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The paper discusses different alternatives of flood risk management by means of a case study in the NL. As additional decision support robustness is addressed as well. The paper is written clearly and understandably. However, originality is more or less missing (The recovery zone approach is appreciated). Nevertheless, as the paper clearly outlines (as my conclusion) the necessity of dyke monitoring and dyke maintenance (to minimise / reduce breaching), as well as the benefit of giving more room for the rivers the paper is worth being published.