximate age of the sector collapse of between 20–80 ka.

The DAD is observed within 2–9 km downstream along a fluvial valley from the mountain top, covering an area of 14 km² (Şen et al., 2003) (B and northern part of C in Fig. 1). The downstream extent, however, is hard to identify due to limited exposure, and erosion and remobilization of DAD after emplacement, particularly in the fluvial valley. Nevertheless, we found several outcrops of the DAD along a newly constructed highway, whose locations are shown as stars in Fig. 1. The farthest outcrop is found to be 16 km away from the summit of Mt. Erciyes. Moreover, as noted later, hummocks of the DAD appear in 11–13 km downstream from the summit. These indicate that the potential extent of the DAD is much more downstream over the area mapped by Şen et al. (2003), with an area of no less than 20 km².

Based on the collapse scar, the debris avalanche was supposed to be flowing to the east (A in Fig. 1, Fig. 2a). The flow then turned to the north, due to confinement by pre-existing caldera walls. Unfortunately, we could not find any outcrops in the field including abutting deposits on the footslopes of caldera wall. However, the present lake, located in the south of the bend of the DAD and now serves as a reservoir, is possibly a remnant of a dammed lake (D in Fig. 1). At least, it is clear that the debris avalanche flow did not largely turn to the south, but followed northward along the pre-existing caldera wall.

Hummocks, characteristic mound-shaped topographic features of DADs, are densely and only found in an approximately 2 km² area around 11–13 km (along-valley distance) from the summit of Mt. Erciyes. Our topographic measurements, therefore, focus on this domain. As noted, this domain of hummocks is out of the range of the DAD area previously reported (B in Fig. 1; Şen et al., 2003), but the potential extent of the DAD likely covers this domain to the farther downstream. As far as we investigate, no hummock is observed in other areas of the DAD along the valley. Hummock-shaped features on the eastern side of the DAD (C in Fig. 1) are basaltic or andesite lava domes, as confirmed in the field.

A left-lateral strike slip fault, named as the Erciyes Fault as a member of the Ecemiş Fault group of the East Anatolian Fault zone, is mapped to potentially cut across the Mt. Erciyes along the northeast direction (Emre et al., 2011). The age and history of the fault activity, however, are not well known.

The climate in the area is warm and dry, with an annual precipitation of ~400 mm, resulting in scarce vegetation cover. Climatic fluctuations including relatively wet periods in the late Pleistocene to Holocene has been dry enough not to grow dense vegetation since the last interglacial (Kuzucuoğlu et al., 1999; Bayer Altin et al., 2015; Pickarski et al., 2015). Hence, dense vegetation since the last interglacial (Kuzucuoğlu et al., 1999; Bayer Altin et al., 2015; Pickarski et al., 2015). Hence,
the slope processes have not been so active to significantly modify the topography, particularly in the dry periods. The original topography of the debris avalanche, as well as the landforms surrounding the sector collapse, is therefore supposed to be well preserved, except for areas around valley bottoms where fluvial or glacial modifications have been active and volcanic alluvial fans are well developed.

Figure 1: Map of the study area. Thin solid gray lines indicate the boundaries of lithological units reported by Şen et al. (2003). Units surrounded by dashed, solid black lines with alphabets show the sector collapse source (A), DAD (B), andesite lava domes (C), and a lake (D), respectively. Note that the northern half of the lava domes (C) previously mapped by Şen et al. (2003) is in fact the DAD. Stars locate outcrops of the DAD found in this study, and the estimated course of the debris avalanche in this study is shown as dotted white arrow. Black solid polygons within the extent of Figure 5 are the hummocks examined. Dashed curving gray line with triangles indicates the remnant of caldera walls before the formation of modern Mt. Erciyes. Dashed double line shows the approximate path of the Erciyes Fault mapped by Emre et al. (2011).
Figure 2: Pictures of the study area. Locations of these photos are shown in Figure 1. (a) Collapse scar of Mt. Erciyes, photo taken westward. On the foreground is where the avalanche changed direction from east to north. The relative height of the mountain body is 1800 m (~2100 m a.s.l. on the foreground DAD surface and 3917 m a.s.l. at summit). (b) Mt. Erciyes and the 10- to 100-m sized hummocks on the foreground, photo taken southward. (c) Downstream view of deeply-incised gorge past the hummock-dominated area, photo taken northward. The incision depth is ~40 to 60 m. (d) Ground-based view of a hummock, 30 m high, photo taken southward. (e) Aerial view of hummock with a diameter of ~150 m (photo without distortion correction).

3 Methods

3.1 RPAS-based SfM-MVS photogrammetry and hummock mapping

To measure the detailed surface morphology of the DAD, we apply the SfM-MVS photogrammetry using low-altitude aerial photographs taken by an RPAS. (Fig. 3) The RPAS, including a small unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) equipped with a digital camera, enables to obtain low-altitude aerial photographs in the field. The image data obtained are used to capture the data of earth surface condition and morphology in a relatively broad area, typically for 0.1–10 km². We used two UAVs for the measurement: DJI Phantom 2 on which a digital camera of Nikon Coolpix A (sensor resolution: 4928 × 3264 pixels, 35-mm equivalent focal length: 28 mm) or RICOH GR (sensor resolution: 4928 × 3264 pixels, 35-mm equivalent focal length: 28 mm) is mounted, and DJI Phantom 3 Professional with a built-in stabilized camera FC300X (sensor resolution: 4000 × 3000 pixels, 35-mm equivalent focal length: 20 mm).

We carried out the flights of the UAVs from several locations in and around the area with hummocks. The UAVs were manually operated, and the flight courses were successively set to cover the area of interest sufficiently. During the flights, the camera shutter is set to work automatically at an interval of 2 seconds. A flight of ~10 to 20 minutes takes 300–600 photographs.

Geographical coordinates of several ground control points (GCP) were obtained, using a post-processed kinematic global navigation satellite system (GNSS) receiver. Trimble GeoExplorer 6000XH was used as the GNSS rover, with log data corrected using the fixed GNSS base station of the International GNSS Service (IGS) network. The GCPs were placed in the main target area around the hummocks. Characteristic objects that are readily identifiable in the aerial photographs...
were selected as such GCPs, including road intersections, flat stone surfaces on bridges, and large rock blocks with flat tops. The projection of the geographical coordinates is set to UTM zone 36N on WGS 84 (EPSG:32636).

Using hundreds of aerial photographs taken by the RPAS, we carry out the SfM-MVS photogrammetry. The photogrammetric software used was PhotoScan Professional Edition by Agisoft LLC. From multiple photographs, the SfM process provides three-dimensional positions of the stereo-paired photographs, in which the same features are identified among the paired photographs as tie points. Although geographical coordinates of the camera locations can be known from the GNSS records in the built-in camera of the UAV devices, the positional accuracies of the single-source GNSS receiver of the UAVs are low, being on the order of meters. The GCPs whose coordinates are obtained by the post-processed GNSS receiver are therefore placed in the image data to achieve better positional accuracies. The tie point cloud is adjusted to fit the GCPs, and the points with large reprojection errors ($\geq 1.0$ pixels) are removed. By the MVS-photogrammetric process, denser three-dimensional points are then further obtained from the aligned and paired images. Based on the resultant point density and ground resolution of the images, the resolution of the raster data including a DEM and an orthorectified composite image is determined.

Figure 3: RPAS used in this study. (a) DJI Phantom 2. External digital cameras of Nikon Coolpix A and RICOH GR are attached. (b) DJI Phantom 3 Professional with a built-in digital camera.

Slope distribution and topographic contour lines are derived from the DEM using GIS software (ArcGIS Desktop 10.3 by ESRI). The hummock bases were traced using the DEM-derived topographic data and orthorectified image as follows:

First, to identify local convex mounds in the DEM, the elevation values are multiplied by -1 to generate a flip-side of the DEM, and the local depressions in the inverse surface are highlighted by applying the sink-fill process. For the sink-fill, the D8 flow directions based on the surrounding eight cells are derived from the flip-side DEM (Jenson and Domingue, 1988), and the depressions are virtually filled up to match with the local trend surface. The filled areas roughly correspond to the local mounds in the original DEM. Second, the orthorectified ground-surface image and slope distribution are used to detect the boundaries of the actual mounds. Because the flat lands in the main area are mostly cultivated whereas the mounds of hummocks are unsuitable for cultivation due to their rocky composition, the boundaries of the hummock mounds are clearly shown in the ground-surface images. Also, the gap of slope angles is clear to separate the mounds and surrounding flatlands. Topographic contour lines are supportively used to trace the mound boundaries, although the boundaries are not always horizontal and the contour lines themselves do not generally follow the mound boundaries except flat areas. Polygon vector data showing the hummock mound boundaries are then obtained. The reliability of the mound boundaries was checked in the field for some of the hummocks.

The geometric features of hummocks are then examined in GIS and area is calculated for each polygon. The height of a hummock is estimated by constructing a flat basement surface of each hummock by interpolating the elevation values on the polygon peripherals by triangular irregular network (TIN) surface. The maximum difference between the actual surface and the estimated basement surface is regarded as the height of the hummock. Although the actual mass of a hummock should
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exist in the underground areas, the depth of such the submerged structure is hard to be known, and the surficial height of hummocks have often been utilized as representative index showing their morphological characteristics (Glicken, 1996). The upper-half volume of a hummock, i.e., the volume of the hummock mound above the basement surface, is also obtained as one of the proximal indicators of the hummock size. The volume is calculated as the sum of the elevation differences between the actual surface and the estimated basement surface for each cell in a hummock polygon.

Relationships between hummock alignments and the flow direction of debris avalanche have often been studied, where the orientation of hummocks is the key morphological measure to investigate the dynamics of debris avalanche (Glicken, 1996; Paguican et al., 2012; Yoshida, 2014). Here, two directionality indices of the polygons are calculated based on their major axis. One is the direction from the north, and another is the displacement angle against the flow direction of DAD. Fig. 4 shows the definition of the displacement angle of the major axis of a hummock: the angle between the main direction of the valley-filling DAD and the major axis (Yoshida, 2014). The main direction of the DAD in the study site is the north. The distance from the source along the valley, as explained later, is also assigned to the centroid of each polygon (Fig. 4).

Figure 4: Definition of the displacement angle of a hummock (modified after Yoshida, 2014).

3.2. Reconstruction of the source area of DAD using PRISM-DEM

As background topographic and imagery data covering areas wider than the RPAS-derived data, we used AVNIR-2 (Advanced Visible and Near Infrared Radiometer type 2), a satellite-based imagery and PRISM (Panchromatic Remote-sensing Instrument for Stereo Mapping) mounted on ALOS (Advanced Land Observation Satellite). The AVNIR-2 sensor has four bands for visible and near-infrared wavelengths, with a typical resolution of 10 m. These data are too coarse for hummock extraction but can be used as a background data. The AVNIR-2 data was to confirm the hummocky topography in and around the DAD. The PRISM optical sensor is a panchromatic radiometer for wavelengths of 0.52–0.77 µm, with a spatial resolution of 2.5 m. The original data was processed to generate a 3 m resolution DEM with a vertical accuracy of ±6 m (Takaku and Tadono, 2007; Habib et al., 2017) and is resampled to 10 m resolution to avoid surficial noises. Although there remain more errors particularly around the borders of mosaic images due to their mismatching, the DEM can be used as a background topographic condition covering the whole area of the sector collapse, DAD, and surrounding areas. The resolution and accuracy of the DEM are, however, unsuitable for the extraction and analysis of small hummocks.

The PRISM-DEM was further processed to show topographic characteristics in the study site. The distances along flow paths based on the D8 flow directions are generated using the hydrological toolset in the GIS software, providing the position of the hummocks (the distance from the source) based on the flow directions (Fig. 4). The longitudinal and transverse profiles along the main course of the DAD are also obtained from the PRISM-DEM. Elevation and distance from the source (the summit of Mt. Erciyes) are sampled at a 100-m interval along the main course of the debris avalanche for the...
longitudinal profile. At the same time, the maximum elevation within a 500-m length of a transverse cross section perpendicular to the flow direction is recorded for each sampling point to represent the amount of reliefs along the valley bottom. Stream gradients at different scales are calculated from the sampled elevation by averaging for 400-m and 2,000-m horizontal lengths. The 400-m scale stream gradient represents local fluctuations in the longitudinal profile, while the 2,000-m gradient reflects the trend of elevation changes along the profile. Transverse cross profiles (3,000-m long) perpendicular to the flow direction are extracted at every 1,500 m along the main course of the debris avalanche.

The original surface of mountain slopes before the sector collapse is estimated based on the shape of the surrounding slopes, assuming that the topography has not been highly modified. For this purpose, two different approaches are applied:

One is a simple linear extrapolation of the original slopes in a cross profile on both sides of the sector collapse, and the other is to fit a Bézier curve to the linearly extrapolated cross profile. The linear extrapolation may result in an overestimate of the original surface, while the Bézier curve fitting may result in an underestimate. The original surface may fall within these two different reconstructions. For the linear extrapolation, the cross-section points on the surrounding slopes just behind the scars which are supposed to preserve the original topography are used as the source. The length of the cross sections for the linear fitting is defined as ~400 m, according to the preservation of slope linearity in the cross sections. The extrapolated two lines over the collapsed area from the both sides of the scar (P₀ and P₂ in Fig. 5) cross at a certain point (P₁ in Fig. 5), which is regarded as the ridge of the reconstructed original slopes.

For the quadratic Bézier curve fitting, the edge points of the surrounding slopes on both sides of the collapsed area (P₀ and P₂) are used as the endmost control points, while the intermediate control point (P₁) is given as the cross point of the two linearly-extrapolated lines (Fig. 5). The function of a quadratic Bézier curve is given as:

\[ B(t) = (1 - t)^2 P_0 + 2(1 - t)t P_1 + t^2 P_2, \]

where \( B(t) \) is the estimated elevation, and \( t \) represents the relative position between the points of \( P_0 \) and \( P_2 \) (0 ≤ \( t \) ≤ 1). Eq. (2) was applied to the multiple transverse profiles across the collapsed area (A in Fig. 1), which are set at a horizontal interval of 300 m.

Reconstruction of the original topography by linear and Bézier methods used 3-dimensional TIN models. The differences between the reconstructed TIN surface and the present land surface are calculated as the estimated volumes of the sector collapse.

Figure 5: An example of reconstructing the original topographic surface of the sector collapse by two methods: linear extrapolation (solid red and blue lines) and Bézier curve fitting (dashed line).
4 Results

4.1 Morphometric characteristics and distribution of hummocks

There were eight UAV flights that took 2,900 photos. Of these, 1,572 were used for photogrammetry after excluding low-quality or misaligned images. To adjust the geographical coordinates of the tie points and camera locations, GCPs were set in the images and the tie points and camera locations were adjusted to fit the GCP-derived coordinates. In the field, 5 GCPs were set around the main area with hummocks, whose geographical coordinates taken by the post-processed GNSS provided positional errors of 0.31 m and 0.41 m in horizontal and vertical directions, respectively. The bundle adjustment using these GCPs resulted in the estimated horizontal and vertical accuracies of the topographic model of 0.48 and 0.99, respectively. Although the number of GCPs is not many, and the errors are on the order of decimeters, we assume that these error values are sufficiently suitable for the analysis of hummocks in 10^1–10^3 m^2 scales. After removing the points with large reprojection errors (>0.79 pixels), the number of valid tie points for the paired images was 14,220,242. The multi-view stereo photogrammetry was then performed to generate the dense point cloud of 51,505,810. Based on this three-dimensional point cloud and the original images, the data of land surface imagery and topography were obtained, covering an area of 8.5 km^2. The resolution of the raster-type topographic data (DEM) was set to be 36 cm, based on the average point density of 7.8 pts/m^2. The orthorectified image was given with a resolution of 9.0 cm, based on the average ground resolution of the original image of 8.96 cm/pix.

The outline of hummocks was then traced from the RPAS-derived topographic and image data (Fig. 6). In total, 65 hummocks were extracted, all are within ~11 to 13 km away from the DAD source. Table 1 shows the basic morphological properties of the hummocks. The polygon area ranges over two orders of magnitude from 100 m^2 to 20,000 m^2, with a mean area of 3315.8 m^2. The height shows less variation from 1 to 20 m with a mean of 5.4 m, while the volume largely ranges from 200 to 770,000 m^3 with a mean of 79,429 m^3. The lengths of major and minor axes are tens to hundreds of meters with means of 69.1 m and 41.4 m, respectively. The mean elongation ratio, i.e., the length ratio of the major axis to the minor axis, is 1.7, equivalent to an approximate aspect of 5:3.

The displacement angle (the relative orientation against the flow direction of the debris avalanche) almost fully ranges from 0° to 90°, with an average of 38.1°. Fig. 7 shows more details of the orientation and displacement of the hummocks in histograms. The north-based orientation shows large fraction toward the north (Fig. 7a), while the displacement angle shows a bimodal distribution in the histogram, with relatively large fraction around 0–40° (Fig. 7b). These indicate that many hummocks tend to follow the direction of the northward flow of the debris avalanche, but some are exceptionally placed with large displacements against the flow direction.
Figure 6: Map of the hummocks extracted in the study area (n = 65). Blue to purple colors show the sink-filled areas on the inverse DEM, indicating the locations of local mounds and ridges. Black solid lines represent the mound boundaries of hummocks identified. Note that not all the sink-filled areas are identified as hummocks, based on the interpretation of orthorectified images and field observations. Hillshade images are derived from RPAS-derived 36-cm DEM (foreground) and 10-m PRISM-DEM (background).

Table 1: Morphological properties of hummocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>properties of hummocks</th>
<th>average</th>
<th>minimum</th>
<th>maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>distance from the source (m)</td>
<td>12,177</td>
<td>11,158</td>
<td>13,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>area (m$^2$)</td>
<td>3,315.8</td>
<td>118.3</td>
<td>19,615.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>top height (m)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>79,429</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>774,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>major axis length (m)</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>240.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minor axis length (m)</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>140.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elongation ratio</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>displacement angle (degrees)</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>89.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7: Angular orientation of hummocks. (a) Orientation from the north. West is -90° and east is 90°. (b) Displacement angle, i.e., the relative orientation of the major axis of hummock polygons to the main flow direction of debris avalanche, given in 0–90°. Smaller displacement angle (straight to the flow direction) indicates extensional regime of the debris avalanche, while larger displacement angle (displaced against the flow) corresponds to the compressional regime.

Fig. 8 shows the longitudinal profile and stream gradients along the main course of the DAD derived from PRISM-DEM. The longitudinal traces of maximum elevation within 500 m from the valley center are also shown as a gray line. The differences of the maximum elevation and the bottom elevation indicate depthness of the incision in the valley bottom. With some fluctuations, both the local and trend stream gradients decrease as the distance from the source increase. The gradients are particularly low in the reach upstream of the portion where hummocks are present (around 8–12 km from the source). In this upstream reach within the caldera wall, less difference in elevations is observed for the valley bottom and nearby 500-m cross section area, representing the low relief along the stream. In contrast, the stream gradients, as well as the elevation differences, are particularly high in the downstream reach of the hummock-dominated area for approximately 5 km (13–18 km from the source). In this reach, the trend stream gradient (2,000-m scale) increases up to 0.1 m/m, while the elevation difference reaches 100–200 m. This reach corresponds to the outlet of the caldera and shows a form of the deep gorge (Fig. 2c). This can be regarded as a knickzone, which has relatively steeper gradient than the adjacent upstream and downstream reaches (Hayakawa and Oguchi, 2006).

Transverse cross profiles along the DAD extracted from PRISM-DEM show downstream widening of the DAD in elevations of 2800–2200 m, and narrowing in 2200–1600 m (Fig. 9). The potential extent of the DAD, whose downstream limit corresponds to the location of the northernmost outcrop identified in the field (Fig. 1), was highlighted as solid black.
The upper part of the DAD (approximately 2800–2300 m in elevation) shows convex-up cross profiles, whereas the middle part (2300–2000 m) is more straight and flat. The downstream-most part (below 2000 m) exhibits more rugged shape by the incised valley of the knickzone reach. The hummocks are located in the transitional area (around 2000 m elevation) from the flat to the incised areas. Far downstream areas out of the DAD extent again show straight and flat transverse profiles.

The area of the polygons of the hummocks was summarized for each 500-m bin of the distance from the source summit to be compared with the previously reported binned average of hummock areas along the distance (Fig. 10; Yoshida et al., 2012). In Fig. 10, the mean area of all hummocks identified for each 500-m distance is shown as white circles, whereas the mean area for all the hummocks is shown as a black horizontal bar. The limited distribution of hummocks only in the area 1–13 km from the summit and the downstream increase in hummock area suggest that the distance–size relationship does not work for Mt. Erciyes DAD.

Figure 9: Transverse profiles across the DAD. Areas of the sector collapse and the potential DAD extent are shown in black surrounded by thick gray lines. Inset map (upper left) shows the location of these sections along the main course of the debris avalanche over the mapped extent of the DAD.
Figure 10: Relationship between the distance from the source and hummock size for the avalanche in Mt. Erciyes and those previously reported by Yoshida et al. (2012). The white circles indicate the mean area of hummock polygons summarized for each 500-m bin of the distance, while the black horizontal bar shows the average areas for all the hummocks in Erciyes. Solid lines are linear regressions for each avalanche previously reported.

4.2 Volume estimation of DAD by the source area reconstruction

Using the two different interpolation methods by linear and Bézier, the original surfaces of the mountain body before the sector collapse were reconstructed (Figs. 11, 12). The particular difference between the linear and Bézier methods is the maximum summit elevation of the reconstructed surface. The summit of the linearly interpolated original mountain body reaches 4100 m of elevation that is much higher than the present summit of 3917 m (Fig. 11c), while that by the Bézier method do not exceed the present summit elevation (Fig. 11b). In any case, the summit of the reconstructed mountain body appears at 1 km southeast of the current summit (Figs. 11a, b, 12b, c).

The volume of the missing mountain body by the sector collapse is calculated as the sum of the elevation differences between the reconstructed surface and the present landform: $12.75 \times 10^8$ m$^3$ by the linear method, and $10.67 \times 10^8$ m$^3$ by the Bézier method. Due to the preexisting dissection of the mountain before the collapse or a crater on the top, this reconstructed volume may be reduced by approximately 10% (Aramaki, 1963; Yonechi et al., 1988; Yoshida and Sugai, 2007). If this is applied, the estimated source volume of the sector collapse is given as $9.60 - 11.48 \times 10^8$ m$^3$. Since the plan area of the sector collapse source area is 5.2 km$^2$, the mean depth of the missing mountain body is obtained to be 186–223 m. Although the accuracy of this estimation is hard to be quantified due to the lack of any morphological evidence of the sector before the collapse, we consider these values as a feasible, order-of-magnitude estimate of the missing volume and depth.
Figure 11: Reconstruction of the source area of the sector collapse. Scales are the same for a/b and c/d, respectively. (a) Plan view of the reconstructed surface by the linear method. The red triangle indicates the location of the current summit of Mt. Erciyes. (b) Plan view of the reconstructed surface by the Bézier method. (c) Transverse profiles of the original surface by the linear extrapolations. (d) Transverse profiles by Bézier curve fitting.

Figure 12: Bird-eye views of the reconstructed source area of the sector collapse. (a) Present topography of the scar. (b) 3D TIN of reconstructed surface of the original mountain body by linear extrapolations. (c) 3D TIN of reconstructed surface of the original mountain body by Bézier curve fitting.

5 Discussion

5.1 Characteristics of the debris avalanche inferred from the volume and thickness

Because of the expansion of the original mass and sediment entrainment during its transport, the volume of DAD may be larger than that of the missing sector for 25–30\% (Siebert, 1984; Yoshida and Sugai, 2007). Accounting for the estimated source volume of 9.6–11.5 \times 10^8 m^3, the potential volume of the DAD would become 12–15 \times 10^8 m^3. This estimated volume is relatively larger than the average volume (ca. 5 \times 10^8 m^3) of reported DADs in the Holocene and Pleistocene (Siebert, 1984), but falls within the range of 2–260 \times 10^8 m^3 (Siebert, 1984). If compared with the known cases, the estimated volume is comparable to the debris avalanche in the historical time period at Mt. Iriga in the Philippines, whose volume is known as 15 \times 10^8 m^3 (Aguila et al., 1986; Paguican et al., 2012), and more than half of that of the Mt. St. Helens case in 1980 as 25–28 \times 10^8 m^3 (Voight et al., 1983; Siebert et al., 1987; Glicken, 1996). Also, the estimated volume of Erciyes is approximately twice or three times of the well-described case of the northern flank of Mt. Bandai (Japan) in 1888 triggered by a phreatic eruption (4.9 \times 10^8 m^3) (Yonechi et al., 1988; Yonechi and Chiba, 1989; Yoshida, 2012), or the non-eruptive case of Mt. Unzen-Mayuyama (Japan) in 1792 (4.4 \times 10^8 m^3) (Inoue, 1999; Takarada and Melendez, 2006).

Although the exact extent of the DAD is hard to be clarified in this study, the rough estimation of the potential extent of the DAD in this study has an area of at least 20 km^2, which is apparently larger than that of other studies. Dividing the estimated source volume of the DAD, 12–15 \times 10^8 m^3, by the potential extent of the depositional area of 20 km^2, the mean thickness of the DAD is 60–75 m. This thickness of DAD is much larger than those reported in the previous studies: e.g., 25–30 m for Mt. Asama (Yoshida and Sugai, 2010), 45 m for Mt. St. Helens (Voight et al., 1983).
The area and volume of the DADs across the world summarized by Siebert (1984) also gives an average thickness of 24 m with a range of 3–58 m. Mt. Erciyes for DAD thickness is out of the range of the reported ones. This supports that the extent of the depositional area of the DAD of Mt. Erciyes can be much larger than 20 km², potentially twice to three-times of the identified area. Although the sedimentary evidence is not available, the debris avalanche could have flowed downstream over the gorge and spread over the relatively flat area farther than 18 km from the source summit (Fig. 2) where elevation is lower than 1,500 m (Fig. 2).

5.2 Debris avalanche and formation of hummocks

Based on the plots in Fig. 10, the distance-size relationship for Erciyes may be comparable to the case of the Onuma avalanche on Komagatake volcano, the Ofujisan avalanche on Nasu volcano, or the Gotenba debris avalanche on Mt. Fuji (Yoshida et al., 2012). However, unlike the other cases, the Erciyes hummocks are densely found only in a limited area (11–13 km from the source). The distance-size relationship of hummocks cannot be directly compared to the other cases in which hummocks are more evenly distributed (Siebert, 1984; Yoshida et al., 2012).

In turn, the absence of hummocks in both upstream and downstream reaches indicates a different pattern of debris avalanche flow for this particular case of Mt. Erciyes. In general, hummocks in DADs are primarily formed due to the initial stage of sliding of the original sector of the mountain body, with some blocks preserving the original structure that appears as secondary hummocks by floating on the surface of DADs in the later stage (Paguican et al., 2014). In the upstream reach of the present hummocks of Mt Erciyes, the absence of such primary hummocks in the 0–11 km area from the source may indicate either that hummocks are not formed due to the high fracturing of DADs, or that hummocks are formed but buried by subsequent sediment covering over the DAD.

On one hand, such the high fracturing of the Erciyes DAD is feasible because the debris avalanche could have had low viscosity with high water content with a sufficient amount of ice of glaciers on the original mountain in the glacial period, likely under the cool and wet climate in the late Pleistocene (Sankawa et al., 2009; Bayer Altin et al., 2015). It should also be noted that the debris avalanche flow was affected by the pre-existing topography of the former caldera (Fig. 1). The debris avalanche is assumed to have initially flown to the east, and after blocked by the former caldera wall located in the east, the debris avalanche changed its direction to the north (Fig. 1). If the debris avalanche is well fractured, the flow could have shallowly spread over the flat area within the caldera without forming primary hummocks.

On the other hand, even if some small primary hummocks were formed at the initial stage, they could have been hidden by post-collapse modifications and surficial sediment deposition in this upstream area of the DAD (Yoshida and Sugai, 2007), as indicated by the presence of convex-up cross profiles in elevation of 2800–2300 m (Fig. 2), or around the letter B in Fig. 1. Although significant eruptive activity producing thick tephra or pyroclastic flows has been recognized in the volcanic stratigraphy (Sen et al., 2003), post-collapse eruptive activities including pyroclastic flows might have occurred at a more local scale to cover the upper portion of the DAD. Loose materials including volcanic colluvial or alluvial deposits on the surrounding slopes could also have been reworked to contribute to cover the DAD. However, the thickness of such surficial sediment remains to be examined.

In the downstream reach of the present hummocks, the stream gradient is high, and the valley width is narrow forming a knickzone (Figs. 2, 9). This topographic feature could have existed before the sector collapse because this location corresponds to the intersection of the north-oriented valley, which seems to follow the mapped fault line as discussed later, and the former caldera wall of the pre-Erciyes volcanic activity (Fig. 1). The debris avalanche went over the wall at this pre-existed narrow outlet valley in the north. At the entrance of this narrow outlet valley, however, the flow might be slower as it entered the valley over the caldera. The secondary hummocks that are presently observed could have been placed around this terminal portion of the caldera floor due to the confinement of the sliding materials. The flow could then have acquired higher speed when flowing through the steepened reach of the knickzone. The bimodal distribution of the displacement angle...
of hummocks would support this hypothesis (Fig. 7b). As suggested by the displacement angles, some hummocks with displaced orientations indicate a partial compressional regime of the debris avalanche. The sliding materials are confined, and hummocks are emplaced with their major axis perpendicular to the flow direction (Paguican et al., 2014; Yoshida, 2014). Whereas, the other hummocks without large displacements indicate an extensional regime of the debris avalanche due to the rapid northward flow through the valley: the stretch of the materials forced some of the hummocks aligned to the flow direction (Paguican et al., 2014; Yoshida, 2014). Such a change in the flow regime of debris avalanche can also be supported by progressive changes in flow materials (Clavero et al., 2002). In this case, since the debris avalanche flowed in the caldera, the increase in water content of the avalanche bottom is feasible if there was a caldera lake or rivers prior to the collapse. The lowering of friction at the bottom flow could have enhanced the rapid passage through the valley, while the avalanche surface remained dry enough to emplace the hummocks.

The debris avalanche materials which went over the gorge could have been further deformed and shallowly spread over the relatively flat area after reaching the downstream of the knickzone (Figs. 8, 9). Hummocks may no longer be formed in such highly-fractured materials of the debris avalanche. No data on the detailed geological structure in the downstream areas is available, and it is hard to find the extent of the DAD. Further careful investigations regarding the remnant of this DAD would be required to clarify the actual impact of the debris avalanche in this region. Moreover, such a complex case of debris avalanche with both topographic barriers (caldera wall) and passage (valley) has not frequently been reported in the previous studies, except for a few cases (Francis et al., 1985; Yoshida and Sugai, 2015). The case of Erciyes remarks the necessity of considering complex topographic constraints for the prediction of flow dynamics of debris avalanche.

### 5.3 Potential effects of fault lines on the debris avalanche

The presence of the strike-slip Erciyes Fault (Fig. 1) may have affected the debris avalanche. Although the exact age and intensity of the fault activity are unknown, and the presence of the fault itself also needs further careful assessments (Okumura et al., 2016), the regional stress field related to this fault group could have affected the occurrence and the direction of the sector collapse of Mt. Erciyes. In general, sector collapses often occur in the direction perpendicular to the major horizontal compression by faulting beneath the volcano (Moriya, 1980; Siebert, 1984; Vidal and Merle, 2000; Tibaldi et al. 2008), or in parallel to the fault directions (Lagmay et al., 2000; Yokoyama and Nakagaki, 2003; Wooler et al., 2009).

In Mt. Erciyes, the major horizontal compression by the strike-slip faults in the north-south direction could have caused the sector collapse toward the east. Furthermore, the northward valley seems to have been formed along the fault line cutting the caldera wall. The debris avalanche, which has flown to the north being blocked by the pre-existed caldera wall, could have spread far downstream by overpassing the former caldera wall along the pre-existing valley. In such a case, the existence of local faults has dual significance in the occurrence of sector collapse itself, and in the directionality of the debris avalanche. Although further examination of this issue are out of the scope of this study, detailed surveys of active faults and stress field in this region is also highly significant in predicting the future sector collapse of the volcano (Tibaldi et al. 2008; Wooler et al., 2009).

### 6 Conclusions

In this study, we utilized the RPAS-based SfM-MVS photogrammetry to map the topography of Mt. Erciyes DAD. Detailed outlines of hummocks were extracted from the high-definition land surface data. Despite the limited availability of high-definition aerial or satellite images, rapid on-site acquisition by RPAS-based SfM-MVS photogrammetry enabled to obtain new insights regarding the formation of hummocks and DAD at Mt. Erciyes. The volume of the sector collapse was estimated by reconstructing the original topography of the source area. Although the precise extent of the DAD is difficult to trace, the estimated volume of ~12–15 x 10⁸ m³ suggests that considerable amount of sediment could have spread...
downstream over the observed limit of the DAD. Morphological analysis of the extracted hummocks suggests that the geometry of the hummocks in this study area is characterized by pre-existing topographic constraints, which significantly controlled dynamics of debris avalanche emplacement and formation of hummocks. In particular, former caldera walls had a strong effect on the flow direction of the debris avalanche, as it confined the flow. The presence of strike-slip fault can also have considerable effects on both the occurrence of the sector collapse and the flow path of the debris avalanche. Such factors as pre-existing topographic and tectonic characteristics should carefully be considered for the hazard estimation of sector collapse, which can occur in many places over the world.

The study area is one of the potentially hazardous areas in the East Anatolian Fault zone with densely distributed volcanoes and faults (Koçyiğit and Erol, 2001; Korkmaz, 2009; Okumura et al., 2017). Such the activities of active faults (earthquakes) and volcanoes themselves are hazardous, and they can trigger the sector collapse and succeeding debris avalanche in the area. The detailed map of the DAD should be incorporated into a regional geomorphological map to be further examined together with other geomorphological features including fluvial valleys, terraces, lakes and active faults (Erol, 1999). In particular, the insights inferred from this study proposes the necessity of the assessment of potential debris avalanche paths. As noted, the studied debris avalanche had been significantly affected by topographic constraints, and the flow path was relatively limited following the pre-existing topographic slot across the caldera. This means that the hazardous areas affected by debris avalanche are rather limited, regardless of the direction of the initial collapse of the mountain body.

Further investigations such as numerical modeling of the debris avalanche flow based on the present topography are expected to be carried out. At least, several scenarios of the potential sector collapse of the present mountain body should be proposed in the future studies.

In addition, although the age of the sector collapse is relatively old (possibly 20–80 ka; Sen et al., 2003; Sarıkaya et al., 2009), subsequent sediment supply from the DAD could also have affected ancient human activities in the Holocene period in the downstream basin area. Since a lot of archaeological sites are found and investigated in the area (Kontani et al., 2014; Yener et al., 2015), the assessments of the relationships between DAD and surrounding palaeoenvironments including human activities will provide further insights for the potential disaster and its mitigation in the study area, such as floods, volcanism, landslides and fault-induced earthquakes.
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The on-site acquisition of high-resolution topographic and imagery data using RPAS is also highly advantageous regarding the cost efficiency.
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In the field, we also could have been hidden by such the surficial sediment (Yoshida and Sugai, 2007). Also, from our field observations, outcrops showing the volcanic colluvial or alluvial deposits were partially found around this upstream area of the DAD, and sediment coverage of such loose materials after the collapse would be expected.

It should be noted that the distributions of the DAD and hummocks seem to be affected by the presence of the caldera wall (Fig. 1). The flow could have rapidly spread over the relatively flat area within the caldera wall.

is supposed to have flown to the north after its initial change in the direction blocked by and following the former caldera wall in the east (Fig. 1) and

The flow could have rapidly spread over the relatively flat area within the caldera wall.

In particular, the debris avalanche could have had low viscosity with high water content, if there had been sufficient ice of glaciers on the original mountain in the glacial period, likely under the cool and wet climate in the late Pleistocene (Sarıkaya et al., 2009; Bayer Altin et al., 2015).