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The authors compile a series of UV and IR techniques to measure volcanic emissions of SO2 and Aerosol Index (AI) values and IR methods to measure volcanic ash. They couple these methods with an alert system that send messages informing of the detection of SO2.

Although the paper mentions that the detection of SO2 does not imply the occurrence of a volcanic eruption and that the presence of SO2 often does not match the location of ash, it understate the fact that an SO2 plumes alone does not pose any safety concerns to the aviation. The Volcanic Ash Advisory Centers (VAACs) have little if any interest in SO2 detection alone and are willing to look into the subject only when coincident
strong measurements of AI and ash are also provided.

Having a detection system that only reports on the SO2 presence is unreliable and will show an excessive number of hits because a large SO2 plumes stay in the upper atmosphere for weeks. While travelling around the globe the same plumes are viewed every time a satellite swath passes over them resulting in another alert that has nothing to do with an actual volcanic eruption or with the presence of ash.

Since the paper provides very little information on ash detection and no information on the more important ash height variable, their findings have very little use for the VAACs’ operational environment. Its value is mostly academic as a description of various SO2 detection technique and their combination into a single system with an alert capability.

I suggest that the authors change the title of the paper and mentioned "volcanic SO2 plumes" to avoid the confusion with "ash plumes", which is the real subject of interest of the operational organizations tracking volcanic activities to assist the airliners.