1) Scientific Significance
Does the manuscript represent a substantial contribution to the understanding of natural hazards and their consequences (new concepts, ideas, methods, or data)?

Excellent
Good. The manuscript represents the study – preliminary balance of the Alta Val d’Agri region. DPSIR methodology has been used to outline the environmental impacts mainly caused by the oil extraction. This study could be as a background information for future developments. This preliminary analysis is essential to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the study area, the potential risks as well as to define strategies and measures to promote a sustainable development of the energy system.
Fair
Poor

2) Scientific Quality
Are the scientific and/or technical approaches and the applied methods valid? Are the results discussed in an appropriate and balanced way (clarity of concepts and discussion, consideration of related work, including appropriate references)?

Excellent
Good. The applied methods are valid, only one remark concerning this methodology – the methodology was initiated in 1995 (it means long time ago), but still is useful and still is in use. Despite that, the additional indicators were applied and today’s scientific information was used for the study and results interpretation.
Fair
Poor

3) Presentation Quality
Are the scientific data, results and conclusions presented in a clear, concise, and well-structured way (number and quality of figures/tables, appropriate use of technical and English language, simplicity of the language)?

Excellent. The scientific results are presented in a clear and well-structured way. The tables and figures are good quality.
Good
Fair
Poor
For final publication, the manuscript should be

accepted as is,
accepted subject to technical corrections.
accepted subject to minor revisions.
reconsidered after major revisions:
I would like to review the revised paper.
I would NOT be willing to review the revised paper.
rejected.