Assessment of heavy rainfall-induced disaster potential based on an ensemble simulation of Typhoon Talas (2011) with controlled track and intensity
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Abstract

Typhoon Talas (2011) caused extensive damage through landslides on the Kii Peninsula, Japan, in September 2011. The purpose of the present study is to quantitatively describe the potential for the occurrence of heavy rainfall-induced disasters if the typhoon track perturbs slightly or the typhoon intensifies. Regarding to the consideration of the track displacement of the typhoon, a procedure is proposed to generate different typhoon tracks perturbed from the original track of the typhoon. In this procedure, the position of a typhoon is artificially shifted at a certain time before landing in a physically consistent manner by applying potential vorticity inversion (PVI) methodology. After relocating the typhoon, the subsequent progress is simulated by a mesoscale meteorological model. Using the output, which consists of a set of realizations having different typhoon tracks, the worst-class scenario is discussed in terms of the soil water index (SWI) of the Kii Peninsula. The SWI is an indicator of the amount of water in soil that represents the hazard of landslide disasters. The maximum spatially averaged SWI is 1.10 times as large as that from the original typhoon track. Regarding the consideration of severer typhoon, the same method is used, but the intensity of the potential vorticity of a typhoon is artificially modified at the position instead of relocating potential vorticity to a different place. The maximum spatially averaged SWI is 1.28 times as large as that of the original typhoon intensity.

1 Introduction

Tropical cyclones (TCs) and their relationship to global climate change have been the subject of considerable attention among scientists in meteorology and disaster science. From the viewpoint of disaster mitigation or adaptation, the media and political advocates have paid particular attention to a possible effect of human-caused climate change in patterns of TC activity, such as frequency, intensity and track of TCs.
The Special Report on Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation (SREX, IPCC, 2012) reported that “the average TC maximum wind speed is likely to increase, although increases may not occur in all ocean basins. The global frequency of TCs will likely either decrease or remain essentially unchanged. However, heavy rainfall associated with TCs is also likely to increase with continued global warming”. On the other hand, the impact of individual TC can vary greatly depending on when and where it makes landfall, besides its strength.

In September 2011, Typhoon Talas (2011) brought heavy rainfall to Japan, especially the Kii Peninsula experienced record-breaking rainfall. The typhoon reached its peak intensity with maximum sustained wind speed of 25.7 m s\(^{-1}\) and the minimum central sea-level pressure of 970 hPa on 29 August when it was at approximately 1300 km south of Tokyo. The typhoon itself is not so severe based on size or intensity. However, record-breaking heavy rainfall brought by the typhoon caused sediment disasters, inundation, and river flooding that resulted in 82 casualties and 16 missing people, primarily in various prefectures in Kii Peninsula such as Wakayama, Nara and Mie. The typhoon also damaged several tens of thousands of houses in Japan, mainly in Kii Peninsula, by typhoon triggered disasters, e.g. landslide disasters and floods (Fire and Disaster Management Agency of Japan, 2012).

The typhoon formed as a tropical depression over the sea to the west of the Mariana Islands on 23 August 2011, which moved slowly northward, and reached tropical storm intensity on 25 August. On 3 September, the typhoon made landfall on Shikoku Island at 01:00 UTC. After crossing the island northward, the typhoon made landfall again at the Chugoku region of western Japan just after 09:00 UTC on 3 September. Then it moved over the Sea of Japan accelerating its translation speed north-eastward, and then transformed into an extratropical cyclone on 5 September.

The typhoon moved so slowly that it induced continuous massive moisture advection, which brought about record-breaking heavy rainfall over a wide area from western to northern Japan, especially along the mountains of the Kii Peninsula. At a mountainous station Kamikitayama in Nara Prefecture observed 1652.5 mm rainfall was recorded...
in 72 h, which is the new highest record of the 72 h rainfall in Japan. The total rainfall amount at the station reached 1805.5 mm, and in some areas was estimated to have total rainfall exceeding 2000 mm based on radar raingauge analyzed precipitation (Japan Meteorological Agency, 2011). The Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) best track data is shown in black broken line with squares indicating the six-hourly positions in Fig. 1.

The focus of the present study is twofold. First, we determine how the potential of landslide occurrence varies if the typhoon track might perturb slightly from the best track. The amount of damage caused by a TC depends greatly on the TC track relative to the region of interest. Heavy rainfall is tied to topographic features such as mountain ranges and also depends on the typhoon track and migration speed. As such, we apply a physically consistent typhoon bogussing scheme, the potential vorticity inversion (PVI) method developed by Yoshino et al. (2008), to the typhoon, a set of perturbed typhoon realizations were produced. The PVI method allows the position of the typhoon-associated potential vorticity to displace its location at a certain time in a physically consistent way. We then determine how global warming will affect typhoon intensity. Therefore, we apply the same scheme to typhoons of various intensities.

The remainder of the present study is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we briefly describe the PVI method, the configuration of the numerical model and the design of the experiments. Sections 3 and 4 present the model simulation results, and the interpretation of these results are presented in Sect. 5. Section 6 presents our conclusions and raises several issues concerning possible future study.

2 Model configuration and simulation design

Throughout this study a mesoscale meteorological model, i.e., the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model version 3.1.1 (Skamarock et al., 2008), was used to simulate Typhoon Talas (2011). The JMA operates a real-time data assimilation system known as the JMA Climate Data Assimilation System (JCDAS). The JCDAS
dataset, which is one of reanalysis data described in detail at http://jra.kishou.go.jp, has a spectral resolution of T106 (equivalent to a horizontal grid spacing of approximately 120 km) and 40 vertical layers with the top at 0.4 hPa. We use the JCDAS dataset as the initial and boundary conditions of the WRF model.

First, we conduct a simple hindcast simulation of the typhoon, hereinafter referred to as the CTRL simulation, driving the WRF model with six-hourly JCDAS reanalysis data. The domain size of the CTRL simulation is 4512 km × 4512 km with a 16 km horizontal resolution, covering a wide region from the eastern part of the Asian continent to the Japanese Islands, including the Philippine Sea (not shown, but approximately the same size as D1, as depicted in Fig. 1), with 50 vertical layers up to 5 hPa.

The WRF model includes four-dimensional data assimilation (FDDA) options using spectral nudging. This option is used in CTRL. So long the wave numbers are limited in small values, say 1, 2 or 3, this technique ties the synoptic (large-scale) pattern to reanalysis and allows generation of meso-scale (small-scale) disturbances without damping small scale features. Thus, the method performs well in reducing the track error of a typhoon and enables us to hindcast a typhoon structure realistically.

The physics schemes used in the experiments are listed in Table 1. The model is initialized at 12:00 UTC 28 August 2011 and is integrated for eight days and six hours until 18:00 UTC 5 September. The integration time steps are 60 s.

The PVI method is briefly explained here. Yoshino et al. (2008) developed a method to artificially relocate the TC vortex by applying the PVI method developed by Davis and Emanuel (1991). The use of the PVI method reduces the physical imbalance of meteorological variables, as compared to other bogusing methods. A more detailed description of the PVI method used in the present study is provided in the appendix of Ishikawa et al. (2013). A new initial and boundary condition was computed for each of the typhoon relocations, and further numerical integration of WRF yielded different typhoon cases. Note that spectral nudging was not applied during the integration after the relocation so as to avoid artificial forcing on the simulated physical processes.
In order to produce an ensemble of perturbed typhoon members, the typhoon was relocated to different locations at 06:00 UTC 1 September. At this instance, the potential vorticity was computed from the output meteorological variables of the CTRL simulation, and the TC relocation was applied. The typhoon position at the time was relocated within a range of plus or minus 30 grids, which is equivalent to 480 km, in the east-west direction. A total of 61 basic members, including a no-perturbed case, were generated. In addition, the typhoon was relocated within a range of plus 20 grids in the east direction and minus five grids in the north direction (plus five grids in the south direction). A total of another 105 additional members were generated. Each relocation run was labeled as $Y_{+jj}X_{+ii}$, where $ii$ and $jj$ are the relocated grid distances from the original position, $Y$ indicates meridional direction and $X$ indicates zonal direction.

Using the six-hourly output from the CTRL simulation as the initial and boundary conditions, we conducted three types of simulations as described in Table 2; one is a simple downscaling CTRL results without the PVI method, referred to hereinafter as the NOPVI simulation, the second is downscaling with PVI method but no modification on potential vorticity magnitude and position, NOPVM, and the other is downscaling with PVI method relocation of TC, $Y_{+jj}X_{+ii}$.

The performance of the modeling system is evaluated against the observations with the use of the output from NOPVI, which is a run without the use of the PVI method. The NOPVM, which is a run that uses the PVI method but not TC relocations, i.e., NOPVM refers to $Y_{+00}X_{+00}$, is designed to confirm the performance and the reliability of typhoon relocation with PVI method.

For these runs, we used three nested domains as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The first domain covers the area of 4352 km $\times$ 4352 km with a horizontal resolution of 16 km. The second domain is 720 km $\times$ 720 km with a horizontal resolution of 4 km, and the third domain is 160 km $\times$ 140 km with a resolution of 1 km. Steep terrain affects the location and accumulation of intense rainfall (Oku et al., 2010). To reflect the effect of the steep mountains of the Kii Peninsula, the 50 m mesh digital elevation model (DEM) by the Geographical Survey Institute (GSI) of Japan is used to create the terrains in the finest
domain. The land-use/land-category dataset used is the 100 m mesh Geographical Information System database from the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT) of Japan. We do not use the Kain–Fritsch cumulus parameterization scheme in the finest domain. Other model settings are the same as those of the CTRL simulation. These runs were conducted for 96 h starting at 06:00 UTC on 1 September. The simulated outputs from the finest domain are stored in five-minute intervals for the purpose of detailed analysis. The integration time steps are 60, 12, and 3 s from the outermost domain to the innermost domain.

3 Evaluation of the WRF model performance

3.1 Typhoon track and intensity

The tracks of Typhoon Talas (2011) are shown in Fig. 1 for the best track data provided by JMA and for the CTRL simulation with spectrally nudging imposed for the JCDAS reanalysis data. The goal of spectral nudging is to force the large-scale components of the atmospheric fields to remain close to the reanalyses, while the small-scale components are left free to evolve in the model. Since the realistic track information is embedded in the reanalysis data, the spectrally nudged track of the CTRL simulation closely follows the best track data.

Though NOPVI and NOPVM ($Y_{+00}X_{+00}$) were conducted without the spectral nudging option. As shown in Fig. 2, their tracks also follow the best track data closely, while NOPVM lies slightly to the east of that for the best track data. Although the reproducibility of the typhoon track route is good, the reproducibility of the translation speed is faster than reality in every case. The typhoons simulated by CTRL, NOPVI, and NOPVM move faster by factors of 1.2, 1.4, and 1.7 compared the observations.

In terms of the minimum of central pressure, the simulated results were deeper than the observations. The lifetime minimum of simulated central pressure for the best track data was approximately 970 hPa on 12:00 UTC on 29 August, whereas those for CTRL,
NOPVI, and NOPVM were 962 hPa at 16:50 UTC, 961 hPa at 19:40 UTC, and 955 hPa at 18:55 UTC, respectively, on 1 September.

### 3.2 Rainfall

Hourly rainfall intensity and the cumulative rainfall amount from NOPVI are compared with those from Automated Meteorological Data Acquisition System (AMeDAS) observations at two record breaking sites in mountain (Fig. 4). At the beginning of the simulation, heavy rain area has already extended to Kamikitayama. In the simulation, heavy rain stopped approximately 12 h earlier than that in the observation. The duration of rainfall in the simulation is approximately one day shorter than that in the observation. Note that the locations of the typhoon center in the simulation translated faster than those of the best track. These differences lead to the underestimation of temporal changes in the rainfall amount.

The performance of WRF in rainfall simulation is quantitatively assessed by comparing the model spatial distribution with corresponding JMA products of Radar-AMeDAS Precipitation-gauge measurement (RAP). RAP data are rain-gauge calibrated radar data which have a horizontal resolution of 1 km. Here, \( r_{\text{NOPVI}} \) is defined as the maximum hourly rainfall amount calculated from the output of NOPVI in each grid in the objective area, as depicted in Fig. 3. Similarly, \( r_{\text{RAP}} \) is defined as the maximum hourly rainfall amount calculated from the output of RAP. The values, \( r_{\text{NOPVI}} \) and \( r_{\text{RAP}} \), are put into the two-dimensional bins using Eq. (1). The frequency of each bin, \( N(m, n) \), is counted and depicted in Fig. 5a as color tiles representing frequency.

\[
\begin{align*}
(m - 1)r < r_{\text{RAP}} & \leq mr \\
(n - 1)r < r_{\text{NOPVI}} & \leq nr \\
r & = 4[\text{mm h}^{-1}]
\end{align*}
\] (1)

Figure 5a compares \( r_{\text{RAP}} \) and \( r_{\text{NOPVI}} \) using Eq. (1) with \( N(m, n) \) on the color scale, \( r_{\text{RAP}} \) on the x axis, and \( r_{\text{NOPVI}} \) on the y axis. If there were perfect agreement between \( r_{\text{RAP}} \) and \( r_{\text{NOPVI}} \), the points would lie on the diagonal line in Fig. 5a. The performance of WRF in rainfall simulation is graphically shown in Fig. 5a.
and \( r_{\text{NOPVI}} \), all points would be oriented along a straight line at a 45-degree slope in the figure. Cases below the 45-degree line correspond to NOPVI underestimation. And also cases above the 45-degree line, which correspond to NOPVI overestimation. The spatial average of \( r_{\text{NOPVI}} \) across the objective area is 55.3 mm, whereas that of \( r_{\text{RAP}} \) is 56.7 mm. The difference in distributions between \( r_{\text{RAP}} \) and \( r_{\text{NOPVI}} \) is seen by comparing Fig. 6a and b. Extremely heavy rainfall was observed along the southeast coast of the Kii Peninsula. In the simulation, the coverage of the heavy rainfall area is limited in the mountainous region, which is brought by passage of some strong convective systems accompanying the typhoon.

Next, we evaluate the model performance for the maximum daily rainfall amount using Eq. (1) but \( r = 32 \text{ [mm day}^{-1}] \) for clarity of Fig. 5b. A spatial average of \( r_{\text{NOPVI}} \) is 398.1 mm and that of \( r_{\text{RAP}} \) is 632.6 mm. In the NOPVI simulation in which a translation speed was about 1.4 times faster than that of the real typhoon, the spatial average of the maximum daily rainfall decreased by 63 % compared to that in RAP. This indicates that the amount of rainfall has an approximately inverse relationship with the migration speed of the typhoon. Comparison of Fig. 6d and e reveals that the model simulated heavy rain areas, for example the maximum daily rainfall amount exceeding 600 mm which is shown in orange color in the figure, are much narrower than those observed. This could be due to the fact that the model-simulated typhoon moves faster than the observation.

4 Evaluation of the PVI method performance

Before conducting typhoon relocation experiments, the consistency of the PVI TC relocation procedure is assessed by the comparison of NOPVI and NOPVM \( (Y_{+00}X_{+00}) \) cases. Since the vortex is extracted and put it back in the same place again, the result should ideally be identical. As shown in Fig. 2, the location of the typhoon center at the initial time in the NOPVM simulation is the same as that in NOPVI, which indicates that the PVI procedure as the vortex was put back to the same place. The track of NOPVM
was initially close to that of NOPVI. Several hours after the initial time, however, the track of NOPVM deviated to the east of the track of NOPVI. The minimum sea-level pressure of NOPVM became lower by several hPa than NOPVI case. The PVI method acts as a low-pass filter and removes the gravity-wave in the procedures recovering entire meteorological field from the PV field (Yoshino et al., 2008). In this case, the PVI method is not perfectly reversible.

However, the differences in the simulated track and intensity of the typhoon between NOPVM and NOPVI fortunately seems to be acceptably small for the present purposes of finding potentially the worst-class track in respect to a heavy rainfall-induced disaster. The spatial average of the maximum hourly rainfall amount across the objective area, as shown in Fig. 3, is 56.7 mm for NOPVM, which is very close to the 55.3 mm for NOPVI. As Fig. 6b and c shows, there are line-shaped areas where the maximum hourly rainfall amount is greater than 70 mm, those are brought by passage of some strong convective systems accompanying the typhoon. Comparison of Fig. 6b and c reveals the difference between NOPVI and NOPVM in respect to the locations of heavy rain path. This causes differences in the spatial distribution of the maximum daily rainfall amount between NOPVI and NOPVM, as depicted in Fig. 6e and f, respectively. Line-shaped areas where the maximum daily rainfall amount exceeds 500 mm exist in both case though the locations are slightly different. The spatial average of the maximum hourly rainfall across the objective area is 411.1 mm for NOPVM and 398.1 mm for NOPVI. The difference between NOPVM and NOPVI is much smaller than that of NOPVI and RAP. The simulation with PVI method well reproduces the characteristics of the rainfall simulated without the PVI method.
5 Assessment of landslide hazard

5.1 Soil water index

The soil water index (SWI, Okada et al., 2001) is an indicator of water amount in the near surface soil layers, which strongly relate with risk of landslide disasters. Landslide disasters frequently occur in areas of high SWI values. The risk of landslide disasters caused by heavy rainfall becomes high when the amount of moisture in soil increases. The amount of moisture in the soil is estimated using the tank model method, which indicates how much rainwater is contained in soil based on rainfall analysis. SWI used for not only assessment of the occurrence of potential landslide hazards (Urita et al., 2011; Saito et al., 2010), but also early warning system of landslide disasters (Osanai et al., 2010).

SWI is calculated using a tank model as a conceptual rainfall–runoff model. In Okada et al. (2001), SWI at a specific time \( t + \Delta t \) equals to the total storage volume \( S_k \) of three serial tanks, i.e.,

\[
SWI(t + \Delta t) = \sum_k S_k(t + \Delta t)
\]

where \( k = 1, 2, 3 \), from the top tank to the bottom. Each \( S_k \) is computed every 10 min \( \Delta t = 10 \) by

\[
S_k(t + \Delta t) = \begin{cases} 
S_k(t) - \left[ \sum_l Q_{kl}(t) + Z_k(t) \right] + P(\Delta t) & (k = 1) \\
S_k(t) - \left[ \sum_l Q_{kl}(t) + Z_k(t) \right] + [Z_{k-1}(t + \Delta t) - Z_{k-1}(t)] & (k \geq 2)
\end{cases}
\]

where \( P(\Delta t) \) is 10 min rainfall amount. \( Q_{kl} \) is seepage runoff volume and height of the runoff from the side \( l \)th hole. The top tank has two holes on the side, others has one.
$Z_k$ is vertical seepage volume from the bottom of the $k$th tank. These are obtained by

$$Q_{kl}(t) = \begin{cases} a_{kl} \{ S_k(t) - L_{kl} \} & (S_k(t) > L_{kl}) \\ 0 & (S_k(t) \leq L_{kl}) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$Z_k(t) = b_k S_k(t) \quad (5)$$

where $a_{kl}$ is the coefficients of runoff from the side $l$th hole, $b_k$ means the coefficients of seepage from the bottom hole of the $k$th tank, and $L_{kl}$ represents the height of the runoff on the side $l$th hole of the $k$th tank. Constants $a_{kl}$, $b_k$ and $L_{kl}$ used in the present study is provided in the appendix of Okada et al. (2001).

### 5.2 Track ensemble

Severity of each ensemble member is assessed in reference to the NOPVI rainfall pattern. Three parameters are employed which are the maximum hourly rainfall amount, the maximum daily rainfall amount, and the maximum SWI. We introduce an index, $R(\alpha)$, defined by Eq. (6), where $\alpha$ is an objective quantity, such as rain intensity, accumulated rainfall and SWI.

$$R(\alpha) = \frac{\sum \alpha_{Y_{jj}X_{ii}}}{\sum \alpha_{\text{NOPVI}}} \quad (6)$$

Although the model has more than a little bias as described in the previous section, the model bias for the rainfall amount with respect to the observations is expected to be reduced by using Eq. (6). An $R$ of less than 1 indicates that the rainfall impact is less than that for the NOPVI, and an $R$ of greater than 1 indicates that it is more than that for the NOPVI. Moreover, the highest $R$ is considered as one of the worst-class scenario.

In Fig. 7a, each typhoon track is colored according to $R$ for the maximum hourly rainfall amount. Figure 7b and c shows similar estimations for daily rainfall amount and SWI.
SWI, respectively. Basically, the $R$ for rainfall and SWI decrease when the typhoon location is shifted to the west, i.e., with increasing distance from the target area. Reversely, they increase as the typhoon track approaches the Kii Peninsula. However, there is a critical track for achieving the maximum $R$, and $R$ decreases when the typhoon location is shifted closer to the Kii Peninsula than the critical track. It is due to the structure of the typhoon. Generally, rainbands and rings of strong convection form at a certain distance from the typhoon center. It is easy to identify the critical track of the maximum daily rainfall amount along a specific latitude from the lower panel of Fig. 7b, and $R$ is 1.18 when the typhoon was shifted to $Y_{+00}X_{+09}$. Among the 166 ensemble members, the maximum value of $R$ is 1.21 when the typhoon was shifted to $Y_{-02}X_{+08}$. For the maximum hourly rainfall amount in Fig. 7a, in contrast, $R$ is not so peaked but it extends from 135 to 137°E when the typhoon was at 30°N. Shifting the typhoon by 160 km to the east at the relocation time increases the possibility that an $R$ peak will occur, although there is no recognizable relationship between the maximum $R$ and the typhoon location. In this case, the maximum $R$ of the maximum hourly rainfall amount occurred when the typhoon was shifted to $Y_{-03}X_{+10}$ with value of 1.17. The appearance of a heavy rain area accompanied by convective clouds in the outer rainband is certainly unsystematic and sporadic. The intensity of the individual convective clouds was sufficient to have caused localized rainfall. The $R$ of the maximum SWI, as depicted in Fig. 7c, shows the superimposed characteristics of the $R$ of the hourly rainfall amount and the $R$ of the daily rainfall amount. A peak occurred when the typhoon was shifted at $Y_{-03}X_{+10}$ with value of 1.10. This implies that there is a 10% increase in the risk of a landslide disaster occurring, depending on the typhoon track.

5.3 Intensity ensemble

A number of researchers have reported that future TCs are likely to become more intense, with larger peak wind speeds and heavier precipitation associated with ongoing sea surface temperature increases (e.g., IPCC, 2007; Oouchi et al., 2006). It
is important to assess how intensity changes in TCs can influence the hazard of heavy rainfall-induced disasters. In the present study, we focus on Typhoon Talas (2011) and modify the potential vorticity intensity of the typhoon using the PVI method without changing the location. For this purpose, a series of typhoons of different intensities perturbing from the original are generated. This is done by changing the potential vorticity intensity when put the typhoon potential vorticity back to its original location in NOPVM experiment. Note that the modification of typhoon intensity did not influence its track route much, although this is not shown herein.

In changing the intensity of the potential vorticity of the simulated typhoons, we do not change the mixing ratio of water vapor within the specified TC potential vorticity as well as the surrounding field. This is based on the idea that precipitable water content should remain unchanged in order to eliminate possible influences of precipitable water change on rainfall amount. Under this assumption, relative humidity within the TC potential vorticity is decreased when the potential vorticity is intensified, because the temperature within the increased TC potential vorticity becomes higher. It is noted that there would be another idea that the relative humidity within TC is set to be unchanged; in this case, however, precipitable water content is increased, which itself may affect the rainfall amount. In the present study, we focus on the effects of the increase of TC intensity but not on the effects of the increase of precipitable water content.

Using the output from a set of typhoons of different intensity, $R$ of Eq. (6) is plotted in Fig. 8 as a function of the minimum central sea-level pressure achieved during the simulation. Some cases in which typhoon did not develop from the initial state are excluded. For these members the modification (intensification) to the vortex might be unrealistically strong to allow the model physics sustain the intensity, so that the vortex could not develop further. Thus, we exclude these ensemble members from the assessment.

As the typhoon intensity decreases, i.e., as the minimum central sea-level pressure of the typhoon increases, $R$ is expected to decrease. The $R$ of the maximum hourly rainfall amount reaches a blunt peak of 1.14 at 945 hPa of the minimum central sea-
level pressure of the typhoon. Figure 8a shows that $R$ does not strongly depend on the typhoon intensity in the range of less than 960 hPa. However, the maximum daily rainfall amount is dependent on the intensity of the typhoon. Figure 8b indicates that $R$ increases with decreasing minimum central sea-level pressure of the typhoon and reaches a peak of 1.42 at 936 hPa. However, below 936 hPa, $R$ increases very little. As in the case of the track ensemble, $R$ of the maximum SWI, as depicted in Fig. 8c, shows the superimposed characteristics of the hourly rainfall amount and the daily rainfall amount. The maximum value of $R$ at 936 hPa is 1.28.

6 Conclusions

In the present paper, we proposed an approach to assess the potential for heavy rainfall induced by TCs with controlled track and intensity in order to find out a worst-case scenario for disaster hazards. This approach involves the assessment of the occurrence hazard of heavy rainfall-induced disasters, for instance landslide disasters. We apply the approach to Typhoon Talas (2011) as a case study. Using the PVI method, an ensemble of 166 perturbed typhoons was produced using a mesoscale meteorological model. The SWI for the occurrence hazard of a landslide disaster was calculated for each of the perturbed cases. In the severest cases, the spatially averaged SWI was 1.10 times as large as that of the original typhoon. In order to demonstrate quantitatively the potential damage due to a landslide for typhoons of various intensities, a procedure was proposed to generate different typhoon intensities that perturb. The maximum spatially averaged SWI is 1.28 times as large as that of the original typhoon intensity.

Thus, 1.10 times 1.28 approximately equals 1.4, which is a simplistic answer to the maximum $R$ if both track and intensity of the typhoon are changed. However, an ensemble simulation that simultaneously considers variations in both the track and the potential vorticity intensity of the typhoon should be conducted. In addition, the migration speed of the typhoon is an important factor that affects the rainfall amount.
Yen et al. (2011) performed a simulation in which the migration speed of Typhoon Morakot (2009) was doubled and found that the maximum accumulated rainfall over Taiwan decreased by 33% as compared to the control simulation. In this present case we tried several combination of physical parameterization, but we could not reproduce the slow migration unless the spectral nudging is applied. The more fundamental study to reveal the cause of the slow movement is required beside present application study. The scenario-based extreme event estimation conducted herein provides no information on the probability of occurrence or the return period. The extreme estimation conducted herein is not directly intended for preventive or mitigative planning, which require knowledge of the probability of occurrence or the return period. However, it is hoped that the estimation of the present study will contribute to understanding of extreme events by provided a set of scientifically possible examples based actual TCs. It is hard to specify the worst-class possible scenario which involves TCs in the future, since we can assume a tremendous number of tracks and intensities of TC. Consideration based on a past TC disaster as presented in this study helps not only policymakers but also who are not familiar with a TC to image the worst-class possible scenario.
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Table 1. WRF physics parameterization schemes used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>physics schemes</th>
<th>models</th>
<th>references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>microphysics</td>
<td>WRF single-moment six-class (WSM6)</td>
<td>Hong and Lim (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planetary boundary layer</td>
<td>Mellor-Yamada Level 2.5</td>
<td>Janjic (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>longwave radiation</td>
<td>rapid radiative transfer model (RRTM)</td>
<td>Mlawer et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shortwave radiation</td>
<td>Dudhia scheme</td>
<td>Dudhia (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cumulus parameterization</td>
<td>Kain–Fritsch</td>
<td>Kain and Fritsch (1990); Kain (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>land surface</td>
<td>5-layer thermal diffusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surface layer</td>
<td>similarity theory (MM5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cumulus parameterization scheme was not applied to domain 3 (1 km) in NOPVI, NOPVM and Y+;X+ simulations.
**Table 2. Specifications of simulations.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>run name</th>
<th>boundary conditions</th>
<th>PVI procedure</th>
<th>TC relocation</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTRL</td>
<td>JCDAS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>hindcast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOPVI</td>
<td>CTRL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>WRF model evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOPVM</td>
<td>CTRL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PVI method evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_{+j}X_{+i}$</td>
<td>CTRL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Computational domain 1 used in the present study except for the CTRL experiment and the tracks of Typhoon Talas (2011) from the best track data provided by JMA (black broken line with squares) and CTRL (purple solid line with circles). Colored symbols represent the sea-level pressure of the typhoon at 00:00 UTC every day. For comparison purposes, the track of CTRL with symbols is shown as being longitudinally shifted 10° to the west relative to the track of JMA.
Figure 2. Computational domains 2 and 3 in the present study except for the CTRL experiment and the tracks of Typhoon Talas (2011) from the best track data provided by JMA (black broken line with squares) and CTRL (black solid line with circles). Colored symbols represent the sea-level pressure of the typhoon at 00:00 UTC every day. A total of 61 solid colored lines are given by $Y_{+00}X_{+ii}$ when $-30 \leq ii \leq +30$, including NOPVM ($ii = 0$), which is indicated by the thick line bordered by the broken black line, and NOPVI which is displayed as the thick line bordered by the solid black line. A total of 105 black dots are given by the initial location of $Y_{+jj}X_{+ii}$ when $0 \leq ii \leq +20$ and $-5 \leq jj \leq -1$. 
**Figure 3.** Location of the study area of the Kii Peninsula (solid line) and computational domain 3 of the present study (broken line). The altitude is indicated by grayscale. The black dotted line indicates the prefectural boundary. The white star and diamond indicate the locations of Kamikitayama and Kazeya stations (AMeDAS), respectively, operated by the JMA. The red dots indicate the locations of the analyzed landslides provided by MLIT.
**Figure 4.** Temporal changes in hourly rainfall intensity (top) and cumulative rainfall amount from 06:00 UTC 1 September 2011 (bottom) at the Kamikitayama (left) and Kazeya (right) locations for the AMeDAS observations (bars) and NOPVI results (lines). The locations of these two stations are shown in Fig. 3.
Figure 5. Scatter diagrams of NOPVI vs. RAP and NOPVM vs. NOPVI rainfall amounts. (a) and (c) show the maximum hourly rainfall amounts, (b) and (d) show the maximum daily rainfall amounts. The number of grid counts computed by Eq. (1) is indicated by the color scale. Dots indicate grids corresponding to landslide locations.
Figure 6. Horizontal distribution of RAP, NOPVI, and NOPVM rainfall amounts. (a) through (c) are the maximum hourly rainfall amounts, (d) through (f) are the maximum daily rainfall amounts. The broken line indicates the location of the study area in the Kii Peninsula (see Fig. 3).
Figure 7. Severity of each ensemble case estimated for different measures: (a) maximum hourly rainfall amount, (b) maximum daily rainfall amount, and (c) maximum soil water index (SWI). The color of the track for each case represents the $R$ score defined by Eq. (6). The filled diamonds (open circles) in the lower panels indicate the $R$ score when each typhoon in a suit of 61 basic members (105 additional members) passed 30° N as indicated by the red line in the upper panels. In the lower panels, the green line corresponds to $R = 1$. The vertical blue line corresponds to the longitude at which the typhoon simulated by NOPVI passed at 30° N.
Figure 8. Relationship between the minimum central sea-level pressure of the typhoon ($x$ axis [units: hPa]) and $R$ ($y$ axis) for (a) the maximum hourly rainfall amount, (b) the maximum daily rainfall amount, and (c) the maximum SWI.