A huge deep-seated ancient rock landslide: recognition, mechanism and stability

M. G. Tang1,2, Q. Xu1, Y. S. Li2, R. Q. Huang1, and G. Zheng1

1State Key Laboratory of Geohazard Prevention and Geoenvironment Protection, Chengdu University of Technology, No. 1 Erxianqiao Rd, 610059, Chengdu, China
2College of Environment and Civil Engineering, Chengdu University of Technology, No. 1 Erxianqiao Rd, 610059, Chengdu, China

Received: 17 September 2015 – Accepted: 21 September 2015
– Published: 5 November 2015
Correspondence to: Q. Xu (42604356@qq.com)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.
Abstract

The identification of deep-seated landslides is a difficult problem and its failure mechanism is a research hotspot. This paper mainly discusses a very attractive huge deep-seated ancient landslide, it is a very good case to go further research. About 15 years ago a large-scale abnormal geomorphy and geological phenomenon, containing a discontinuous stratum in output and color, was found in the new city of Fengjie, Three Gorges Project Reservoir, China. Two hypotheses for the interpretation of the abnormal phenomenon are a fault graben or a large-scale landslide. From then on continue collecting and analyzing relevant information, field investigation and test, now the results show that the fault graben, consisting of normal faults, could not have been formed under the north-south compressive structure stress of the local region. Meanwhile, a lot of unique geological features, interesting sliding trails and marks of the ancient landslide are discovered and identified in field and experiments. The deformation process and failure mechanism of the ancient landslide are clearly reappeared by a large centrifuge model experiment. Its failure mechanism can be analyzed as “creep-crack-cut”. The experiment strongly confirms that it is a huge deep-seated ancient rock landslide. And the failure precursor and key factors of rock slope are discussed. At last, the stability analysis shows that the landslide as a whole is stable and the secondary landslides at the front are basically stable. The results provide a technical support for decision making of the land use planning and construction of the new city, Fengjie.

1 Introduction

The identification and failure mechanism of deep-seated landslides is a hot spot subject (Crosta et al., 1996, 2000). In this paper discuss a very attractive huge deep-seated ancient landslide. The old city of Fengjie, Chongqing, China, would be inundated when the Three Gorges Project was completed in 2003. The Sanma Mountain, located...
upriver on the northern bank of the Yangtze River, was recommended as a site for the new city of Fengjie (Fig. 1). However, during the construction process, it was found that there is a large-scale abnormal phenomenon of geomorphology and geological structure. It contains a discontinuous stratum in output and color (Fig. 2). This strata discontinuity has been speculated to be the result of an ancient landslide (Wu, 1998; Nanjiang Geological Team, 1999) (Fig. 3). However, Li et al. (2002) put forward the hypothesis that it was caused by tectonic activity, and the surrounding area and the base of the body are cut by four normal faults (F8, F20, F19, and F26), that is to say it is a local fault graben (Fig. 4), and this idea was supported by Wang et al. (2006). We know that no matter the landslide or the tectonic activity can cause the phenomenon of a discontinuous stratum (Illies, 1981; Chang, 1981; Crosta et al., 1996, 2000; Cruden, 2007; John, 2012). Both above follow own scientific principles, but each other is easy to be misunderstood. Michael et al. (2012) found that the landslide scarp is easy to be misinterpreted as fault. On the other hand the tectonic activity can also play a key role on the development of large rock slope failures (Brideau, 2009). These two different hypotheses for the origin of the abnormal geological phenomenon caused confusion in land use planning and geological hazard prevention in the new city of Fengjie. This abnormal phenomenon of the strata discontinuity was caused by an ancient landslide or the tectonic activity? How to explain scientifically this natural phenomenon, failure mechanism and process? It need a lot of evidence and scientific analysis. In this paper, using field surveys, investigations of existing tunnels, deep drilling hole, research on the local tectonic setting and analysis on centrifuge model tests, a lot of geomorphic, structure evidence and sliding trace is found out, and it is confirmed that the fault graben consisting of normal faults could not have formed under the local region of north-south structure compressive stress. The result that it is a huge deep-seated ancient landslide and its failure mechanism of "creep-crack-cut" as a result of river rapidly incision into a nearly level layered slope with hard rock overlying soft rock. i.e. results (not introduction), mechanisms shall be explained more precisely.
2 Geologic setting

We conducted field surveys and reviewed available geological maps, photographs and aerial photographs, papers and previous reports on the area (No. 107 Geological Team of Sichuan Province, 1980; Chen and Zhang, 1998). The regional setting of the area is summarized below.

2.1 Regional geological structure

Fengjie, in the Three Gorges Reservoir Area, is located at the eastern edge of the secondary terrain of China. It belongs to the Yangtze platform which contains basement rock mainly composed of early Proterozoic metamorphosed volcaniclastic rocks and intrusions of magmatic rocks. The overlying sedimentary rocks, which were deposited during the Triassic Period, were folded during uplift as part of the Yanshan Movement at the end of the Jurassic Period. As a result, secondary tectonic units, including the upper Yangtze platform fold belt, the marginal depression of the Sichuan Basin, and the Dabashan platform fold belt, were formed. These secondary tectonic units converge in Fengjie under compressive north-south stress (Figs. 5–7).

2.2 Strata and rocks

The rocks of the Sanma Mountain are sedimentary rocks of Triassic age (Fig. 7). The Jialingjiang Group \((T_{1j})\), which is of Early Triassic age, was deposited in shallow lagoon facies, and contains sedimentary limestone, dolomitic limestone, and marlrite. These rocks occur in the core of the Zhuyi duplex inverted anticline. The Middle Triassic Badong Group \((T_{2b})\) was formed in inland lake and lagoon facies, with both clastic and carbonate deposition. The rocks making up the group consist of mudstone, silty mudstone, and marlrite. The group is divided into units \(T_{2b}^1\) to \(T_{2b}^5\). These units occur in the new city of Fengjie.
3 Fault graben can not form

The Zhuyi anticline at Sanma Mountain is a duplex inverted anticline (an overturned and closed fold, axial plane slanting, and overturned strata occur) (Figs. 7 (2), (3), (4) and 9). We investigated and measured the Zhuyi anticline tectonic stress field, the conjugate shear joint structural stress (Fig. 10) and the compression structural stress. The regional maximum principal stress direction is 344–352.5° by means of stereographic projection analysis of occurrence of conjugate shear jointing and reversal stratum (Fig. 11). The result is the new city of Fengjie has a N-S compressive stress field as the tectonic background. The crust and mantle stresses and resulting rock deformation have resulted in closed fold and thrust compressional structures. The regional tectonic background is not consistent with formation of a graben structure under tensional stress. Under the control of N-S compressive stress, the geological structure in new city of Fengjie changed from potential strike-slip type in the early stage to potential thrust type during formation of the main structure.

In field we found that the four tension faults, F_8, F_20, F_19, and F_26 (Li Huizhong, 2002) (Fig. 4), share some common characteristics. The fault extension length is not long (F_8: 1110 m, F_19: 150 m, F_26: 100 m), and the fault fracture zone width is not large (F_8: 3.5 m, F_19: 0.1–1.1 m, F_26: 1–2 m; conversely, fault displacement is very large (F_8: 150–180 m, F_26: 25–35 m). Studies have shown that the four normal faults, F_8, F_20, F_19, and F_26 (Li et al., 2002), did not form in a compressive stress field, according to geological mechanics (Illies, 1981; Chang et al., 1981). The footwall rock mass of the fault is preserved intact, although the hanging wall has almost entirely disintegrated; this observation is also not consistent with formation of a deep fault under confined conditions. The preserved thickness of T^2_{2b} is only 200 m (Li Huizhong, 2002); a 300 m thickness of this formation has been lost as a result of faulting, which cannot have happened as part of normal faulting. Faults F_20 and F_26 cannot be observed on the ground, and faults F_8 and F_19 show characteristics of sliding (pressure-shear), rather
than tension as with a normal fault. Thus, interpretation of the abnormal geological phenomenon at Sanma Mountain as a fault graben is not in agreement with the observed evidence.

4 Identification of the Sanmashan landslide

The work that disproved the fault graben hypotheses also provided a lot of evidence for the abnormal geological phenomenon at Sanma Mountain as a deep-seated ancient landslide. Details of the morphology evidence and trace in the landslide are given below.

4.1 Geomorphic evidence and sliding mark or trace

There is a steep cliff over 100 m height in the trailing edge of the landslide, and a gully called Baiyangping at the western lateral edge, and a gully called Sunjia at the eastern lateral edge, and the Yangtze River in front of the landslide. The terrain gradient of the landslide is 15–20°. The length of landslide is over 780 m, the width is about 1020 m, the maximum thickness is 170 m, the average thickness is about 125 m, and the volume is about 100 million m³. We subdivided the landslide into five domains (I–V) based on differences in morphology, geological structure, and inferred failure mechanics (Figs. 12 and 13).

Crown or head scarp (Fig. 14): there is a steep (about 70°) scarp, which has an elevation of 300–410 m. During an foundation excavation in the construction of new Fengjie city, the sliding face and scar in the end of the landslide were exposed (Fig. 15).

Eastern lateral edge: a deep gully, called Sunjiagou, is present at this edge of the landslide (Fig. 16). A sliding zone and marks are very obvious (Fig. 17), which have been misidentified as fault F₁⁹ in the past (Li et al., 2002).
Western lateral edge: there is a shallow groove called Longzibaogou leading into Yangjiaping gully (Fig. 18). Sliding scratches were observed during level drilling (Fig. 19).

The elevation of the slide tongue is about 90 m. The three secondary landslides at the foot of Sanmashan landslide are called as Houzishi, Zhiwuyou, and Laofangzi landslides.

4.2 Structural evidence

Based on regional geological mapping, drill holes, and a large excavation at the site, six engineering geological units were identified in the landslide. The units are as follows (Figs. 12 and 20):

1. The bedrock: (1) the third unit of the Middle Triassic Badong Group (T₃²ᵇ). This unit contains gray or dark gray limestone and marlite, with a regional thickness of up to 205 m. (2) The second unit of the Badong Group, which consists of kermesinus or dark red-brown mudstone and silty mudstone, with a regional thickness of up to 550 m. (3) The first unit of the Badong Group. This unit consists of earthy yellow or light gray marlite and shale, with a regional thickness of about 70 m.

2. Artificial soil (Qᵐ𝑙): the artificial soil is loose to dense, consists of clay and sand within crushed stone, and is randomly distributed on the landslide surface. The observed unit thickness is up to 10 m.

3. Alluvium (Qᵃᵖˡ): the alluvium is loose to less dense, sub-rounded cobbles, and boulders of mixed limestone and silty mudstone within sand, distributed at the front of landslide. The observed unit thickness is up to 15 m.

4. Landslide deposits (Qᵈᵉˡ): including three units followed. (1) The first unit is dense to denser, and contains gray or dark gray crushed stone, within silty clay and sand. The crushed stone is derived from limestone and marlite (T₃²ᵇ). A large foundation pit was constructed in the center of the landslide during field surveys (Figs. 21 and 22). The slide zone of the Zhiwuyou secondary landslide is exposed in the eastern wall (Figs. 23 and 24), and the rock masses in the western wall have anomalous attitudes.

Soils (units "Q") are not mentioned in Chapt. 2.2, shall be revised accordingly.

unclear, please explain.

Before Chapt. 4, an individual Chapter "Methods & Data provided, explaining all field surveys, geophysical drillings (incl. borehole logs, borehole tests, sample lab tests etc."

unclear, please explain.
with respect to each other (Figs. 23 and 25). (2) The second unit is denser, reddish or dark red-brown, and contains crushed stone, within clay and silty clay. The crushed stone is derived from mudstone and silty mudstone ($T_{2b}^2$). (3) The third unit is denser, earthy yellow or light gray, and contains crushed stone, within sand and silty clay. The crushed stone is derived from limestone and shaly limestone ($T_{2b}^1$). The thickness of three units is up to 170 m.

5 Failure mechanism of the Sanmashan landslide

In order to research the deformation process and failure mechanism of the Sanmashan landslide, We carried out a large centrifuge model experiment. The centrifuge technology is widely applied in geotechnical and geological engineering, especially in the simulation of slope deformation and failure. The centrifuge acceleration is applied to scale models to scale the gravitational acceleration and enable prototype scale stresses to be obtained in scale models. Problems such as building and bridge foundations, earth dams, tunnels, and slope stability, including effects such as blast loading and earthquake shaking. Large centrifuges are used to simulate high gravity or acceleration environments. This experimental modeling used a centrifuge at the State Key Laboratory of Geohazard Prevention and Geoenvironment Protection in China (Fig. 26). Its maximum acceleration is 500 gt, and it is the largest geotechnical centrifuge in Asia.

It’s mathematical description is similarity theory (Taylor, 1995). So according to the dimension relationship between geological model and experimental model, similarity
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5.2 Test result analysis

The experiment situations and observed results were as follows. First, there was a quiet period in which the Yangtze River cut into the central part of $T_2^b$. Tension cracking appeared at the surface of the slope, extending and scaling down (Figs. 27 and 29). Second, an intense period of incision in the bottom part took place along the bottom weak zone, and there was a crack (Figs. 27 and 30). The third phase was a short and swift cut into the bottom part of $T_2^b$. The crack cut through the bottom part of the slope, and a landslide swiftly occurred (Figs. 27 and 31).

The deformation and failure mechanism can be summarized as “Creep–Crack–Cut” (Fig. 32). This failure mode generally occur as a result of river incision into a nearly level layered slope with hard rock overlying soft rock. Such as the Chana landslide Longyangxia in the Yellow River (Fig. 33) and the Yanchihe landslide of Hubei Province, China. In order to early recognition and warning of such landslides and failure precursors of slope failure are discussed (Table 3).

6 Stability of the Sanmashan landslide

On the basis of the research above, we analyzed the seepage and stability of the Sanmashan deep-seated landslide using Geostudio 2007 (Fig. 34). The results of the analysis are discussed below.

If the reservoir water level increases from 145 to 175 m, using the current rate of reservoir scheduling, landslide stability will increase as a result of a rise in the groundwater level behind the water level of the reservoir. After rising of the water

coefficient is deduced (Table 1). The geological model (Fig. 27) was generalized into a test model (Fig. 28). Rock parameters were obtained from physical and mechanical geotechnical engineering tests (Table 2).

Lab test results unclear, shall be explained which natural slope (landslide) processes were analysed/simulated.

These are not results obtained from lab tests, but rather reflects a conceptual model incl. some speculative interpretations...(unclear if cross-check with / validated by field/lab tests..)

Slope stability analyses

Chapt. 6 is not sufficient; analyses shall be explained more clearly / documented more properly, incl. assessment of geotechnical input parameter, model geometry etc.
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6 Stability of the Sanmashan landslide

On the basis of the research above, we analyzed the seepage and stability of the Sanmashan deep-seated landslide using Geostudio 2007 (Fig. 34). The results of the analysis are discussed below.

If the reservoir water level increases from 145 to 175 m, using the current rate of reservoir scheduling, landslide stability will increase as a result of a rise in the groundwater level behind the water level of the reservoir. After rising of the water
level of the reservoir to 175 m, landslide stability will begin to decrease with further rises in groundwater level. If the reservoir water level is reduced from 175 to 145 m, at the current rate of reservoir scheduling, the resulting decline in the groundwater level behind the water level of the reservoir will cause landslide stability to decrease. After reduction of the water level of the reservoir to 145 m, further decline of the groundwater level will cause landslide stability to increase gradually. (Fig. 35).

Considering the amount of rainfall (120 mm d\(^{-1}\)) during the period when the reservoir water level changes from 175 to 145 m, and comparing with the situation with no rain, rainfall has little effect on the stability of the whole landslide, but has a large influence on the occurrence of secondary landslides at the front of the main slide. (Fig. 36).

At present, the stability coefficient of the Sanmashan landslide is greater than 1.20, and that of the secondary landslide at the front of the main slide is more than 1.076 before treatment.

7 Discussion

As is known to all, the geological phenomenon of a discontinuous stratum in output and color can be maybe interpreted as a fault graben or a large-scale landslide, but their genetic mechanism is different. In this paper the abnormal geologic body is a good case in the new city of Fengjie, Three Gorges Project Reservoir, China.

Firstly in order to find out the truth, the scientific method is that to prove whether it is contrary to the principle of geological mechanics. By means of survey analysis of geological structure stress and forming condition, we think that the fault graben consisting of four normal faults could not have formed under the local region of north-south compressive structure stress. Fengjie City, which is in the Three Gorges reservoir area, is located on the eastern edge of the secondary terrain of China. The region belongs to the Yangtze paraplatform, in which the basement rock is mainly composed of early Proterozoic metamorphic volcanicianlastic rocks and magmatic...
intrusions. The overlying sedimentary strata underwent folding during the Jurassic Yanshan Movement. As a result of the Yanshan Movement, secondary tectonic units were formed, including the upper Yangtze platform fold belt, the marginal depression of the Sichuan Basin, and the Dabashan platform fold belt. These secondary tectonic units converge in Fengjie under north-south compressive stress. Thus, the new city of Fengjie is sited at a special tectonic position. Sanma Mountain is located in the northern wing of the Zhuyi double reversal anticline. Under the influence of the N-S compressive stress field, deformation has mainly taken the form of closed-type folds and thrust compression structures, so the regional tectonic conditions are not suitable for formation of a fault graben. In addition, the hypothetical faults $F_{20}$ and $F_{26}$ cannot be observed in the field, while faults $F_8$ and $F_{19}$ have the characteristics of sliding (pressure-shear) faults rather than those of normal faults. Thus, the interpretation of the geological anomaly as the fault graben is not supported by the available evidence.

Correspondingly, there is plenty of evidence to interpret as a landslide. In this paper the geomorphologic and geological features, subsurface geological units, and many sliding marks or trails of the Sanmashan landslide have been observed in the field. Especially the sliding marks and scrape around the landslide is found, and their mechanical property is compressive. This is completely contrary to normal fault of a fault graben, because of their mechanical property is tensile. Their anomalous attitudes each other in the western wall of the foundation pit. This kind of geologic structure is only generated by landslides. The results of this study have confirmed that the Sanma Mountain in the new city of Fengjie is a large-scale ancient deep-seated landslide. From analysis of the slope deformation process and failure in centrifuge experiment model, it is reasonable to summarize the deformation and failure mechanism of landslide as “creep-crack-cut.” This type of failure generally occurs in a nearly level layered slope with overlying hard rock and underlying soft rock. Due to the limited level of the author, some phenomenon is not well presented, but it is a very attractive case to further study.

The huge deep-seated ancient landslide shall be discussed if/how landslide can be reactivated (e.g. earthquakes, water-level fluctuations, etc.) depending on soil/rock mass properties, slope characteristics (orientation, inclination, breaklines, etc.), characteristics of discontinuities (joint orientations, lengths, etc.), thus shall be explained/discussed more extensively.

Chapt. 7 shall be revised basically.
We also discussed landslide stability under increasing and decreasing of the reservoir water level, thinking reservoir scheduling at the current rate. Landslide stability will increase if the reservoir water level rises from 145 to 175 m, but landslide stability will decrease if the water level continues to rise above 175 m. Landslide stability will decrease if the reservoir water level drops from 175 to 145 m. Further water level decreases, to less than 145 m, will cause landslide stability to increase gradually. Rainfall has little impact on the stability of the whole landslide, but does have a great influence on the secondary landslide at the front of the main slide. At present, the landslide is stable as a whole; and the secondary landslide at the front is basically stable. But it needs to further research whether it can maintain long-term stability.

Conclusions

Using field surveys, investigation of existing tunnels, research into the tectonic setting, borehole drilling, and centrifuge model experiment, the result shows that the fault graben consisting of normal faults could not have been formed under the north-south compressive structure stress of the local region.

Meanwhile a lot of unique geological features and interesting sliding trails or marks of the ancient landslide are discovered or identified in field and experiment. It is proved that the abnormal geological phenomenon in the new city of Fengjie, Three Gorges Project Reservoir, China, is a large-scale ancient deep-seated landslide. The length of landslide is over 780 m, the width is about 1020 m, the maximum thickness is 170 m, the average thickness is about 125 m, and the volume is about 100 million m$^3$. Three secondary landslides are present at the foot of the Sanmashan landslide: the Houzishi, Zhiwuyou, and Laofangzi landslides. It is summarized the deformation and failure mechanism of landslide as “creep-crack-cut.” And discuss the failure precursors and key factors, including the geological structure, boundary conditions, deformation and failure precursors, that can be refer to early recognition and warning of landslides.
At last, the stability analysis show that the landslide as a whole is stable and the secondary landslide at the front is basically stable. The results provide a technical support for decision making for the land use planning and construction of the new city of Fengjie. But there is a risk of local deformation and landslides because of the intensive construction and centreated population, So it is very necessary to draw up a strict land use plan.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/nhessd-3-6791-2015-supplement.
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Table 1. Key similarity coefficient in experimental model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical quantity/Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Similarity coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration/a</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>$N_a = 30$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimension/L</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>$N_L = 1/3000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density/$\rho$</td>
<td>g cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>$N_\rho = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus/E</td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>$N_E = N_\rho N_a N_L = 1/100$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal friction angle/$\phi$</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>$N_\varphi = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohesion/c</td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>$N_c = N_\rho N_a N_L = 1/100$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio/$\nu$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$N_\nu = 1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameter/model unclear, please explain.
Table 2. Rock parameters in experimental model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock</th>
<th>Modulus (MPa)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
<th>Density (g cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Cohesion (KPa)</th>
<th>Internal friction angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>limestone $T^3_{2b}$</td>
<td>40–50</td>
<td>0.25 ~ 0.30</td>
<td>2.3–2.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>30–35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mudstone $T^2_{2b}$</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>0.35 ~ 0.40</td>
<td>2.1–2.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>20–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marlite $T^1_{2b}$</td>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>0.30 ~ 0.35</td>
<td>2.2 ~ 2.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>28–35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How were parameter assessed? Please specify (? estimated values, and/or based on lab analyses etc.) and cite data sources.

Modulus unclear (UCS, E, ...?), please specify.

already published in Trang et al (2015), shall be cited...
### Table 3. Key factors and precursors for reference to early recognition of slope failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of slope</th>
<th>Failure mechanism and type</th>
<th>Key factors</th>
<th>Deformation</th>
<th>Failure precursor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>layered slope, creep–crack–cut; deep seated rotational landslide</td>
<td>weak foundation or weak interlayer, common overlying limestone, dolomite, sandstone, slate and other hard rock; underlying mudstone, shale, coal and other soft rock; the dip angle is 8° ∼ 20° and incline to internal; $-\phi_r &lt; \alpha &lt; -\phi_p$</td>
<td>angle of the free surface slope $&gt; 35^\circ$; the nose ridge, or both sides are gully or cracks and joints</td>
<td>depth of crack is $1/3$ slope height, width of crack is up to $2$ m</td>
<td>crack depth is up to $1/2$ slope height, crack tend to be closed; the underlying soft rock cut out; the rock rupture infrasound constantly; spring at the foot of the slope; displacement rate $&gt; 50$ cm d$^{-1}$, deformation curve tangent angle is $85$–$90^\circ$, and the direction of displacement vector is uniform.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\alpha$ is the weak plane angle, $\phi_r$ is the residual friction angle, $\phi_p$ is the basic friction angle.

**Table shall be explained in text more comprehensibly... (Table 3 then to be deleted?)**
Figure 1. Location of the new city of Fengjie in the Three Gorges reservoir area, China.

Image source and date (year) missing, shall be provided.
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Location unclear; reference to Figs. 1, 3, 4, etc. shall be given.

Landslides (scarps, landslide deposits) and fault systems missing, shall be depicted according to Figs. 3, 4, etc.

Figure 2. The abnormal geomorphologic and geological phenomenon at Sanma Mountain in the new city of Fengjie (photograph taken in 1998).

Terminology shall be revised ("abnormal" phenomenon)

Not mentioned/explained in text, shall be revised.
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Figure 3. The abnormal geological phenomenon at Sanma Mountain speculated as a landslide.

Location unclear; reference to Figs. 1, 3, 4, etc. shall be given.

Several information mentioned in the article is missing, e.g. location of cross-sections (Figs. 6, 8, etc.), boreholes, survey points/lines etc., => shall be revised.

why "speculated" ?? if only speculative, the article shall be revised substantially!

Terminology shall be revised ("abnormal" phenomenon)
Figure 4. The abnormal geological phenomenon at Sanma Mountain explained as a fault graben (Li et al., 2002).

Figs. 3, 4 and 7 shall be merged and depicted as one comprehensive figure.

Faults not shown in Figs. 3, 6, 7, etc., shall be revised.
Figure 5. Outline of regional tectonics and stress.

Stress orientation shall be specified more clearly - based on paleo-stress analyses (see comments to Chapt. 3)? In-situ stress measurements shall be cited, e.g. data from World Stress Map!
Figure 6. Cross section outline of regional tectonics and stress. Location of landslide unclear; position and spatial extent of landslide shall be depicted. Stress not shown herein, shall be revised. Location unclear; reference to Figs. 5, 7, 12, etc. shall be given. Orientation unclear, cardinal points shall be given.
Figure 7. Outline geological map of the new city of Fengjie.

- Terminology shall be revised ("abnormal body")
- Location unclear; reference to Figs. 5, 7, 12, etc. shall be given
- Faults not shown (see Fig. 4), shall be revised
- Unclear; structures shall be explained in article text.
Figure 8. The geological profile of a **duplex inverted anticline** in the core of the Zhuyi anticline.

Location of landslide and Fengjie city not depicted, thus relevance of Fig. 8 unclear, shall be revised.

Duplex unclear since no faults shown, shall be revised.

Legende missing (rock units), shall be revised.

Main rock types shall be given as text (e.g. marls, limestones, etc.), not as symbols/numbers of unknown data source/reference.

Orientation unclear, cardinal points and reference to Figs. 6, 12, etc. shall be given.
Figure 9. *Ripples displaying reversal rock.*

unclear, please explain relevance for the slope model / landslide.
Figure 10. Conjugate shear jointing.

unclear, please explain relevance for the slope model / landslide.
Figure 11. Stereographic projection analysis. (a) Occurrence of conjugate shear jointing. (b) Occurrence of stratum in the north wing. (c) Occurrence of stratum in the south wing.

unclear, please explain analyses and relevance for the slope model / landslide.
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Figure 12. The geological map of the Sanmashan landslide (topographic map in October 2002).

Crucial figure, thus shall be placed above (e.g. as Fig. 2).

Location unclear; coordinates (Lat/Long) and reference to Figs. 1, 3, 4, etc. shall be given.
Figure 13. The remote image of the Sanmashan landslide (Satellite image in September 2004).
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Figure 14. The scarp of the Sanmashan landslide (March 2006).

Fig. 14 shall be explained in the article text, including the obvious slope stabilisation measures.

Location unclear; coordinates (Lat/Long) and reference to Figs. 12, 13, etc. shall be given.
Unclear if tectonic fault plane with slickenside striations and/or gravitational (landslide) features; shall be explained/discussed in this paper.

**Figure 15.** The sliding scrape of the scarp be revealed by foundation excavation.
Location unclear; coordinates (Lat/Long) and reference to Figs. 12, 13, etc. shall be given.

**Figure 16.** The eastern lateral edge of the Sanmashan landslide.

Basal shear zone (sliding plane) cutting trough houses, roads, etc., but infrastructure damages not(?) encountered? Tunnel here situated beneath/outside of landslide (compare to Fig. 17)? Situation shall be explained in this paper.
Location unclear; coordinates (Lat/Long) and reference to Figs. 12, 13, 16, etc. shall be given.

Unclear of basal sliding zone of the whole landslide system or of a sub-slab (see Fig. 12!)

Fig. 16 ("eastern lateral edge"): tunnel is beneath/outside the landslide. Please revise/clarify.

Figure 17. The sliding zone and slip mass in tunnel near by the eastern lateral edge.

Exceptional situation that sliding zone is penetrated by a tunnel, thus situation and findings shall be explained in this paper more extensively.
It seems that (sub-)horizontally stratified rock units are encountered; if so, please explain situation (with reference to cross-section Fig. 8) and why these stratified units encountered at the toe of the landslide.

Figure 18. The **western lateral edge** of the Sanmashan landslide.

Location unclear; coordinates (Lat/Long) and reference to Figs. 12, 13, 16, etc. shall be given.
Location unclear; coordinates (Lat/Long) and reference to Figs. 12, 13, etc. shall be given.

Boreholes ("level drill hole") not mentioned/explained in the text, shall be revised.

Basal shear zone (sliding plane) cutting trough houses, roads, etc., but infrastructure damages not(?) encountered? Please explain/discuss.

Please provide arguments why landslide-related "sliding scrape" and not fault-related slickenside striations of tectonic origin (see comments to Fig. 15).

Figure 19. The **sliding scrape in level drill hole near** by the western lateral edge.
Figure 20. The geological profile of the Sanmashan landslide. (a) Section of I–I'. (b) Section of III–III'.

Location unclear; cardinal points and reference to Fig. 12 (?) etc. shall be given.

Unclear if landslide is active, inactive, dormant, stabilised etc.; shall be explained if damages to houses, roads, tunnel etc. are encountered, and if geodetic deformation surveys and/or borehole inclinometer measurements were performed!

Based on this profiles, the landslide may be classified as a "rotational debris slide" (acc. to Cruden & Varnes 1996), shall be explained/discusses in the text.

Unclear how groundwater-situation in the slope (landslide) correponds to the river/reservoir level; groundwater in boreholes shall be depicted and explained in the text.

Rock/soil units in profile & borelogs not recognisable. Legend shall be revised and depicted more clearly.

as shown in Fig. 12?
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Figure 21. Location of the large foundation pit in the center of the landslide.

Location and relevance unclear;
⇒ Fig. 21 shall be deleted / information merged with other figures (depicting foundation pit)
Rock and soil types encountered shall be explained.

Figure 22. Overview of the foundation pit (photograph taken in 2013).

Location see Fig. 12 (?)
Figure 23. The geologic structure of four walls in the foundation pit.

Unclear; rock / soil types and structures shall be explained.
Figure 24. Close-up view of the slide zone of the Zhiwuyou secondary landslide.

Location unclear; coordinates (Lat./Long.) and reference to overview map (Fig. 12?) shall be given.

Unclear; rock / soil types and structures shall be explained.
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Figure 25. Rockmass showing their anomalous attitudes each other in the western wall of the foundation pit, and this kind of geologic structure is only generated by landslides.

Unclear: rocks feat. varying orientations of discontinuities (bedding planes) may also be generated by tectonic folding and/or faulting. Please explain why structures are attributed to a landslide.

Unclear: rock / soil types and structures shall be explained.
Figure 26. The geotechnical centrifuge used in experimental modeling, geomechanic lab test.
Unclear if/how geological slope model is in accord with other Figs (e.g. Fig. 8, Fig. 20, etc.); please revise/explain.

Figure 27. The geological model of slope.

Unclear; figure (slope model) and its relevance for the investigated Fengjie landslide shall be explained more comprehensible.
Figure 28. The experimental model.

Unclear; figure (model) and its relevance for the investigated Fengjie landslide shall be explained in the text.
Unclear, model and layers not explained; figure (model) and its relevance for the investigated Fengjie landslide shall be explained in the text; please also specify processes (english terms) more precisely.

Figure 29. Tension cracking in trailing edge and top.
Figure 30. Going cracking and shear creeping at the bottom.

Unclear, model and layers not explained; figure (model) and its relevance for the investigated Fengjie landslide shall be explained in the text; please also specify processes (english terms) more precisely.
This model seems to indicate a rapid slope failure; unclear if this is in accord with field evidence in the Fenjie area (see Fig. 20: rotational debris slide...) => Fig.31 (model) and its relevance for the investigated Fengjie landslide shall be explained/discussed.

**Figure 31.** Whole sliding, breaking up and **collapsing.**
Figure 32. Sketch of failure type of the landslide.

- Cracking
- Cutting
- Creeping
- Potential aquifer ?!
- Potential aquitard ?!

not(?) in accord with Fig.20 => rotational debris slide

Relevance of centrifuge tests (Fig. 26) for this failure type unclear; shall be explained.

Legende missing, symbols of rock units shall be given/explained.
Location unclear; coordinates (Lat/Long) and reference to overview maps (e.g. Fig. 1) shall be given.

Figure 33. The Chana landslide, Longyangxia, China.

Unclear if/how this geological setting and failure processes are relevant for the Fengjie landslide; please explain.
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Figure 34. Stability analysis model of the Sanmashan landslide.

Legende of geotechnical model units missing, shall be provided.

Groundwater not depicted in Fig. 20, and not explained in the text (borehole water levels, springs etc.), shall be revised.

Location unclear; cardinal points and references to overview maps (e.g. Fig. 12) and geol. cross-sections (e.g. 20) shall be given.

Unclear, please explain
Figure 35. Stability changes with water level of the Three Gorges reservoir.
Figure 36. Stability changes with water level of the Three Gorges reservoir and rainfall.

Unclear; shall be explained/discussed in the text more comprehensible.