Interactive comment on “After the extreme flood in 2002: changes in preparedness, response and recovery of flood-affected residents in Germany between 2005 and 2011” by S. Kienzler et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 19 November 2014

General comments: The overall framework of the discussion paper is very interesting and the title is incisive. The topic addressed by the manuscript is in the scope of NHESS. Preparedness, warning and response actions in face of natural hazards (this case floods) are one of the most interesting research lines at present. In the following points I summarize some suggestions:

- P. 6400, L.23: You mentioned different types of cycles that can be used and different versions that are published (with some references). Then you start the following sentence by referring to one specific cycle that you don’t mention with specific literature. Moreover it is quite confusing the number of phases and the types of these.

- P.6401, L.17: According to your objectives, you said
that the level of preparedness and reaction might also depend on the flood characteristic. This is one of your findings; it is a hypothesis or has literature background? -Typing error, P.6402, L.25: ( . . . ) temperatures rose rapidly from (instead of “to”) 5 to 15°C ( . . . )

-P.6423: APPENDIX A The contents of the appendix A (Tab. A1 and A2) are not necessary in order to clarify any part of the study. In section 3.1 you could mention the difficulty to interview the household, without any table.

-Typing error, P. 6427, L.9 and 11: LfU (Bavarian Environment Agency).

-P. 6440, Tab. 11: Is not clear how this table has been made. There is any statistic range you chose for saying “Low” instead of “Medium” or “Bad”? 
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